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#### Abstract

This paper studies bi-criteria in three-machine flow-shop problems under rental policy $P_{3}$. In this paper, Policy $P_{3}$ is modified. Here second and third machines will not be taken on rent at times when the first job is completed on first and second machines respectively but these machines will be taken on rent subject to criteria of minimum total elapsed. The objective is: obtain the sequence which minimizes total elapsed time and provides the total rental cost as minimum as possible. We have proved three theorems to obtain a simple and efficient algorithm. The algorithm is illustrated through a numerical example.
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## 1. Introduction

A survey of scheduling literature has revealed the desirability of an optimal schedule being evaluated by more than one performance measures or criteria. Various authors viz., Sen and Dileepan [1], Sen and Gupta [2], Sen and Raiszadeh [3], Smith [4], Van Wassenhove and Gelders [5], Van Wassenhove and Baker [6] have studied the flow-shop problems having more than one optimization measures. Gupta and Dudek [7] strongly recommended the use of combination of criteria total flow-time and total elapsed time. Dileepan and Sen [8] surveyed the bicriteria scheduling research for a single machine. Chandersekhran [9] gave a technique based on Branch-and-Bound method and satisfaction of certain conditions to obtain a sequence which minimizes total flow-time subject to certain conditions which are to be satisfied. Bagga and Ambika [10] provided the procedure for obtaining sequence(s) in n -job, m-machine special flow-shop problems which gives minimum possible makespan while minimizing total flow-time. Narain and Bagga [11] studied $n$-job, m-machine special flow-shop problems which give minimum possible mean flowtime while minimizing total elapsed time. Narain and Bagga [12] determine the sequence which minimizes the total elapsed time subject to zero total idle time of machines i.e., machines should not remain idle once they start the first job. Narain and Bagga [13] studies n-job, m-machines flowshop problems when processing times of jobs on various machines follow certain conditions and the objective is to obtain a sequence which minimizes total elapsed time under no-idle constant. Narain and Bagga [14] studied n-job, 2-machine flowshop problem and provided an algorithm for obtaining a sequence which gives minimum possible mean flowtime under no-idle constraint.
In flow-shop problem, situation can occur in practice when one has got the assignment but does not have one's own machines or does not have enough money for the purchase of machines, under these circumstances, may take machines on rent to complete the assignment. Minimization of total rental cost of machines will be the criterion in these types of situations.

The following renting policies generally exist:
$\boldsymbol{P}_{1} \quad: \quad$ All the machines are taken on rent at one time and are returned also at one time.
$\boldsymbol{P}_{2} \quad: \quad$ All the machines are taken on rent at one time and are returned as and when they are no longer required.
$\boldsymbol{P}_{3}: \quad$ All the machines are taken on rent as and when they are required and are returned as and when they are no longer required for processing.

Bagga [15] studied three-machine problem under policy $P_{l}$ and provide a sequence to minimize the total rental cost of machines. Under $P_{2}$; for three-machine flow-shop problem, Bagga and Ambika
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[16] provided a Branch-and-Bound algorithm. Bagga and Khurana [17] use Branch-and-Bound technique to solve n -job, 2 -machine flow-shop problems under two policies: (i) when both machines are hired simultaneously and (ii) when the $2^{\text {nd }}$ machine is hired only when the first job is completed on $1^{\text {st }}$ machine. Narain [18] studied $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{m}$ flowshop problem under policy $P_{3}$ and provided an algorithm to find the minimum times at which machines should be taken on rent so that total rental cost is minimum.
This paper studies bi-criteria in three-machine flow-shop problems under rental policy $P_{3}$. In this paper, Policy $P_{3}$ is modified. Here second and third machines will not be taken on rent at times when the first job is completed on first and second machines respectively but these machines will be taken on rent subject to criteria of minimum total elapsed. The objective is: Obtain the sequence which minimizes total elapsed time and provides the total rental cost as minimum as possible. For any sequence $S$,
Total rental cost of machines $=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[p_{i, j}(S)+I_{i, j}(S)\right] \times \boldsymbol{C}_{j}$
Where $p_{i, j}(S)$ is the processing time of $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ job of sequence $S$ on machine $M_{j}, I_{i, j}(S)$ is the idle time of machine $M_{j}$ for $i^{\text {th }}$ job of sequence $S$ and $C_{j}$ is rental cost per unit time of machine $M_{j}$. Here, the processing times $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{S})$ and rental cost $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{S})$ are constant. Therefore, we can only reduce idle times $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{S})$. To reduce idle times on machines, we delay the times of renting of machines to process jobs. We have obtained a simple and efficient algorithm to provide the sequence which minimizes total elapsed time and provides the total rental cost as minimum as possible. Numerical example is given to illustrate the algorithm.

