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#### Abstract

The problem of determination necessary and sufficient conditions for a 2-normed space to be 2-pre-Hilbert space is in the focus of interest of many mathematicians. Some characterizations of 2-inner product are stated in [1], [4], [6], [7] and [12]. In this paper we gave a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of 2-inner product in a 2-normed space ( $L,\|\cdot \cdot \cdot\|$ ) applying Mercer inequality and also the generalizations of Tanaka, Kirk-Smiley and Gurarii-Sozonov results are given.
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## 1. Introduction

Concepts of 2-norm and 2-inner product are two-dimensional analogies of the concepts of norm and inner product, respectively. The studding of 2-normed spaces and their application is simpler if 2-norm is generated by 2 -inner product. On the other hand, verifying whether 2-norm is generated by a 2 -inner product is not always simple. Therefore, of particular importance is the finding of different equivalent conditions of existence of 2-inner product that generates 2-norm, which is of interest in this paper.

Let $L$ be a real vector space with dimension greater than 1 and $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ be a real function of $L \times L$ such that following holds true:
a) $\|x, y\| \geq 0$, for each $x, y \in L$ and $\|x, y\|=0$ if and only if the set $\{x, y\}$ is linearly dependent;
b) $\|x, y\|=\|y, x\|$, for each $x, y \in L$;
c) $\|\alpha x, y\|=|\alpha| \cdot\|x, y\|$, for each $x, y \in L$ and for each $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$;
d) $\|x+y, z\| \leq\|x, z\|+\|y, z\|$, for each $x, y, z \in L$.

The function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is said to be 2-norm on $L$, and $(L,\|\cdot \cdot \cdot\|)$ is said to be vector 2-norm space ([8]). The above inequality $d$ ) is said to be parallelepiped inequality.
Let $n>1$ be a positive integer, $L$ be a real vector space, $\operatorname{dim} L \geq n$ and $(\cdot, \cdot \mid \cdot)$ be a real function of $L \times L \times L$ such that
i) $(x, x \mid y) \geq 0$, for each $x, y \in L$ and $(x, x \mid y)=0$ if and only if $x$ and $y$ are linearly dependent;
ii) $(x, y \mid z)=(y, x \mid z)$, for each $x, y, z \in L$;
iii) $\quad(x, x \mid y)=(y, y \mid x)$, for each $x, y \in L$;
iv) $(\alpha x, y \mid z)=\alpha(x, y \mid z)$, for each $x, y, z \in L$. and for each $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$; and
v) $\quad\left(x+x_{1}, y \mid z\right)=(x, y \mid z)+\left(x_{1}, y \mid z\right)$, for each $x_{1}, x, y, z \in L$.

Function $(\cdot, \cdot \mid \cdot)$ is said to be 2-inner product, and $(L,(\cdot, \cdot \mid \cdot))$ is said to be 2-pre-Hilbert space ([4]).
R. Ehret proved that $([7])$, if $(L,(\cdot, \cdot \mid \cdot))$ is a 2-pre-Hilbert space, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x, y\|=(x, x \mid y)^{1 / 2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x, y \in L$ defines a 2-norm, so, we get vector 2-normed space $(L,\|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ and thus for each $x, y, z \in L$ the following equalities hold true

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x, y \mid z)=\frac{\|x+y, z\|^{2}-\|x-y, z\|^{2}}{4}  \tag{2}\\
& \|x+y, z\|^{2}+\|x-y, z\|^{2}=2\left(\|x, z\|^{2}+\|y, z\|^{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The equality (3) in fact is an analogy of parallelogram equality and is said to be parallelepiped equality. Further, 2-normed space $L$ is 2 -pre-Hilbert if and only if for each $x, y, z \in L$ the equality (3) holds true. The following three Lemmas we will present a three elementary statements according to the equalities (3) and (4).
Lemma 1. Let $(L,\|\cdot \cdot \cdot\|), \operatorname{dim} L>2$ be 2 -normed space. If there exists a 2 -inner product $(\cdot, \cdot \mid \cdot)_{Y}$, for each three-dimensional subspace $Y$ of $L$, such that $(y, y \mid z)_{Y}=\|y, z\|^{2}$, for each $y, z \in Y$, then exists a 2-inner product $(\cdot, \cdot \mid \cdot)_{L}$ such that $(x, x \mid z)_{L}=\|x, z\|^{2}$, for each $x, z \in L$.
Proof. Let $x, y, z \in L$. Then it exists a subspace $Y$ of $L$ such that $\operatorname{dim} Y=3$ and $x, y, z \in Y$. The assumption implies that it exists 2 -inner product $(\cdot, \cdot \mid \cdot)_{Y}$ such that $(a, a \mid b)_{Y}=\|a, b\|^{2}$, for each $a, b \in Y$. But the last in fact means that the following holds true

