Modeling and Analysis of Optimum Step-Stress Life Testing for Accelerated Life Testing Plans

Sana Shahab

Department of Statistics & Operations Research Aligarh Muslim University Aligarh, India sana.shahb@gmail.com

Arif-Ul-Islam

Department of Statistics & Operations Research Aligarh Muslim University Aligarh, India *arifislam2@yahoo.com*

Abstract: This paper studies Step Stress Accelerated Life Tests (SSALT). It is assumed that the lifetimes of test units follow a Frechet distribution. The experiment is subjected to two types of relationship between lifetimes and stress (linear and Quadratic). The scale parameter of the baseline distribution at a constant stress level is assumed to have log-linear and Quadratic relationship with stress and a Khamis-Higgins model holds. Numerical examples are presented to illustrate all the methods of inference developed here and a comparison of the maximum likelihood estimator's for different sample sizes is shown .The optimum test plan specifies the optimal stress switching point which is determined by minimizing the generalized asymptotic variance of the MLEs for the model parameters. Tables of optimum times of changing stress level for both plans are also obtained.

Keywords: Accelerated life testing, Step-stress, Inverse Weibull distribution, Life stress relationship (Linear and Quadratic), Maximum likelihood, Asymptotic variance (AV), Optimal time, Confidence interval

1. INTRODUCTION

Introducing a new product in market require decisions regarding reliability of the product or service. Accelerated testing might be the recommended or required approach. Accelerated testing is an approach for obtaining more information from a given test time than would normally be possible. It does this by subjecting a test unit to more severe conditions than normal in order to obtain failure modes quickly and shorten the testing period. Since higher stresses are used, accelerated testing must be approached with caution to avoid introducing failure modes that will not be encountered in normal use. The design of accelerated studies may include elevated temperature, high or low humidity, intense light, or as appropriate.

More specifically Quantitative Accelerated life test (QALT) includes accelerated time and accelerated stress. Various type of stresses include, the constant-stress ALT with an initial low stress, some of the units may survive too long because the stress is kept at a constant level throughout the life of test units. The second type is called step-stress ALT. Instead of holding the stress at a constant level, this method changes the stress setting at specified times on the surviving units. The third type is the progressive stress ALT. The stress is increased continuously (usually increased linearly) during the test.

In quantitative accelerated life testing, the engineer is interested in predicting the life of the product at normal use conditions. Nelson [1], Meeker and Escobar [2] and Nelson [3] provide a significant review of the literature on how to develop optimum QALT plans. Many authors also have provided the studies for statistical inference model for SSALT based on Cumulative Exposure Model (CEM); e.g., see Xiong [4], Watkins [5], Zhao and Elsayed [6], Balakrishnan el al. [7], Yeo and Tang [8], Xiong and Ji [9] and Xiong and Milliken [10]. Khamis and Higgins [11] proposed a new model for SSALT as an alternative to the CEM, which is based on a time transformation of the exponential CEM.

2. PROPOSED MODEL AND BASIC ASSUMPTIONS

The following assumptions are made:

- a) There are three stress levels S_1 , S_2 and $S_3(S_3 > S_2 > S_1)$.
- b) Under any stress, the lifetime of test units follow a Frechet distribution.
- c) The scale parameter θ_i at stress level i, i=1, 2, 3 is a function of stress given by (1) or (2)

$$\log (\theta_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 S_i \tag{1}$$

(2)

 $\log (\theta_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 S_i + \beta_2 S_i^2$

where, β_0 and $\beta_1 < 0$ are unknown parameters which is estimated by the data.

d) Failures occurs according to a cumulative exposure model by Nelson[1].

Principle of cumulative exposure model, is that, the remaining life of test items depends only on the current cumulative fraction failed and current stress regardless of how the fraction accumulated.

According to Cumulative Exposure-Model [1] the CDF in SSALT for 3-step is given by:

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} F_1(t) & 0 \le t < \tau_1 \\ F_2(t - \tau_1 + s_1) & \tau_1 \le t < \tau_2 \\ F_3(t - \tau + s_2) & \tau_2 \le t < \infty \end{cases}$$

 $s_0 = \tau_0 = 0;$

 $s_i(i>0)$ is the solution of:

 $F_{i+1}(s_i) = F_i(\tau_i - \tau_{i-1})$, for i = 1, ..., k-1.