## 2. Notations

S : Sequence of jobs $1,2, \ldots$, n .
$M_{j} \quad: \quad$ Machine $\mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2,3$.
$p_{i, j}(S): \quad$ Processing time of $i^{\text {th }}$ job of sequence $S$ on machine $M_{j}$.
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{S}) \quad: \quad$ Idle time of machine $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ for $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ job of sequence S .
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad: \quad$ Rental cost per unit time of machine $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$.
$H_{j}(S) \quad: \quad$ The time when $M_{j}$ is taken on rent for sequence $S$.
$Z_{i, j}(S): \quad$ Completion time of $i^{\text {th }}$ job of sequence $S$ on machine $M_{j}$.
$Z_{i, j}^{\prime}(S): \quad$ Completion time of $i^{\text {th }}$ job of sequence $S$ on machine $M_{j}$ when $M_{j}$ starts processing jobs at time $H_{j}(S)$.
$T_{2}(S): \quad$ Total time for which $M_{2}$ is required when $M_{2}$ starts processing jobs at time $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~S})$.

$$
\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n} \text { and } \mathrm{j}=1,2,3 .
$$

## 3. Mathematical Formulation

Let n jobs require processing over three machines $\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ in the order $\mathrm{M}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{3}$.
Theorem 3.1: If we start processing jobs on $M_{3}$ at time $H_{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{i, 3}$, then $Z_{k, 3}$ will remain unaltered.
Proof: Let $Z_{i, 3}^{\prime}$ be the completion time of $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ job on machine $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ when $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ starts processing jobs at time $\mathrm{H}_{3}$. The proof of the theorem is based on the method of mathematical induction.
For $\mathrm{k}=1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Z}_{1,3}^{\prime} & =\mathrm{H}_{3}+\mathrm{p}_{1,3} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{1} I_{i, 3}+\mathrm{p}_{1,3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{p}_{1,1}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2}+\mathrm{p}_{1,3} \\
& =\mathrm{Z}_{1,3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the result holds for $\mathrm{k}=1$.
Let the result holds for $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{m}$
For $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{m}+1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3}^{\prime} & =\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}, 3}^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3} \\
& =\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i, 3}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3} \\
& =\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} I_{i, 3}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i, 3}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3} \\
& =\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \sum_{i=1}^{m} I_{i, 3}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i, 3}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3} \\
& =\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}, 3}+\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}, 3}, 0\right)\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3} \\
& =\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}, 3}\right)\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3} \\
& =\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}, 3}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3} \\
& =\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the result holds for $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{m}+1$ also.
Hence, by mathematical induction this theorem holds for all k , where $\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$.
If $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ starts processing jobs at time $\mathrm{H}_{3}$, where $\mathrm{H}_{3}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3}$, then total elapsed time $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}$ is not altered and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ is engaged for minimum time equal to sum of the processing times of all the jobs on $M_{3}$. Moreover, it can be easily shown that if $M_{3}$ starts processing jobs at time $H_{3}$, then
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 3}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 3}$
Lemma 3.1: If $M_{3}$ starts processing jobs at time $H_{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i, 3}$,
then $H_{3} \geq Z_{l, 2}$ and $Z_{k, 3}^{\prime} \geq Z_{k, 2}$ for $k>1$.
Proof: $\mathrm{H}_{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i, 3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{I}_{1,3}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} I_{i, 3} \\
& =\mathrm{Z}_{1,2}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} I_{i, 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, $\sum_{i=2}^{n} I_{i, 3} \geq 0$, therefore, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{1,2}$
Now, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}, 3}=\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}-1,3}, 0\right)$
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Therefore, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}, 3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}-1,3}$
i.e., $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}-1,3}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}, 3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}$
i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} I_{i, 3}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_{i, 3}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}, 3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}$
i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{i, 3}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_{i, 3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}$

