## Results on the Commutative Neutrix Convolution Product Involving the Logarithmic Integral $li(x^s)$ and $x^r$

Fatma Al-Sirehy

Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University Jeddah, Saudi Arabia falserehi@kau.edu.sa

**Abstract:** The logarithmic integral  $li(x^s)$  and its associated functions  $li_+(x^s)$  and  $li_-(x^s)$  where s = 1, 2, ... are defined as locally summable functions on the real line. The commutative neutrix convolution product of these functions and  $x^r$  are evaluated for r = 0, 1, 2, ... Further results are also given.

**Keywords and Phrases:** Logarithmic integral, distribution, neutrix, neutrix convolution, commutative neutrix convolution.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 33B10, 46F10

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The logarithmic integral li(x), see Abramowitz and Stegun [1] is defined by

$$\begin{split} &\text{li}(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{dt}{\ln|t|}, for |x| < 1\\ &\text{PV} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{dt}{\ln t}, \text{for } x > 1, \\ &\text{PV} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{dt}{\ln|t|}, for x < -1 \end{array} \right. \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{dt}{\ln|t|}, for |x| < 1\\ &\text{lim}_{\varepsilon \to 0^{+}}[\int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \frac{dt}{\ln t} + \int_{1+\varepsilon}^{x} \frac{dt}{\ln t}], \text{ for } x > 1, \\ &\text{lim}_{\varepsilon \to 0^{+}}[\int_{0}^{-1+\varepsilon} \frac{dt}{\ln |t|} + \int_{-1-\varepsilon}^{x} \frac{dt}{\ln |t|}], \text{ for } x < -1 \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

where PV denotes the Cauchy principal value of the integral, we will use

$$\operatorname{li}(x) = \operatorname{PV} \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\ln|t|}$$

for all values of *x*.

The logarithmic integral li(x) was generalized to

$$li(x^{T}) = PV \int_{0}^{x^{T}} \frac{dt}{\ln|t|}$$

and its associated functions  $li_+(x^r)$  and  $li_-(x^r)$  are defined by

$$li_{+}(x^{r}) = H(x) li(x^{r}), li_{-}(x^{r}) = H(-x) li(x^{r})$$

where H(x) denotes Heaviside's function.

It follows that

$$li(x^{r}) = PV \int_{0}^{x} \frac{t^{r-1}dt}{\ln|t|},$$
(1)

see [6]. The distribution  $x^{r-1} \ln^{-1} |x|$  is then defined by

$$x^{r-1}\ln^{-1}|x| = [\ln(x^{r})]^{r}$$

and its associated distributions  $x_{+}^{r-1}\ln^{-1}x_{+}$  and  $x_{-}^{r-1}\ln^{-1}x_{-}$  are defined by

©ARC

Page | 736

$$x_{+}^{r-1}\ln^{-1} x_{+} = H(x) x^{r-1}\ln^{-1} |x| = [li_{+}(x^{r})]',$$
  
$$x_{-}^{r-1}\ln^{-1} x_{-} = H(-x) x^{r-1}\ln^{-1} |x| = [li_{-}(x^{r})]',$$

for r = 1, 2, ...

The classical definition of the convolution of two functions f and g is as follows:

**Definition1.** Let f and g be functions. Then the convolution f\*g is defined by

 $(f^*g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)g(x-t)dt$ 

for all points x for which the integral exist.

It follows from Definition 1 that if f \* g exists then g\*f exists and

 $f^*g = g^*f$ .

Furthermore, if (f\*g )' and f\*g' (or f'\*g) exist, then

$$(f^*g)' = f^*g'$$
 (or  $f'^*g$ )

Gel'fand and Shilov [9] extended Definition 1 to define the convolution f\*g of two distributions f and g in D', the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support.

(2)

(3)

**Definition2.** Let f and g be distributions in D'. Then the convolution  $f^*g$  is defined by the equation

 $\langle (f * g)(x), \varphi(x) \rangle = \langle f(y), \langle g(x), \varphi(x+y) \rangle \rangle$ 

for every  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$  in D, provided f and g satisfy either of the conditions

(a) either f or g has bounded support,

(b) the supports of f and g are bounded on the same side.