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x+y, z\|^{2}+\|x-y, z\|^{2} & =(x+y, x+y \mid z)_{Y}+(x-y, x-y)_{Y} \\
& =2(x, x \mid z)_{Y}+2(y, y \mid z)_{Y} \\
& =2\left(\|x, z\|^{2}+\|y, z\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the arbitrariness of $x, y, z \in L$ implies that the parallelepiped equality holds in $L$. It means that $L$ is 2-pre-Hilbert space, i.e. there exists 2 -inner product $(\cdot, \cdot \mid \cdot)_{L}$ such that $(x, x \mid z)_{L}=\|x, z\|^{2}$, for each $x, z \in L$.

Lemma 2. Let $(\cdot, \cdot \mid \cdot)$ be 2-inner product in vector space $L$ and let a linear mapping $T: L \rightarrow L$ be injection. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y \mid z)_{T}=(T(x), T(y) \mid T(z)), \quad x, y, z \in L \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines 2-inner product in $L$.
Proof. Let a linear mapping $T: L \rightarrow L$ be injection, and $(\cdot, \cdot \mid \cdot)_{T}$ be defined by (4). Then the following holds true

$$
(x, x \mid z)_{T}=(T(x), T(x) \mid T(z)) \geq 0, \text { for each } x, z \in L
$$

and furthermore $(x, x \mid z)_{T}=0$ if and only if $T(x)$ and $T(z)$ are linearly dependent, i.e. there exists $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\alpha \neq 0$ or $\beta \neq 0$ and $\alpha T(x)+\beta T(z)=0$. The last actually means that $T(\alpha x+\beta z)=0$, and since $T$ is injection, and $T(0)=0$ we conclude that $\alpha x+\beta z=0$. According to that, $(x, x \mid z)_{T}=0$ if and only if $x$ and $z$ are linearly dependent, i.e. the axiom $i$ ) of 2-inner product definition holds true.
Hence, $T$ is linear mapping, and therefore the properties of 2-inner product imply that for each all $x, x_{1}, y, z \in L$ and for each $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ the following holds true

$$
(x, y \mid z)_{T}=(T(x), T(y) \mid T(z))=(T(y), T(x) \mid T(z))=(y, x \mid z)_{T}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x, x \mid y)_{T}=(T(x), T(x) \mid T(y))=(T(y), T(y) \mid T(x))=(y, y \mid x)_{T}, \\
& \begin{aligned}
(\alpha x, y \mid z)_{T}= & (T(\alpha x), T(y) \mid T(z))=(\alpha T(x), T(y) \mid T(z)) \\
= & \alpha(T(x), T(y) \mid T(z))=\alpha(x, y \mid z)_{T}, \\
\left(x+x_{1}, y \mid z\right)_{T} & =\left(T\left(x+x_{1}\right), T(y) \mid T(z)\right)=\left(T(x)+T\left(x_{1}\right), T(y) \mid T(z)\right) \\
& =(T(x), T(y) \mid T(z))+\left(T\left(x_{1}\right), T(y) \mid T(z)\right) \\
& =(x, y \mid z)_{T}+\left(x_{1}, y \mid z\right)_{T} .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that the axioms $i i$ )-v) of 2-inner product definition are satisfied.
Lemma 3. Let $L$ and $L_{1}$ be 2-pre-Hilbert spaces. Then, for the linear mapping $F: L \rightarrow L_{1}$ the following holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
(F(x), F(y) \mid F(z))_{L_{1}}=(x, y \mid z)_{L}, \text { for each } x, y, z \in L \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if
$\|F(x), F(y)\|_{L_{1}}=\|x, y\|_{L}$, for each $x, y \in L$,
and 2-norms on $L$ and $L_{1}$ are defined by the 2-inner products.
Proof. Let (5) holds for a linear mapping $F: L \rightarrow L_{1}$. Then for each $x, y \in L$ is true that

$$
\|F(x), F(y)\|_{L_{1}}=(F(x), F(x) \mid F(y))_{L_{1}}=(x, x \mid y)_{L}=\|x, y\|_{L}
$$

i.e. holds (6).