Since, $\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} I_{i, 3} \geq 0$,
Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{i, 3}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} I_{i, 3}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_{i, 3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}$
i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i, 3}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_{i, 3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}$
i.e., $\mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_{i, 3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}$
i.e., $Z_{k-1,3}^{\prime} \geq Z_{k}$,

Hence, this lemma is proved.
Theorem 3.2: Total elapsed time will not be altered, if $M_{2}$ starts processing jobs at time $H_{2}=\min \left\{Y_{k}\right\}$, where

$$
Y_{1}=H_{3}-p_{1,2}
$$

and

$$
Y_{k}=Z_{k-1,3}^{\prime}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 2} ; \quad k=2,3, \ldots, n
$$

Proof: $\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}=\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\} ; \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
For $\mathrm{k}=1$;
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}=\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\} ; \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
Therefore, $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}} \leq \mathrm{Y}_{1}$
i.e., $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2} \leq \mathrm{Y}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2}$
i.e., $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2} \leq \mathrm{H}_{3}$

From Lemma 3.1;
$\mathrm{Z}_{1,2} \leq \mathrm{H}_{3}$
Now,
$\mathrm{Z}_{1,2}^{\prime}=\max \left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2}, \mathrm{Z}_{1,2}\right)$
From equations (1) and (2);
$\mathrm{Z}_{1,2}^{\prime} \leq \mathrm{H}_{3}$
For $\mathrm{k}>1$;
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}=\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\} ; \mathrm{k}=2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
Therefore, $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}} \leq \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{k}=2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
i.e., $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 2} \leq \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 2}$
i.e., $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 2} \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}-1,3}^{\prime}$

From Lemma 3.1;
$Z_{\mathrm{k}, 2} \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}-1,3}^{\prime}$
Now,
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}^{\prime}=\max \left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 2}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}\right)$
From equation (4) and (5);
$Z_{k, 2}^{\prime} \leq Z_{k-1,3}^{\prime} ; \quad \mathrm{k}=2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
Taking $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{n}$ in equation (6);
$Z_{n, 2}^{\prime} \leq Z_{n-1,3}^{\prime}$
Total elapsed time $\quad=\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}-1,3}^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{3}}$

$$
=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}-1,3}^{\prime}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}
$$

$$
=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}^{\prime}
$$

$$
=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}
$$

Hence, total elapsed time will not be altered if $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ starts processing jobs at time $\mathrm{H}_{2}=\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\} ; \mathrm{k}=1$, $2, \ldots, n$.
Theorem 3.3: Total elapsed time will increase, if $M_{2}$ starts processing jobs at time $H_{2}>\min \left\{Y_{k}\right\}$, where

$$
Y_{l}=H_{3}-p_{l, 2}
$$

and

$$
Y_{k}=Z_{k-1,3}^{\prime}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 2} ; \quad k=2,3, \ldots, n
$$

Proof: There arise two cases:
Case 1: $\mathrm{H}_{2}>\mathrm{Y}_{1}$, then $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2}>\mathrm{Y}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2}=\mathrm{H}_{3}$

$$
\text { i.e., } \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2}>\mathrm{H}_{3}
$$