Note that if f and g are locally summable functions satisfying either of the above conditions and the classical convolution  $f^*g$  exists, then it is in agreement with Definition 1.1.

The commutative neutrix convolution product is defined in [4] and it works for a large class of pairs of distributions. In that definition, unit-sequences of functions in D are used which allows one to approximate a given distribution by a sequence of distributions of bounded support.

To recall the definition of the commutative neutrix convolution we first let  $\tau$  be a function in D, see [10], satisfying the the following properties:

i.  $\tau(x) = \tau(-x),$ ii.  $0 \le \tau(x) \le 1,$ iii.  $\tau(x) = 1 \text{ for } |x| \le \frac{1}{2},$ iv.  $\tau(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| \ge 1.$ 

The function  $\tau_n$  is now defined by

$$\tau_{n}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, |x| \leq n, \\ \tau(n^{n}x - n^{n+1}), x > n, \\ \tau(n^{n}x + n^{n+1}), x < -n, \end{cases}$$

for n = 1, 2, ...

We have the following definition of the commutative neutrix convolution product.

**Definition3.** Let f and g be distributions in D' and let  $f_n = f\tau_n$  and  $gn = g\tau_n$  for n = 1, 2, ... Then the commutative neutrix convolution product  $f \circledast g$  is defined as the neutrix limit of the sequence  $\{f_n \ast g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , provided the limit h exists in the sense that

$$N - \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle f_n * g_n, \varphi \rangle = \langle h, \varphi \rangle$$

for every  $\varphi$  in D, where N is the neutrix, see van der Corput [2], having domain N' of positive integers and range N" the real numbers, with negligible functions finite linear sums of the functions

International Journal of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical Research (IJSIMR) Page 737

$$n^{\lambda} ln^{r-1}n$$
,  $ln^{r}n$ :  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $r=1, 2, ...$ 

and all functions which converge to zero in the normal sense as n tend to infinity.

Note that in this definition, the convolution product  $f_n * g_n$  is in the sense of Definition 1.1, the distributions  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  having bounded support since the support of  $\tau_n$  is contained in the interval  $[-n - n^{-n}, n + n^{-n}]$ . This neutrix convolution product is also commutative.

It is obvious that any results proved with the original definition hold with the new definition. The following theorems, proved in [4] therefore hold, the first showing that the commutative neutrix convolution product is a generalization of the convolution product. Therefore the idea of a neutrix lies in neglecting certain numerical sequences diverging to  $\pm \infty$ , which makes a wider the class of pairs of distributions f and g for which the product exists. It should be noted that, in general, the definition of a commutative neutrix convolution product depends on the choice of the sequence  $\tau_n$  as well as the set of negligible sequences.

**Theorem1**. Let f and g be distributions in D', satisfying either condition (a) or condition (b) of Gel'fand and Shilov's definition. Then the commutative neutrix convolution product  $f \mathbb{F}_g$  exists and

$$f \circledast g = f \ast g$$
.

Note however that  $(f \mathbb{F}g)'$  is not necessarily equal to  $f' \mathbb{F}g$ , but we do have the following theorem proved in [5].

**Theorem2.** Let f and g be distributions in D' and suppose that commutative neutrix convolution product  $f \circledast g$  exists. If  $N - \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle (f\tau'_n) \ast g_n, \varphi \rangle$  exists and equals (h,  $\varphi$ ) for every  $\varphi$  in D, then f'  $\circledast$  g exists and (f  $\circledast g$ )' = f'  $\circledast g + h$ .

In the following, we need to extend our set of negligible functions to include finite linear sums of the functions  $n^{s}li(n^{r})$  and  $n^{s} ln^{-r} n$ , (n > 1) for s = 0, 1, 2, ... and r = 1, 2, ...

#### 2. MAIN RESULTS

The following were proved in [6] for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., and s = 1, 2, ...

$$li_{+}(x^{s})^{*}x_{+}^{r} = \frac{1}{r+1}\sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{i}(-1)^{r-i+1}x^{i}li_{+}(x^{r+s-i+1}),$$
(4)

$$x_{+}^{s-1}ln^{-1}x_{+} * x_{+}^{r} = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{r}{i} (-1)^{r-i} x^{i} li_{+} (x^{r+s-i})$$
(5)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{n}^{n+n^{-n}} \tau_n(t) li(t) (x-t)^r dt = 0$$
(6)

$$N - \lim_{n \to \infty} li[(x+n)^r] = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$N - \lim_{n \to \infty} n^r li[(x+n)] = 0 \tag{8}$$

Now we prove the following results.