The procedure of SSALT for 3-step is as follows:

A random sample of n identical products is placed on test under initial stress level S₁ and run

until time τ_1 , and then the stress is increased to S_2 for items that have not fail till time reaches τ_2 and then the final stress S_3 is given and the test continued until all products fail.

3. OPTIMUM QUADRATIC STEP-STRESS TEST

Cumulative Exposure-Model for 3-step is given by:

$$\begin{split} F(t) &= \begin{cases} F_1(t) & 0 \le t < \tau_1 \\ F_2(t-\tau_1+s_1) & \tau_1 \le t < \tau_2 \\ F_3(t-\tau_2+s_2) & \tau_2 \le t < \infty \end{cases} & 0 \le t < \tau_1 \\ exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{\theta_1}\right)^{-\alpha}\right) exp\left\{-\left[\left(\frac{\tau_1}{\theta_1}\right)^{-\alpha} - \left(\frac{\tau_1}{\theta_2}\right)^{-\alpha}\right]\right\} & \tau_1 \le t < \tau_2 \\ exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{\theta_3}\right)^{-\alpha}\right) exp\left\{-\left\{\left[\left(\frac{\tau_2}{\theta_2}\right)^{-\alpha} - \left(\frac{\tau_2}{\theta_3}\right)^{-\alpha}\right] - \left[\left(\frac{\tau_1}{\theta_2}\right)^{-\alpha} - \left(\frac{\tau_1}{\theta_3}\right)^{-\alpha}\right]\right\} \right\} & \tau_2 \le t < \infty \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Hence,

s₁≡solution of F₂(s₁) = F₁(τ₁)
On solving for s₁, we get, s₁ = τ₁
$$\left(\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}\right)$$

& s₂≡solution of F₃(s₂) = F₂(τ₂- τ₁+s₁)

On solving for s₂, we get, s₂ = $\tau_1 \left(\frac{\theta_3}{\theta_1}\right) + \frac{\theta_3}{\theta_2} \left(\tau_2 - \tau_1\right)$

Hence Probability density function for Cumulative Exposure model is given by:

$$\alpha \theta_1^{\alpha} t^{-\alpha-1} \exp \left(-\left(\frac{t}{\theta_1}\right)^{-\alpha} \right) \qquad \qquad 0 \le t < \tau_1$$

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha \theta_2^{\alpha} t^{-\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{\theta_2}\right)^{-\alpha}\right) \exp\left\{-\left[\left(\frac{\tau_1}{\theta_1}\right)^{-\alpha} - \left(\frac{\tau_1}{\theta_2}\right)^{-\alpha}\right]\right\} & \tau_1 \le t < \tau_2 \\ \alpha \theta_3^{\alpha} t^{-\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{\theta_3}\right)^{-\alpha}\right) \exp\left\{-\left\{\left[\left(\frac{\tau_2}{\theta_2}\right)^{-\alpha} - \left(\frac{\tau_2}{\theta_3}\right)^{-\alpha}\right] - \left[\left(\frac{\tau_1}{\theta_2}\right)^{-\alpha} - \left(\frac{\tau_1}{\theta_3}\right)^{-\alpha}\right]\right\} \\ \end{cases} & \tau_2 \le t < \infty \end{cases}$$

The log-likelihood equation is given by:

$$\begin{split} \log l &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \left[\log \alpha + \alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_1 + \beta_2 S_1^2) - (\alpha + 1) \log(t_{j}) - t_{1j}^{\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_1 + \beta_2 S_1^2)) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \left[\log \alpha + \alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_2 + \beta_2 S_2^2) - (\alpha + 1) \log(t_{2j}) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \left[\log \alpha + \alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_2 + \beta_2 S_2^2) - (\alpha + 1) \log(t_{2j}) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \left[t_{2j}^{\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_2 + \beta_2 S_2^2)) - \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_1 + \beta_2 S_1^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_2 + \beta_2 S_2^2)) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \left[\log \alpha + \alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2) - (\alpha + 1) \log(t_{3j}) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \left[t_{2j}^{\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_2^2)) - \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_2 + \beta_2 S_2^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) - \tau_2^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_2 + \beta_2 S_2^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) \right] + n_3 \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2)) + \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha (\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log l}{\partial \beta_{0}} &= n\alpha - \alpha \sum_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} t_{ij}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{i} + \beta_{2}S_{i}^{2}\right)\right) - n_{2}\alpha\tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{1} + \beta_{2}S_{1}^{2}\right)\right) \\ &+ n_{2}\alpha\tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{2} + \beta_{2}S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) - n_{3}\alpha\tau_{2}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{2} + \beta_{2}S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) + n_{3}\alpha\tau_{2}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{2} + \beta_{2}S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\ &+ n_{3}\alpha\tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{2} + \beta_{2}S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) - n_{3}\alpha\tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{3} + \beta_{2}S_{3}^{2}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$
(3)