Therefore, total elapsed time $\quad \geq \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3}$

$$
\geq \mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}
$$

Hence, total elapsed time will increase if $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ starts processing jobs at time $\mathrm{H}_{2}>\mathrm{Y}_{1}$.
Case 2: Let $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}=\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\} ; \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
Let $\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i, 2}>\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i, 2}  \tag{8}\\
\text { Now, }
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}^{\prime}=\max \left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i, 2}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}\right)$
Therefore, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}^{\prime} \geq \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i, 2}$
From equation (8);

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}^{\prime}>\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i, 2}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}-1,3}^{\prime} \\
& \text { i.e., } \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}^{\prime}>\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}-1,3}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{M}_{3}$ will start processing job r at time $=\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}-1,3}^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}^{\prime} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, total elapsed time $\quad \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3}$

$$
\geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}-1,3}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}
$$

Hence, total elapsed time will increase if $M_{2}$ starts processing jobs at time $H_{2}>\min \left\{Y_{k}\right\}$;

$$
\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n} .
$$

By Theorem 3.1; the starting of processing jobs at time $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ will reduce the idle time of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ to zero and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ will be required only for time equivalent to the sum of the processing times of all the jobs on it. Therefore, total rental cost of $M_{3}$ will be minimum (least). Total rental cost of $M_{1}$ will always be minimum (least), since idle time of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ is always zero. Therefore, the objective is to minimize the rental cost of machine $\mathrm{M}_{2}$.
In Branch-and-Bound technique provided by Lominiciki [19], if instead of terminating the procedure when the total elapsed time of a sequence is less than / equal to the value attached with all the unbranched vertices, the termination is done when the total elapsed time of a sequence is strictly less than the value, then all the other optimal sequences (if any ) can be obtained.
The following algorithm provides the procedure to obtain the sequence which gives minimum possible rental cost while minimizing total elapsed time in three-machine flow-shop problem under Policy $\mathrm{P}_{3}$.

## 4. Algorithm

## Algorithm 4.1:

Step 1: Obtain all the sequences having minimum total elapsed time by Branch-and-Bound technique. Let these sequences be $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{r}}$.
Step 2: Compute total elapsed time $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$.
Step 3: Compute rental time $H_{3}$ of $M_{3}$ for sequence $S_{1}$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{3}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3}\left(S_{1}\right)
$$

Step 4: For sequences $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{r}$, compute
(i) $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$
(ii) $\mathrm{Y}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{p}_{1,2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_{i, 3}\left(S_{j}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 2}\left(S_{j}\right) ; \mathrm{k}=2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
(iii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right\} ; \mathrm{k}=2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
(iv) $\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)-\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$
$j=1,2, \ldots, r$.
Step 5: Find $\min \left\{\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right\} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{r}$.
Let it be for sequence $S_{p}$, then $S_{p}$ is the optimal sequence.
Step 6: Compute rental time $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ for sequence $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}$
$\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$.
Step 7: Compute total rental cost for sequence $S_{p}$

$$
\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 1} \times \mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \times \mathrm{C}_{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3} \times \mathrm{C}_{3}
$$

## 5. ExAMPLE

Example 5.1: Consider 5-job, 3-machine flow-shop problem with processing times in hours as given in Table 1. The rental costs per hour for machines $\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ are 5 units, 10 units and 8 units respectively.
Table 1. Processing Times of Jobs on Machines

| Jobs | Machines |  | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 5 | $\mathbf{M}_{3}$ |
| 1 | 2 | 7 | 6 |
| 2 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| 3 | 9 | 5 | 6 |
| 4 | 10 | 4 | 7 |
| 5 | 8 | 1 |  |

Applying Algorithm 4.1;
Step 1: Provides four sequences $S_{1}=1-2-3-4-5 ; S_{2}=2-1-3-4-5 ; S_{3}=1-3-2-4-5$ and $S_{4}=1-3-4-2-5$
Step 2: To obtain minimum total elapsed time, the completion time In-Out of sequence $S_{1}$ is given as in table 2.