**Theorem 3** The neutrix convolutions  $li_{+}(x^{s}) \ge x^{r}$  exists and

$$\operatorname{li}_{+}(x^{s}) \stackrel{\text{\tiny{\scale{8.5}}}}{=} x^{r} = 0, \tag{9}$$

for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., and s = 1, 2, ....

**Proof.** Put  $[li_+(x^s)]_n = li_+(x^s)\tau_n(x)$  and  $[x^r]_n = x^r\tau_n(x)$  for n = 1, 2,... Since these functions have compact support, the convolution product  $[li_+(x^s)]_n * [x^r]_n$  exists by definition 1 and so

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathrm{li}_{+}(x^{s})]_{n} &* [x^{r}]_{n} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} li_{+}(t^{s})(x-t)^{r}\tau_{n}(x-t)\tau_{n}(t)dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{n} li(t^{s})(x-t)^{r}\tau_{n}(x-t)dt + \int_{n}^{n+n^{-n}} li(t^{s})(x-t)^{r}\tau_{n}(x-t)\tau_{n}(x-t)\tau_{n}(t)dt \\ &= \mathrm{I}_{1} + \mathrm{I}_{2}. \end{aligned}$$
(10)

If  $0 \le x \le n$ , then we have

$$\begin{split} I_{1} &= \int_{0}^{n} li(t^{s})(x-t)^{r} \tau_{n}(x-t) dt \\ &= PV \int_{0}^{n} (x-t)^{r} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{u^{s-1}}{lnu} du \, dt \\ &= PV \int_{0}^{n} \frac{u^{s-1}}{lnu} \int_{u}^{n} (x-t)^{r} dt \, du \\ &= PV \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} (-1)^{r-i+1} x^{i} {r+1 \choose i} \int_{0}^{n} \frac{u^{r+s-i} - n^{r+s-i}}{ln \, u} du \\ &= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} {r+1 \choose i} (-1)^{r-i+1} x^{i} [li(n^{r+s-i+1}) - n^{r+s-i} li(n)]. \end{split}$$

Using (7) and (8) we get,

$$N_{n\to\infty}^{-} \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{0}^{n} li(t^{s})(x-t)^{r} \tau_{n}(x-t) dt = 0.$$
(11)  
Next, if  $-n \le x \le 0$ , we have  

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{n} li(t^{s})(x-t)^{r} \tau_{n}(x-t) dt$$
  

$$= \int_{0}^{x+n} li(t^{s})(x-t)^{r} dt + \int_{x+n}^{x+n+n^{-n}} li(t^{s})(x-t)^{r} \tau_{n}(x-t) dt,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{x+n} li(t^{s})(x-t)^{r} dt &= PV \int_{0}^{x+n} (x-t)^{r} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{u^{s-1}}{\ln |u|} du \, dt \\ &= PV \int_{0}^{x+n} \frac{u^{s-1}}{\ln u} \int_{u}^{x+n} (x-t)^{r} dt \, du \\ &= PV \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} (-1)^{r-i+1} x^{i} {r+1 \choose i} \int_{0}^{x+n} \frac{u^{r-i+s}}{\ln u} du - PV \frac{(-n)^{r+1}}{r+1} \int_{0}^{x+n} \frac{u^{s-1}}{\ln u} du \\ &= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} {r+1 \choose i} (-1)^{r-i+1} x^{i} li [(x+n)^{r+s-i+1}] + \\ &\quad - \frac{(-n)^{r+1}}{r+1} li (x+n)^{s}. \end{split}$$

Using (7) and (8), we have

$$N_{n \to \infty} \int_{0}^{x+n} li(t^{s})(x-t)^{r} dt = 0.$$
 (12)

Furthermore by using (6), we get

$$N - \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{x+n}^{x+n+n^{-n}} \tau_n(x-t) \, li(t^s)(x-t)^r dt = 0.$$
(13)

We have from equations (11), (12) and (13) that

$$N - \lim_{n \to \infty} I_1 = 0.$$
(14)

Furthermore, for every fixed x we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} I_2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_n^{n+n^{-n}} li(t^s)(x-t)^r \,\tau_n(x-t)\tau_n(t)dt = 0.$$
(15)

Now equation (9) follows from equations (10), (14) and (15), proving the theorem.