$$\frac{\partial \log l}{\partial \beta_{1}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{1}} \left[\alpha S_{1} \left(1 - \tau_{1j}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{1} + \beta_{2}S_{1}^{2}\right)\right) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} \left[\alpha S_{2} - \alpha S_{2} \left(\tau_{2j}^{-\alpha} - \tau_{1}^{-\alpha}\right) \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{2} + \beta_{2}S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \right] - n_{2}\alpha S_{1}\tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{1} + \beta_{2}S_{1}^{2}\right) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{3}} \left[\alpha S_{3} - \alpha S_{3} \left(\tau_{3j}^{-\alpha} + \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} - \tau_{2}^{-\alpha}\right) \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{3} + \beta_{2}S_{3}^{2}\right) \right) \right] - n_{3}\alpha S_{2}\tau_{2}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{2} + \beta_{2}S_{2}^{2}\right) \right) + n_{3}\alpha S_{2}\tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{2} + \beta_{2}S_{2}^{2}\right) \right) = 0$$

$$(4)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log l}{\partial \beta_2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \left[\alpha S_1^2 \left(l - t_{1j}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_1 + \beta_2 S_1^2\right) \right) \right) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \left[\alpha S_2^2 - \alpha S_2^2 (t_{2j}^{-\alpha} - \tau_1^{-\alpha}) \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_2 + \beta_2 S_2^2\right) \right) \right] \\ - n_2 \alpha S_1^2 \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_1 + \beta_2 S_1^2\right) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} \left[\alpha S_3^2 - \alpha S_3^2 (t_{3j}^{-\alpha} + \tau_1^{-\alpha} - \tau_2^{-\alpha}) \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_3 + \beta_2 S_3^2\right) \right) \right] \\ - n_3 \alpha S_2^2 \tau_2^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_2 + \beta_2 S_2^2\right) \right) + n_3 \alpha S_2^2 \tau_1^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_0 + \beta_1 S_2 + \beta_2 S_2^2\right) \right) = 0$$
(5)

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{0}^{2}} = -\alpha^{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} t_{ij}^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{1} + \beta_{2}S_{1}^{2}\right)\right) - n_{2}\alpha^{2}\tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{1} + \beta_{2}S_{1}^{2}\right)\right) + n_{2}\alpha^{2}\tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{2} + \beta_{2}S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) - n_{3}\alpha^{2}\tau_{2}^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{3} + \beta_{2}S_{3}^{2}\right)\right) + n_{3}\alpha^{2}\tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{3} + \beta_{2}S_{3}^{2}\right)\right) - n_{3}\alpha^{2}\tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{3} + \beta_{2}S_{3}^{2}\right)\right) + n_{3}\alpha^{2}\tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{3} + \beta_{2}S_{3}^{2}\right)\right) - n_{3}\alpha^{2}\tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}S_{3} + \beta_{2}S_{3}^{2}\right)\right)$$