Table 2. Completion time In-Out for sequence $S_{I}$

| Jobs | Machines |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
|  | In-Out | In-Out | In-Out |
| 1 | $0-2$ | $2-7$ | $7-13$ |
| 2 | $2-8$ | $8-15$ | $15-20$ |
| 3 | $8-17$ | $17-24$ | $24-30$ |
| 4 | $17-27$ | $27-32$ | $32-39$ |
| 5 | $27-35$ | $35-39$ | $39-40$ |

Therefore, minimum total elapsed time $=40$ hours.
Step 3: Latest time at which machine $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ should be taken on rent $=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3}$

$$
=40-25=15 \text { hours. }
$$
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Step 4: To obtain the completion times of last job of sequences $S_{1}$ on machine $M_{2}$, its completion time In-Out table is given as in Table 3
$S_{1}=1-2-3-4-5$
Table 3. Completion time In-Out

| Jobs | Machines |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}} \quad$ In-Out | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | In-Out |
| 1 | $0-2$ | $2-7$ |  |
| 2 | $2-8$ | $8-15$ |  |
|  | 3 | $8-17$ | $17-24$ |
| 4 | $17-27$ | $27-32$ |  |
|  |  | $27-35$ | $35-39$ |

Therefore, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)=39$

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{p}_{1,2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)=15-5=10
$$

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{1} p_{i, 3}\left(S_{1}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{i, 2}\left(S_{1}\right)
$$

$$
=15+6-(5+7)
$$

$$
=21-12=9
$$

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{i, 3}\left(S_{1}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{3} p_{i, 2}\left(S_{1}\right)
$$

$$
=15+(6+5)-(5+7+7)
$$

$$
=15+11-19=7
$$

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} p_{i, 3}\left(S_{1}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{4} p_{i, 2}\left(S_{1}\right)
$$

$$
=15+(6+5+6)-(5+7+7+5)
$$

$$
=15+17-24=8
$$

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{5}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{4} p_{i, 3}\left(S_{1}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{5} p_{i, 2}\left(S_{1}\right)
$$

$$
=15+(6+5+6+7)-(5+7+7+5+4)
$$

$$
=15+24-28=11
$$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)=\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)\right\} ; \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, 5
$$

$$
=\min \{10,9,7,8,11\}
$$

$$
=7
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)= & \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)-\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) \\
& =39-7=32
\end{aligned}
$$
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Similarly, applying Step 4 for sequences $S_{2}, S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$, we get
$\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)=32 ; \mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{3}\right)=31$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{4}\right)=30$
Step 5: Minimum of $T_{2}\left(S_{1}\right) ; T_{2}\left(S_{2}\right) ; T_{2}\left(S_{3}\right)$ and $T_{2}\left(S_{4}\right)=\min \{32,32,31,30\}=30$ hours.
This minimum is corresponding to the sequence $S_{4}=1-3-4-2-5$. Therefore, 1-3-4-2-5 is the optimal sequence.

Step 6: Latest time at which machine $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ should be taken on rent $=9$ hours.
Step 7: Total rental cost for sequence 1-3-4-2-5 is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{4}\right)= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 1} \times \mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{4}\right) \times \mathrm{C}_{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3} \times \mathrm{C}_{3} \\
& =35 \times 5+30 \times 10+25 \times 8 \\
& =175+300+200=675 \text { units }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, 1-3-4-2-5 is the optimal sequence having total rental cost as 675 units, when $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ is taken on rent (starts processing jobs) in the starting, $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ is taken on rent (starts processing jobs) after 9 hours and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ is taken on rent (starts processing jobs) after 15 hours.

## 6. CONCLUSION

In this paper a Bi -criteria problem in 3-machine flow-shop problem is considered. The objective is to obtain a sequence which minimizes total elapsed time and gives total rental cost as minimum as possible. We have proved three theorems to find out the times at which machines should be taken on rent so that total elapsed do not change when we delay the processing of jobs on machines.
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