Conversely, if $F: L \rightarrow L_{1}$ is a linear mapping such that holds true (6), then for each $x, y, z \in L$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(F(x), F(y) \mid F(z))_{L_{1}} & =\frac{\|F(x)+F(y), F(z)\|_{L_{1}}^{2}-\|F(x)-F(y), F(z)\|_{L_{1}}^{2}}{4} \\
& =\frac{\|F(x+y), F(z)\|_{L_{1}}^{2}-\|F(x-y), F(z)\|_{L_{1}}^{2}}{4} \\
& =\frac{\|x+y, z\|_{L_{1}}^{2}-\|x-y, z\|_{L}^{2}}{4} \\
& =(x, y \mid z)_{L},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. (5) holds true.

In [6] C. Diminnie and A. White characterized 2-pre-Hilbert space using partial derivatives of 2functionals, i.e. proved that if $(L,(\cdot, \cdot \mid \cdot))$ is a 2-pre-Hilbert space in which the norm is defined by (1), then for each $x, y, z \in L$ holds true

$$
(x, y \mid z)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+t y, z\|-\|x, z\|}{2 t} .
$$

Further, the following Theorem holds true.
Theorem 1 ([4]). Let $(L,\|\cdot \cdot\|)$ be a 2-norm space. $L$ is 2-pre-Hilbert space if and only if for each $z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ one of the following conditions holds true:
$I I_{1}$. For each $x, y \in L$ such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|$ and for each $m, n \in \mathbf{R}$ holds true

$$
\|m x+n y, z\|=\|n x+m y, z\| .
$$

II $.\|x+y, z\|=\|x-y, z\|, x, y \in L$ implies

$$
\|x+y, z\|^{2}=\|x, z\|^{2}+\|y, z\|^{2}
$$

$I I_{3}$. There is a real number $\alpha \neq 0, \pm 1$ such that

$$
\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|, x, y \in L \text { implies }\|x+\alpha y, z\|=\|\alpha x+y, z\| \text {. }
$$

$I I_{4}$. There is a real number $\alpha \neq 0, \pm 1$ such that

$$
\|x+y, z\|=\|x-y, z\|, x, y \in L \text { implies }\|x+\alpha y, z\|=\|x-\alpha y, z\| .
$$

II 5 . $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|, x, y \in L$ implies that for each real number $\alpha>0$ holds true

$$
\left\|\alpha x+\alpha^{-1} y, z\right\| \geq\|x+y, z\| .
$$

$I I_{6}$. For each $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in L$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}=0$ and $\left\|x_{1}, z\right\|=\left\|x_{2}, z\right\|$ holds true

$$
\left\|x_{1}-x_{3}, z\right\|=\left\|x_{2}-x_{3}, z\right\| .
$$

$I I_{7}$. For each $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in L$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{4} x_{i}=0$ and $\left\|x_{1}, z\right\|=\left\|x_{2}, z\right\|$ and $\left\|x_{3}, z\right\|=\left\|x_{4}, z\right\|$ holds true

$$
\left\|x_{1}-x_{3}, z\right\|=\left\|x_{2}-x_{4}, z\right\| \text { and }\left\|x_{2}-x_{3}, z\right\|=\left\|x_{1}-x_{4}, z\right\| .
$$

$I I_{8}$. The value of the expression

$$
F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\left\|x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}, z\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}, z\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}, z\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}, z\right\|^{2}
$$

does not depend on $x_{3}$.
$I I_{9}$. For each $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in L, n \geq 3$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=0$ the following holds true

$$
\sum_{i, k=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}-x_{k}, z\right\|^{2}=2 n \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}, z\right\|^{2} .
$$

## 2. Dunkl-Williams Inequality into 2-Norm Space

In this section we will generalize the Dunkl-Williams inequality into 2-normed space. Actually, this inequality was proven in [2], but in our further consideration we will present its proof, and also we will present a proof of the generalization of Mercer inequality ([16]) into 2-normed space.
Theorem 2. a) (Dunkl-Williams inequality). Let $L$ be 2 -normed space. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\| \leq \frac{4\|x-y, z\|}{\|x, z\|+\|y, z\|}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ and for each $x, y \in L \backslash V(z)$, where $V(z)$ be the subspace generated by the vector $z$.
b) (Mercer inequality). If $L$ is a 2-pre-Hilbert space, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\| \leq \frac{2\|x-y, z\|}{\|x, z\|+\|y, z\|}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ and for each $x, y \in L \backslash V(z)$, where $V(z)$ is the subspace generated by the vector $z$.