**Corolary1.** The neutrix convolution  $li_{x^{s}} \equiv x^{r}$  exists and

$$\operatorname{li}_{(x^{s})} \stackrel{\text{\tiny{(x)}}}{=} x^{r} = 0, \tag{16}$$

for r = 0, 1, 2, ... and s = 1, 2, ...

*Proof.* Equation (16) follows immediately on replacing x by -x in equation(9).

**Corolary2.** The neutrix convolution  $li(x^{s}) \otimes x^{r}$  exists and

$$\operatorname{li}(x^{s}) \stackrel{\text{\tiny{le}}}{=} x^{r} = 0, \tag{17}$$

for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., and s = 1, 2, ....

International Journal of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical Research (IJSIMR) Page 739

# Results on the Commutative Neutrix Convolution Product Involving the Logarithmic Integral $\text{Li}(X^s)$ and $X^r$

*Proof.* Equation (17) follows on adding equation (9) and (16).

**Corolary3.** The neutrix convolutions  $li_+(x^s) \boxtimes x^r$  and  $li_-(x^s) \boxtimes x^r_+$  exist and

$$li_{+}(x^{s}) \boxtimes x^{r} = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} {r+1 \choose i} (-1)^{i} x^{i} li_{+}(x^{r+s-i+1}),$$
(18)

$$li_{+}(x^{s}) \equiv x^{r}_{+} = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} {r+1 \choose i} x^{i} li_{-} (x^{r+s-i+1}),$$
(19)

for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., and s = 1, 2, ....

Proof. Equation (18) follows from (4) and (9) by noting that

$$li_{+}(x^{s}) \cong x^{r} = li_{+}(x^{s}) \cong x^{r}_{+} + (-1)^{r} li_{+}(x^{s}) \boxtimes x^{r}_{+}$$

Equation (19) follows by replacing x by -x in equation (18).

**Theorem4.** The commutative neutrix convolution  $x^{s-1} + \ln^{-1} x + x^{r}$  exists and

$$x_{+}^{s-1}ln^{-1}x_{+} \circledast x^{r} = 0, \tag{20}$$

for 
$$r = 0, 1, 2, ...$$
 and  $s = 1, 2, ...$ 

Proof. Differentiating equation (9) and applying Theorem 2 we get

$$x_{+}^{s-1} ln^{-1} x_{+} \mathbb{H} x^{r} = N - \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ li_{+}(x^{s}) \tau_{n}'(x) \right] * (x^{r})_{n}$$
(21)

where, on integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathrm{li}_{+}(x^{s})\,\tau_{n}'(x)]^{*}(x^{r})_{n} &= \int_{n}^{n+n^{-n}} li(t^{s})(x-t)^{r}\,\tau_{n}(x-t)\tau_{n}'(t)dt \\ &= -\mathrm{li}(n^{s})(x-n)^{r}\tau_{n}(x-t) - \int_{n}^{n+n^{-n}} t^{s-1}\,ln^{-1}(t)(x-t)^{r}\tau_{n}(x-t)\tau_{n}(t)dt \\ &+ r\int_{n}^{n+n^{-n}} li(t^{s})\,(x-t)^{r-1}\tau_{n}(t)\tau_{n}(x-t)dt \\ &+ \int_{n}^{n+n^{-n}} li(t^{s})\,(x-t)^{r}\tau_{n}(t)\tau_{n}'(x-t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

$$(22)$$

Noting that  $\tau_n(x - n)$  is either 0 or 1 for large enough n, so

$$N - \lim_{n \to \infty} li(n^{s})(x - n)^{r} \tau_{n}(x - n) = 0.$$
(23)