$$(6)$$

Sana Shahab & Arif-Ul-Islam

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{1}^{2}} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[-\alpha^{2} S_{1}^{2} \tau_{1j}^{\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_{2} S_{1}^{2}\right)\right)\right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[-\alpha^{2} S_{2}^{2} \tau_{2}^{\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{2} + \beta_{2} S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) - \alpha^{2} S_{1}^{2} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_{2} S_{1}^{2}\right)\right)\right)\right] \\ &+ n_{2} \alpha^{2} S_{2}^{2} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{2} + \beta_{2} S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[-\alpha^{2} S_{1}^{2} \tau_{1}^{\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{2} + \beta_{2} S_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\right) \\ &+ n_{3} \alpha^{2} S_{3}^{2} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{3} + \beta_{2} S_{3}^{2}\right)\right) \left[\tau_{2}^{-\alpha} - \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \right] + \alpha^{2} S_{2}^{2} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{2} + \beta_{2} S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\ &+ n_{3} \alpha^{2} S_{4}^{2} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_{2} S_{1}^{2}\right)\right)\right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[-\alpha^{2} S_{4}^{2} \tau_{1}^{\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{2} + \beta_{2} S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\ &+ n_{2} \alpha^{2} S_{4}^{2} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_{2} S_{1}^{2}\right)\right)\right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[-\alpha^{2} S_{4}^{2} \tau_{1}^{\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{2} + \beta_{2} S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\ &+ n_{2} \alpha^{2} S_{4}^{2} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_{2} S_{1}^{2}\right)\right)\right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[-\alpha^{2} S_{4}^{4} \tau_{1}^{\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{2} + \beta_{2} S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\ &+ n_{3} \alpha^{2} S_{4}^{4} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_{2} S_{1}^{2}\right)\right)\right] + \alpha^{2} \left[-\alpha^{2} S_{4}^{4} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_{2} S_{1}^{2}\right)\right) \\ &+ n_{3} \alpha^{2} S_{2} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_{2} S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\ &- n_{3} \alpha^{2} S_{2} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_{2} S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\ &- n_{3} \alpha^{2} S_{3} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_{2} S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\ &+ n_{3} \alpha^{2} S_{2}^{2} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_{2} S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\ &- n_{3} \alpha^{2} S_{3}^{2} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_{2} S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\ &- n_{3} \alpha^{2} S_{3}^{2} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_{2} S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\ &+ n_{3} \alpha^{2} S_{2}^{2} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_{2} S_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\ &- n_{3} \alpha^{2} S_{3}^{2} \tau_{1}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(\alpha \left(\beta_{0} + \beta_{j} S_{1} + \beta_$$

Equation (6) - (11) is the elements of Observed Fisher Information matrix.

The Expected Fisher Information matrix is given by:

$$I = n \begin{bmatrix} E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{0}^{2}} \end{bmatrix} E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{1} \partial \beta_{0}} \end{bmatrix} E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{0} \partial \beta_{2}} \end{bmatrix} \\ E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{0} \partial \beta_{1}} \end{bmatrix} E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{1}^{2}} \end{bmatrix} E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{1} \partial \beta_{2}} \end{bmatrix} \\ E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{2} \partial \beta_{1}} \end{bmatrix} E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{2} \partial \beta_{1}} \end{bmatrix} E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{2}^{2}} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{2}^{2}} \end{bmatrix} = A = \alpha^{2}a(L + p_{1}) + \alpha^{2}b(M - 2p_{1} + p_{2}) + \alpha^{2}c(N + cp_{1} - p_{2})$$

$$E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{1}^{2}} \end{bmatrix} = B = \alpha^{2}aS_{1}^{2}(L + p_{1}) + \alpha^{2}bS_{2}^{2}(M - 2p_{1} + p_{2}) + \alpha^{2}cS_{3}^{2}(N + cp_{1} - p_{2})$$

$$E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{1}^{2}} \end{bmatrix} = D = \alpha^{2}aS_{1}^{4}(L + p_{1}) + \alpha^{2}bS_{2}^{4}(M - 2p_{1} + p_{2}) + \alpha^{2}cS_{3}^{4}(N + cp_{1} - p_{2})$$

$$E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{1}^{2} \partial \beta_{0}} \end{bmatrix} = E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{0} \partial \beta_{1}} \end{bmatrix} = C = \alpha^{2}aS_{1}(L + p_{1}) + \alpha^{2}bS_{2}(M - 2p_{1} + p_{2}) + \alpha^{2}cS_{3}^{4}(N + cp_{1} - p_{2})$$

$$E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{1}^{2} \partial \beta_{0}} \end{bmatrix} = E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{0} \partial \beta_{1}} \end{bmatrix} = C = \alpha^{2}aS_{1}(L + p_{1}) + \alpha^{2}bS_{2}(M - 2p_{1} + p_{2}) + \alpha^{2}cS_{3}^{2}(N + cp_{1} - p_{2})$$

$$E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{1} \partial \beta_{0}} \end{bmatrix} = E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{0} \partial \beta_{2}} \end{bmatrix} = E = \alpha^{2}aS_{1}^{2}(L + p_{1}) + \alpha^{2}bS_{2}^{2}(M - 2p_{1} + p_{2}) + \alpha^{2}cS_{3}^{2}(N + cp_{1} - p_{2})$$