Proof. a) Let $L$ be 2 -normed space, $z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ and $x, y \in L \backslash V(z)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x, z\| \cdot\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\| & \leq\|x, z\| \cdot\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|x, z\|}, z\right\|+\|x, z\| \cdot\left\|\frac{y}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\| \\
& =\|x-y, z\|+|\|y, z\|-\|x, z\|| \\
& \leq 2\|x-y, z\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously one can prove that

$$
\|y, z\| \cdot\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\| \leq 2\|x-y, z\| .
$$

Finally, by adding the last two inequalities, we get the inequality (7).
b) Let $L$ be 2-pre-Hilbert space, $z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ and $x, y \in L \backslash V(z)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\|^{2} & =\left(\left.\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|y, z\|} \cdot \frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|y, z\|} \right\rvert\, z\right) \\
& =2-2\left(\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}, \left.\frac{y}{\|y, z\|} \right\rvert\, z\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\|x, z\|\| \| y, z \|}(2\|x, z\| \cdot\|y, z\|-2(x, y \mid z)) \\
& =\frac{1}{\|x, z\|\|y, z\|}\left(2\|x, z\| \cdot\|y, z\|-\left(\|x, z\|^{2}+\|y, z\|^{2}-\|x-y, z\|^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\|x, z\|\| \|, z \|}\left(\|x-y, z\|^{2}-(\|x, z\|-\|y, z\|)^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the above equality and the parallelepiped inequality imply the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|x-y, z\|^{2}-\left(\frac{\|x, z\|+\|y, z\|}{2}\right)^{2}\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\|^{2}= \\
& \quad=\|x-y, z\|^{2}-\left(\frac{\|x, z\|+\|y, z\|}{2}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\|x, z\|\| \| y, z \|}\left(\|x-y, z\|^{2}-(\|x, z\|-\|y, z\|)^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{(\|x, z\|-\|y, z\|)^{2}}{\|x, z\|\|\cdot\| y, z \|}\left(\frac{\|x, z\|+\|y, z\|}{2}\right)^{2}+\|x-y, z\|^{2}\left(1-\left(\frac{\|x, z\|+\|y, z\|}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\|x, z\|\|y, z\| \|}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{(\|x, z\|\| \| y, z \|)^{2}}{4\|x, z\| \cdot\|y, z\|}\left((\|x, z\|+\|y, z\|)^{2}-\|x-y, z\|^{2}\right) \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the inequality (8) holds true.
Theorem 3. Let $L$ be a 2 -normed space. The following statements are equivalent:

1) For each $z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ and for each $x, y \in L \backslash V(z)$, where $V(z)$ is a subspace generated by the vector $z$ the inequality (8) holds true.
2) If $x, y, z \in L$ is such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}, z\right\| \leq\|(1-t) x+t y, z\|, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $t \in[0,1]$.
Proof. 1) $\Rightarrow 2$ ). Let suppose that the statement 1) holds true. Let $x, y, z \in L$ be such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$. Then $z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ and $x,-y \in L \backslash V(z)$. Clearly, for $t=0$ and $t=1$, the inequality (9) holds true. If $t \in(0,1)$, then 1$)$ implies the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(1-t) x+t y, z\| & =(1-t)\left\|x-\frac{t}{t-1} y, z\right\| \\
& =\frac{1-t}{2}\left(\|x, z\|+\left\|\frac{t}{t-1} y, z\right\| \frac{2\left\|x-\frac{t}{t-1} y, z\right\|}{\|x, z\|+\left\|\frac{t}{t-1} y, z\right\|}\right. \\
& \geq \frac{1-t}{2}\left(\|x, z\|+\left\|\frac{t}{t-1} y, z\right\|\right)\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{\frac{t}{t-1} y}{\left\|\frac{t}{t-1} y, z\right\|}, z\right\| \\
& =\frac{1-t}{2}\left(1+\frac{t}{1-t}\right)\|x+y, z\| \\
& =\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}, z\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. the inequality (9) holds true.
$2) \Rightarrow 1)$. Let suppose that the statement 1) holds true. Let $z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ and $x, y \in L \backslash V(z)$. Then, for $\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}, \frac{-y}{\|y, z\|} \in L$ holds true $\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}, z\right\|=\left\|\frac{-y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\|=1$ and if we let that $t=\frac{\|y, z\|}{\|x, z\|+\|y, z\|}$, according to 2) we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\| & =2 \frac{\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}+\frac{-y}{\|y, z\|}}{2}, z \| \\
& \leq 2\left\|\left(1-\frac{\|y, z\|}{\|x, z\|+\cdots y, z \|}\right) \frac{x}{\|x, z\|}+\frac{\|y, z\|}{\|x, z\|+\|y, z\|} \cdot \frac{-y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\| \\
& =\frac{2\|x-y, z\|}{\|x, z\|+\|y, z\|},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. the inequality (8) holds true.