Also, it is clear that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{n}^{n+n^{-n}} t^{s-1} \ln^{-1}(t) (x-t)^{r} \tau_{n}(t) \tau_{n}(x-t) dt = 0,$$
(24)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{n}^{n+n^{-n}} li \, (t^s) (x-t)^{r-1} \tau_n(t) \tau_n(x-t) dt = 0.$$
<sup>(25)</sup>

Now  $\tau'_n(x - t) = 0$  for large enough n and  $x \neq 0$ , so

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{n}^{n+n^{-n}} li(t^{s})(x-t)^{r} \tau_{n}(t) \tau_{n}'(x-t) dt = 0.$$
  
If  $x = 0$ , then  
$$\int_{n}^{n+n^{-n}} li(t^{s}) (x-t)^{r} \tau_{n}(t) \tau_{n}'(-t) dt = \frac{1}{2} li(n^{s})(x-n)^{r} + \frac{1$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{n}^{n+n^{-n}} [t^{s-1}ln^{-1}(t)(x-t)^{r} - rli(t^{s})(x-t)^{r-1}]\tau_{n}^{2}(t)dt.$$
(26)

This implies that

$$N_{n \to \infty} \int_{n}^{n+n^{-n}} li(t^{s})(x-t)^{r} \tau_{n}(t)\tau_{n}'(-t)dt = 0$$
(27)

and now equation (20) follows from the equations (22) to (27).

**Corolary 4.** The neutrix convolution 
$$x^{s-1}\ln_{-1}(x) \ge x^r$$
 exists and

(28)

$$x^{s-1}ln_{-}^{-1}(x) \cong x^{r} = 0,$$

for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., and s = 1, 2, ....

**Proof.** Equations (28) follows by replacing x by - x in equations (20).

**Corolary5.** The neutrix convolution  $x^{s-1} \ln^{-1} |x| \ge x^r$  exists and

$$x^{s-1}\ln^{-1}|x| \ge x^{r} = 0, \tag{29}$$

for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., and s = 1, 2, ....

*Proof.* Equation (29) follows by adding equations (20) and (28).

$$x_{+}^{s-1}ln_{+}^{-1}(x) \cong x_{-}^{r} = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{i} (-1)^{i} x^{i} li_{+} (x^{r+s-i+1}),$$
(30)

$$x_{-}^{s-1}ln_{-}^{-1}(x) \boxtimes x_{+}^{r} = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{i} x^{i} li_{+} (x^{r+s-i+1}),$$
(31)

for r = 0, 1, 2, ... and s = 1, 2, ...

Proof. Since

$$x_{+}^{s-1}ln^{-1} x_{+} \circledast x^{r} = x_{+}^{s-1}ln^{-1}x_{+} \circledast x_{+}^{r} + (-1)^{r}x_{+}^{s-1}ln^{-1}x_{+} \circledast x_{-}^{r},$$

equation (30) follows from (5) and (20). Equation (31) follows by replacing x by -x in equation (30).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun (Eds), Handbook of Mathematical Functions with formulas, Graphs and Mathematical Tables, 9th printing. New York: Dover, p. 879, 1972.
- [2] J.G. van der Corput, Introduction to the neutrix calculus, J. Analyse Math., 7(1959-60), 291-398.
- [3] B. Fisher, Neutrices and the convolution of distributions, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat., 17(1987), 119-135.
- [4] B. Fisher and L. C. Kuan, A commutative neutrix convolution product of distributions, Zb, Rad. Priod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 23 (1993), no. 1, 13-27.
- [5] B. Fisher and E. Ozcag, The exponential integral and the commutative neutrix convolution product, J. Anal., 7 (1999), 7-20.
- [6] B. Fisher, and B. Jolevska-Tuneska, On the logarithmic integral, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 39(3)(2010), 393-401.
- [7] B. Fisher, B. Jolevska-Tuneska and A. Takaci, Further results on the logarithmic integral, Sarajev Journal of Mathematics, Vol.8 (20) (2012), 91-100.
- [8] B. Jolevska-Tuneska and A. Takaci, Results on the commutative neutrix con-volution of distributions, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 37(2)(2008), 135-141.
- [9] I. M. Gel'fand, and G. E. Shilov, Generalized functions, Vol. I, Academic Press Chap. 1, 1964.
- [10] D.S. Jones, The convolution of generalized functions, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 24(1973), 145-163.