$$E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{1} \partial \beta_{0}} \end{bmatrix} = E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{0} \partial \beta_{2}} \end{bmatrix} = E = \alpha^{2}aS_{1}^{2}(L + p_{1}) + \alpha^{2}bS_{2}^{2}(M - 2p_{1} + p_{2}) + \alpha^{2}cS_{3}^{2}(N + cp_{1} - p_{2})$$

$$E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{2} \partial \beta_{1}} \end{bmatrix} = E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2} \log I}{\partial \beta_{0} \partial \beta_{2}} \end{bmatrix} = F = \alpha^{2}aS_{1}^{2}(L + p_{1}) + \alpha^{2}bS_{2}^{2}(M - 2p_{1} + p_{2}) + \alpha^{2}cS_{3}^{2}(N + cp_{1} - p_{2})$$

where,

$$a = \theta_1^{\alpha}, b = \theta_2^{\alpha}, c = \theta_3^{\alpha}, p_1 = \tau_1^{\alpha}, p_2 = \tau_2^{\alpha}$$

$$L = (l + p_1 a) \frac{\exp(-p_1 a)}{a}$$

$$M = \frac{\exp(-p_1 a + p_1 b)}{b} \{(\exp(-p_2 b) + 1)(p_2 b - p_1 b)\}$$

$$N = \frac{\exp\{-[(p_2 b - p_2 c) - (p_1 b - p_1 c)]\}}{c} \{l - (p_2 c + 1)\exp(-p_2 c)\}$$

Now, let us suppose that

$$\begin{split} \eta &= \alpha^2 a \big(L+p_1\big) \\ \psi &= \alpha^2 b \big(M-2p_1+p_2\big) \\ \xi &= \alpha^2 c \big(N+cp_1-p_2\big) \end{split}$$

So, (12) becomes:

$$E\left[-\frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{0}^{2}}\right] = A = \eta + \psi + \xi$$

$$E\left[-\frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{1}^{2}}\right] = B = \eta S_{1}^{2} + \psi S_{2}^{2} + \xi S_{3}^{2}$$

$$E\left[-\frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{2}^{2}}\right] = D = \eta S_{1}^{4} + \psi S_{2}^{4} + \xi S_{3}^{4}$$

$$E\left[-\frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{1} \partial \beta_{0}}\right] = E\left[-\frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{0} \partial \beta_{1}}\right] = C = \eta S_{1} + \psi S_{2} + \xi S_{3}$$

$$E\left[-\frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{2} \partial \beta_{0}}\right] = E\left[-\frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{0} \partial \beta_{2}}\right] = E = \eta S_{1}^{2} + \psi S_{2}^{2} + \xi S_{3}^{2}$$

$$E\left[-\frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{2} \partial \beta_{0}}\right] = E\left[-\frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{0} \partial \beta_{2}}\right] = E = \eta S_{1}^{2} + \psi S_{2}^{2} + \xi S_{3}^{2}$$

The optimum criterion here is to find the optimum stress change time τ_1 and τ_2 . The log of the 100 pth percentile of the lifetime $t_p(S_0)$ at the design stress S_0 is given by $\hat{\psi}(S_0) = \log(t_p(S_0)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 S_0 + \beta_2 S_0^2 + \log(\theta_i (\log p)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}})$

The asymptotic variance multiplied by the sample size (nAVS) at the design stress S_0 is then given by

$$nAV_{1} = \frac{BD - F^{2} + 2S_{0}(EF - CD) + S_{0}^{2}[2(B^{2} - FC) + AD + CE - (AF + E^{2})] + S_{0}^{4}(AB - C^{2}) + S_{0}^{3}(EC - AF)}{AED + 2EF - AF^{2} - C^{2}D - E^{3}}$$
(14)

By differentiating (14) with respect to τ_1 and τ_2 we find their values that minimizes nAV_1 and gives the optimal plan.