Remark 1. In [13] it was proved that for each $x, y, z \in L$ such that the sets $\{x, z\}$ and $\{y, z\}$ are linearly independent the following inequality holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\| \leq \frac{\|x-y, z\|+\|x, z\|-\|y, z\| \|}{\max \{\|x, z\|,\|y, z\|\}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, using the fact that for each $x, y, z \in L$ holds true

$$
|\|x, z\|-\|y, z\|| \leq\|x-y, z\|
$$

we get that (10) implies the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\| \leq \frac{2\|x-y, z\|}{\max \{\|x, z\|,\|y, z\|\}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the inequality (11), which in fact is generalization of Massera and Schäffer inequality ([15]) and holds true into an arbitrary 2-normed space is stronger than Dunkl-Williams inequality (4), but is weaker than the inequality (10).

Also, using the fact that for each $x, y, z \in L$ holds true

$$
\|x-y, z\|+|\|x, z\|-\|y, z\|| \leq \sqrt{2\|x-y, z\|^{2}+2(\|x, z\|-\|y, z\|)^{2}} \leq 2\|x-y, z\|,
$$

the inequality (10) implies that the following inequality holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2\|x-y, z\|^{2}+2(\|x, z\|-\|y, z\|)^{2}}}{\max \{\|x, z\|,\|y, z\|\}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the inequality (12) is stronger than (11), but weaker than (10).

## 3. Characterizations of 2-pre-Hilbert Space

In this section we will give two characterizations of 2 -inner product, which in fact are generalizations of Kirk-Smiley characterization ([10]) and Gurarii-Sozonov characterization ([9]).
Theorem 4. Let $L$ be a 2 -normed space. If the following inequality holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}-\frac{y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\| \leq \frac{2\|x-y, z\|}{\|x, z\|+\|y, z\|}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ and for each $x, y \in L \backslash V(z)$, then $L$ be a 2-pre-Hilbert space.
Proof. Let $\alpha>0, z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ and $x, y \in L \backslash V(z)$ be such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|$. The inequality (13), applied to the vectors $\alpha x$ and $-\alpha^{-1} y$ implies the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\alpha x+\alpha^{-1} y, z\right\| & \frac{\|\alpha x, z\|+\left\|\alpha^{-1} y, z\right\|}{2}\left\|\frac{\alpha x}{\|\alpha x, z\|}+\frac{\alpha^{-1} y}{\left\|\alpha^{-1} y, z\right\|}, z\right\| \\
& =\frac{\alpha\|x, z\|+\alpha^{-1}\|y, z\|}{2}\left\|\frac{x}{\|x, z\|}+\frac{y}{\|y, z\|}, z\right\| \\
& =\frac{\alpha+\alpha^{-1}}{2}\|x+y, z\| \\
& \geq\|x+y, z\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This, according to Theorem 1 means that $L$ is a 2 -pre-Hilbert space.

