

On a Generalized Summation Formula

M. Ganeshwar Rao

Chaitanya Bharathi Institute of Technology
 Gandipet, Hyderabad
 Andhra Pradesh, INDIA
 mganeshcbt@gmail.com

Abstract: *In this paper the generalize a well-known asymptotic formula in two different ways.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose $g(n)$ is an arithmetic function and $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)$. If the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n}$ converges absolutely it is well known that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n} \quad (1.1)$$

ECKFORD COHEN [1] has generalized (1.1) in the form given below:

If the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n}$ is absolutely convergent and $g_s(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d) \tau_s\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$ where $\tau_s(n)$ (1.2)

Is defined by $\tau(n) = 1, \tau_{s+1}(n) = \sum_{d|n} \tau_s(d)$, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x \log^{s-1}(x)} \sum_{n \leq x} g_s(n) = \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n} \quad (s = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

Later W.NARKIEWICZ [2] has given a simple proof of (1.2)

In the present paper we give generalizations of (1.1) in two different ways.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we prove the following.

Theorem Suppose $g(n)$ is an arithmetic function and $f_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^k$ (2.1)

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n}$ is absolutely convergent then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x^{k+1}} \sum_{n \leq x} f_k(n) = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^{k+1}} \quad \text{for any } k \geq 0 \quad (2.2)$$

Proof: For any $k \geq 0$ note that

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(n) &= \sum_{d\delta=n} g(d) \delta^k \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x} g(d) \left[\sum_{\delta \leq \frac{x}{d}} \delta^k \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{d \leq x} g(d) \left(\frac{1}{k+1} \left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^{k+1} + o\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^k \right) \\
 &= \frac{x^{k+1}}{k+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{g(d)}{d^{k+1}} + o\left(x^k \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{|g(d)|}{d^k}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\frac{1}{x^{k+1}} \sum_{n \leq x} f_k(n) = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{g(n)}{n^{k+1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \left| \frac{g(n)}{n^k} \right| \right) \tag{2.3}$$

Now since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n}$ is absolutely convergent, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|g(n)|}{n^{k+1}}$ are both convergent. Therefore taking limits as $x \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.3) we get

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x^{k+1}} \sum_{n \leq x} f_k(n) = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^{k+1}},$$

proving the theorem.

Remark: In the case $k = 0$ Theorem 2.1 gives (1.1). (2.4)

We can further generalize Theorem 2.1 in a different way as follows.

Theorem: Suppose that $h(n)$ is an arithmetic function such that (2.5)

$$\sum_{n \leq x} h(n) = A x^s + B(x) \text{ where } B(x) = o(x^s) \tag{2.6}$$

Let $g(n)$ be an arithmetic function such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^s} \text{ converges absolutely.} \tag{2.7}$$

If $f(n) = (g * h)(n)$ then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x^s} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^s} \tag{2.8}$$

Proof: Since $f(n) = \sum_{d\delta=n} g(d)h(\delta)$ we get by (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) &= \sum_{d\delta \leq x} g(d)h(\delta) \\
 &= \sum_{d \leq x} g(d) \left(\sum_{\delta \leq \frac{x}{d}} h(\delta) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{d \leq x} g(d) \left[A \left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^s + B\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) \right] \\
 &= A x^s \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{g(d)}{d^s} + \sum_{d \leq x} B\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) g(d),
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{x^s} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) &= A \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{g(n)}{n^s} + \frac{1}{x^s} \sum_{n \leq x} B\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) g(n) \\
 &= A \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{g(n)}{n^s} + o\left(\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{g(n)}{n^s}\right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

since $\frac{B(x)}{x^s} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Now taking limits as $x \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.9), we get Theorem 2.8.

Remark: Taking $h(n) = 1$ for all n in (2.8) we get (1.1). Also the case $h(n) = n^k$ gives the Theorem 2.1. (2.10)

I thank Professor V. Siva Rama Prasad for his helpful suggestions in the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] ECKFORD COHEN; Arithmetical function associated with unitary Divisors of an integer, Math .Zeit ; 74 (1960),66-80.
- [2] NARKIEWICZ.W. On a summation formula of E.COHEN, Colloq, Math; VOL XI. (1963), 85-86.