4. OPTIMUM LINEAR STEP-STRESS TEST

The Expected Fisher Information matrix is given by:

$$I = n \begin{bmatrix} E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \beta_0^2} \end{bmatrix} & E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_0} \end{bmatrix} \\ E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \beta_0 \partial \beta_1} \end{bmatrix} & E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^2 \log l}{\partial \beta_1^2} \end{bmatrix} = n \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ C & B \end{bmatrix}$$

Sana Shahab & Arif-Ul-Islam

$$E\left[-\frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{0}^{2}}\right] = A = \alpha^{2}(aL + bM + cN) + \alpha^{2}p_{1}(a - 2b + c) + \alpha^{2}p_{2}(b - c)$$

$$E\left[-\frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{1}^{2}}\right] = B = \alpha^{2}(aLS_{1}^{2} + bMS_{2}^{2} + cNS_{3}^{2}) + \alpha^{2}p_{1}(aS_{1}^{2} - 2bS_{2}^{2} + cS_{3}^{2}) + \alpha^{2}p_{2}(bS_{2}^{2} - cS_{3}^{2})$$

$$E\left[-\frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{1}\partial \beta_{0}}\right] = E\left[-\frac{\partial^{2} \log l}{\partial \beta_{0}\partial \beta_{1}}\right] = C = \alpha^{2}(aLS_{1} + bMS_{2} + cNS_{3}) + \alpha^{2}p_{1}(aS_{1} - 2bS_{2} + cS_{3}) + \alpha^{2}p_{2}(bS_{2} - cS_{3})$$
(15)

where above notations are given by;

$$a = \theta_1^{\alpha}, b = \theta_2^{\alpha}, c = \theta_3^{\alpha}, p_1 = \tau_1^{\alpha}, p_2 = \tau_2^{\alpha}$$
$$I = (1 + p_1) \frac{\exp(-p_1 a)}{2}$$

$$L = (l + p_1 a) \frac{1}{a}$$

$$M = \frac{\exp(-p_1 a + p_1 b)}{b} \{ (\exp(-p_2 b) + 1)(p_2 b - p_1 b) \}$$
$$N = \frac{\exp\{-[(p_2 b - p_2 c) - (p_1 b - p_1 c)]\}}{b} \{ 1 - (p_2 c + 1)\exp(-p_2 c) \}$$

The log of the 100 pth percentile of the lifetime $t_p(S_0)$ at the design stress S_0 is given by

$$\hat{\psi}(S_0) = \log(t_p(S_0)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 S_0 + \log(\theta_i(\log p)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}})$$

The AVS is given by:

$$AV_{2}(\hat{\psi}(S_{0})) = \log(t_{p}(S_{0})) = AV(\hat{\beta}_{0} + \hat{\beta}_{1}S_{0} + \log(\theta_{i}(\log p)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}})) = KI^{-1}K' = K\sum K'$$
(16)

where,

$$\mathbf{K} = \left[\frac{\partial \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{S}_0)}{\partial \hat{\beta}_0} \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{S}_0)}{\partial \hat{\beta}_1} \right]$$

and I^{-1} is the inverse of the expected fisher information matrix .

On solving (16) the AV($\hat{\psi}(S_0)$) becomes,

$$nAV_2 = \frac{C - 2BS_0 + AS_0^2}{AC - B^2}$$

A, B and C is given by (15)

5. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A numerical study was conducted in order to investigate the existence of the optimal stress change points and to evaluate them as a function of varying parameters. Simulations are conducted to investigate the performances of the MLEs through their mean square error (MSE) for both relationships. Comparison between both plans is shown by calculating efficiencies.

Table 1 present the Maximum likelihood estimates for n=20, 60, 80,100 & 120 and their respective Mean Square Error for both Quadratic and Linear relationship.

Table 2 gives the Asymptotic Variances and Covariance matrix.

Table 3 presents the results of optimal design of step-stress ALT for different sized samples and finally Table 4 Compound Linear Test-Plan Efficiencies.