Corollary 1. Let $L$ be a 2 -normed space. If $x, y, z \in L$ be such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$ holds true and for each $t \in[0,1]$ holds

$$
\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}, z\right\| \leq\|(1-t) x+t y, z\|,
$$

then $L$ is a 2-pre-Hilbert space.
Proof. The proof is a direct implication of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4.
Before we go on the following characterization of 2-pre-Hilbert space, we must mention that the condition $I I_{4}$ of Theorem 1 is equivalent to the following conditions:
$I_{4}$. It exists a real number $\alpha_{0}>1$ such that $\left\|\alpha_{0} x+y, z\right\|=\left\|x+\alpha_{0} y, z\right\|$, for each $x, y \in L$ such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$.
$I_{4}^{\prime \prime}$. It exists a real number $t_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(1-t_{0}\right) x+t_{0} y, z\right\|=\left\|t_{0} x+\left(1-t_{0}\right) y, z\right\|
$$

for each $x, y \in L$ such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$.
Theorem 1 and the stated above imply the validity of the following Corollary.
Corollary 2. Let ( $L,\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ ) be a 2 -normed space. $L$ be a 2-pre-Hilbert space if and only if for each $z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ is satisfied one of the following conditions:

1) It exists a real number $\alpha_{0}>1$ such that $\left\|\alpha_{0} x+y, z\right\|=\left\|x+\alpha_{0} y, z\right\|$, for each $x, y \in L$ such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$.
2) It exists a real number $t_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(1-t_{0}\right) x+t_{0} y, z\right\|=\left\|t_{0} x+\left(1-t_{0}\right) y, z\right\|
$$

for each $x, y \in L$ such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$.
In the following Theorem, which actually is generalization of Tanaka result ([17]), we will prove that by weakening the conditions 1) and 2) given in Corollary 2, we get a new characterization of 2-pre-Hilbert space.
Theorem 5. Let $(L,\|\cdot \cdot\|)$ be a 2-normed space. $L$ is a 2 -pre-Hilbert space if and only if for each $z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ is satisfied one of the following conditions:

1) For each $x, y \in L$ such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$ it exists a real number $\alpha>1$ such that $\|\alpha x+y, z\|=\|x+\alpha y, z\|$.
2) For each $x, y \in L$ such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$ it exists a real number $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ such that $\|(1-t) x+t y, z\|=\|t x+(1-t) y, z\|$.
Obviously, the real numbers $\alpha>1$ and $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ can depend on $x, y \in L$, for which $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$ holds true.

Proof. Corollary 2 implies that it is sufficient to prove that the condition 2 ) implies that $L$ is a 2 -pre-Hilbert space, which according to Corollary 1 means that it is sufficient to prove that the condition 2) implies that $x, y, z \in L$ is such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$, then

$$
\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}, z\right\| \leq\|(1-t) x+t y, z\|,
$$

for each $t \in[0,1]$.
Let $x, y, z \in L$ be such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$. We may assume that the set $\{x, y\}$ is linearly independent. Consider the set
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$$
A=\left\{\left.t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \right\rvert\,\|(1-t) x+t y, z\|=\|t x+(1-t) y, z\|\right\} .
$$

The condition 2) implies that $A \neq \varnothing$. Therefore it exists $t_{0}=\sup A$. We will prove that $t_{0}=\frac{1}{2}$. Since the convexity of the function $t \rightarrow\|(1-t) x+t y, z\|, t \in[0,1]$, we will get that

$$
\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}, z\right\| \leq\|(1-t) x+t y, z\|,
$$

for each $t \in[0,1]$.
Let suppose that $t_{0}<\frac{1}{2}$. Then, the continuous of 2 -norm implies that $t_{0} \in A$. The vectors $u=\left(1-t_{0}\right) x+t_{0} y$ and $v=t_{0} x+\left(1-t_{0}\right) y$ satisfy $\|u, z\|=\|v, z\|$. Let be $x_{0}=\frac{u}{\|u, z\|}$ and $y_{0}=\frac{v}{\|v, z\|}$. The assumption implies that it exists a real number $t_{1} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(1-t_{1}\right) x_{0}+t_{1} y_{0}, z\right\|=\left\|t_{1} x_{0}+\left(1-t_{1}\right) y_{0}, z\right\| .
$$

Let $t^{*}=\left(1-t_{1}\right) t_{0}+t_{1}\left(1-t_{0}\right)$. Then $t_{0}<t^{*}<\frac{1}{2}$ and also holds

$$
\left\|\left(1-t^{*}\right) x+t^{*} y, z\right\|=\left\|t^{*} x+\left(1-t^{*}\right) y, z\right\| .
$$

This means that $t^{*} \in A$, and that is contradictory to $t_{0}=\sup A$ and $t_{0}<t^{*}<\frac{1}{2}$. Finally, the contradictory implies that $t_{0}=\frac{1}{2}$.

Corollary 3. Let $(L,\|, \cdot\|)$ be a 2 -normed space. $L$ be a 2 -pre-Hilbert space if and only if for each $z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ is satisfied that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x+\frac{x+y}{\|x+y, z\|}, z\right\|=\left\|y+\frac{x+y}{\|x+y, z\|}, z\right\|, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x, y \in L$ such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$ and $x+y \notin V(z)$.
Proof. We will prove that the condition is sufficient. If $z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ and $x, y \in L$ be such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$ and $x+y \notin V(z)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(1+\|x+y, z\|) x+y, z\|^{2} & =(1+\|x+y, z\|)^{2}\|x, z\|^{2}+2(1+\|x+y, z\|)(x, y \mid z)+\|y, z\|^{2} \\
& =(1+\|x+y, z\|)^{2}\|y, z\|^{2}+2(1+\|x+y, z\|)(x, y \mid z)+\|x, z\|^{2} \\
& =\|x+(1+\|x+y, z\|) y, z\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. the following equality holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(1+\|x+y, z\|) x+y, z\|=\|x+(1+\|x+y, z\|) y, z\|, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to the equality (14).
We will prove that the condition is necessary. Let $z \in L \backslash\{0\}$ and $x, y \in L$ be such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$ and $x+y \notin V(z)$. Then holds true the equality (14), which is equivalent to (15). But, $x+y \notin V(z)$, and therefore $1+\|x+y, z\|>1$. The last, according to Theorem 5, means that $L$ is a 2-pre-Hilbert space.
Remark 2. Since $1+\|x+y, z\|$ depends on $x, y \in L$ such that $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$, we can deduce that the statement given in Corollary 2 is not an implication of the statements given in Corollary 1. The last actually shows the advantage of Theorem 5.

Example 1. In [11] it is proved that in the set of bounded arrays of real numbers $l^{\infty}$ by

$$
\|x, y\|=\sup _{\substack{i, j \in \mathbf{N} \\
i<j}}| | \begin{array}{cc}
x_{i} & x_{j} \\
y_{i} & y_{j}
\end{array}| |, x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}, y=\left(y_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in l^{\infty}
$$

is defined a 2 -norm. The last means that $\left(l^{\infty},\|\cdot, \cdot\|\right)$ is real 2 -normed space. It is easy to find that the vectors

$$
x=\left(1-\frac{1}{2}, 1-\frac{1}{2^{2}}, \ldots, 1-\frac{1}{2^{n}}, \ldots\right), y=\left(0, \frac{1}{2}-1, \frac{1}{2^{2}}-1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}-1, \ldots\right) \text { and } z=(1,0,0, \ldots, 0, \ldots)
$$

satisfy followings $\|x, z\|=\|y, z\|=1$ and $x+y \notin V(z)$. Further, $\|x+y, z\|=\frac{1}{2^{2}}$, and therefore

$$
x+\frac{x+y}{\|x+y, z\|}=\left(1+\frac{3}{2}, 1+\frac{3}{2^{2}}, 1+\frac{3}{2^{3}}, \ldots, 1+\frac{3}{2^{n}}, \ldots\right), y+\frac{x+y}{\|x+y, z\|}=\left(2, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}-1, \ldots, \frac{3}{2^{n-1}}-1, \ldots\right) .
$$

So,

$$
\left\|x+\frac{x+y}{\|x+y, z\|}, z\right\|=\frac{7}{4} \neq 1=\left\|y+\frac{x+y}{\|x+y, z\|}, z\right\| .
$$

The last according to corollary 3 , means that the 2 -normed space $\left(l^{\infty},\|\cdot, \cdot\|\right)$ is not 2 -pre-Hilbert space.

## 4. Conclusion

In example 1 , by using Corollary 3 is proven that ( $l^{\infty},\|\cdot \cdot \cdot\|$ ) is not 2-pre-Hilbert space. Analogously, other results obtained in this paper may find application in checking whether a 2 normed space is 2-pre-Hilbert, as is the case with spaces $\left(L^{p}(\mu),\|\cdot \cdot\|\right), p>1$.
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