		Quadr	atic case	Linear case	
n	Parameter	Estimate	MSE	Estimate	MSE
20	$\hat{\theta}_1$	0.364e ⁻⁵	0.0763	1.635e ⁻⁵	0.0945
	$\hat{\theta}_2$	1.166e ⁻⁸	0.0063	3.284e ⁻⁸	0.0592
	$\hat{\theta}_3$	2.865e ⁻⁸	0.0658	-	-
	$\hat{\theta}_1$	0.255e ⁻⁵	0.0636	1.264e ⁻⁵	0.0775
60	$\hat{\theta}_2$	1.643e ⁻⁸	0.0060	3.846e ⁻⁸	0.0570
	$\hat{\theta}_3$	2.764e ⁻⁸	0.4634	-	-
	$\hat{\theta}_1 \\ \hat{\theta}_2$	0.214e ⁻⁶	0.0643	1.178e ⁻⁵	0.0567
80		1.543e ⁻⁸	0.0072	4.852e ⁻⁸	0.0529
	$\hat{\theta}_3$	2.543e ⁻⁸	0.0055	-	-
	$\hat{\theta}_1$	0.143e ⁻⁶	0.0453	5.734e ⁻⁶	0.0511
100	$\hat{\theta}_2$	1.654e ⁻⁸	0.0074	7.836e ⁻⁹	0.0478
	$\hat{\theta}_3$	2.432e ⁻⁸	0.0832	-	-
120	$\hat{\boldsymbol{ heta}}_1$	0.171e ⁻⁶	0.0234	5.154e ⁻⁶	0.0461
	$\hat{\theta}_2$	1.284e ⁻⁸	0.0031	7.245e ⁻⁸	0.0359
	$\hat{\theta}_3$	1.847e ⁻⁸	0.0271	-	-
	$\hat{\theta}_1$	0.124e ⁻⁶	0.0073	4.641e ⁻⁶	0.0256
200	$\hat{\theta}_2$	1.133e ⁻⁸	0.0029	6.735e ⁻⁸	0.0219
	$\hat{\theta}_3$	1.244e ⁻⁸	0.0213	-	-

e^{-7}
e

Table2. Asymptotic Variances and Covariances of Estimates

n	βο	β1	β2	βo	β1
	2.0625	-1.7545	1.6524	3.0862	-2.7361
20	-1.7545	1.6524	1.8635	-2.7361	1.9621
	1.6524	1.8635	0.8362	-	-
	2.0343	-1.5643	1.3413	3.0263	-2.7141
60	-1.5643	1.3413	1.8472	-2.7141	1.3856
	1.3413	1.8472	0.7356	-	-
	2.0193	-1.3425	1.2947	2.7251	-2.3736
80	-1.3425	1.2947	1.8635	-2.3736	1.1825
	1.2947	1.8635	0.5812	-	-
	1.9753	-1.3418	1.2421	2.1761	-2.8362
100	-1.3418	1.2421	1.7623	-2.8362	1.1384
	1.2421	1.7623	0.4321		
	1.9374	-1.3261	1.2763	2.1383	-2.1438
120	-1.3261	1.2763	1.5341	-2.1438	1.1172
	1.2763	1.4826	0.4826	-	-
	1.6443	-1.3231	1.2144	2.0826	-2.0836
200	-1.3231	1.2144	1.5135	-2.0836	1.1054
	1.2144	1.5135	0.4254	-	-

Sana Shahab & Arif-Ul-Islam

n	β₀=0.9 β₁=1.5			$\beta_0=0.9 \ \beta_1=1.5 \ \beta_2=2.8$		
	nAV ₁	τ_1	$ au_2$	nAV ₂	τ_1	$ au_2$
20	0.190	2.3	2.8	0.113	2.2	2.4
60	0.054	2.3	2.7	0.056	1.9	2.4
80	0.037	1.8	2.7	0.035	1.4	2.4
100	0.028	1.7	27	0.028	1.4	2.4
120	0.018	1.5	2.7	0.014	1.1	2.4
200	0.009	1.5	2.7	0.005	1.1	2.4

Table 3. The results of optimal design of step-stress ALT for different sized samples

Table 4. Compound Linear Test-Plan Efficiencies

				Effic	ciencies
S_1	S_2	S_3	n	Opt Linear	Opt Quadratic
			20	0.78	0.88
			60	0.72	0.88
2.5	3.5	4.5	80	0.80	0.92
			100	0.85	0.92
			120	0.88	0.91
			200	0.91	0.94
			20	0.84	0.84
			60	0.84	0.87
			80	0.86	0.87
3.5	4.5	5.5	100	0.88	0.90
			120	0.86	0.92
			200	0.88	0.92
			20	0.83	0.85
			60	0.84	0.87
4.5	5.5	6.5	80	0.88	0.88
			100	0.88	0.92
			120	0.89	0.92
			200	0.87	0.92

6. COMPARATIVE STUDY

In this section, the proposed Step-Stress model have been compared with the constant accelerated life testing using geometric process by Shahab [12] in terms of maximum likelihood estimators and their respective error in Table 1. It can be seen that the error involved in step stress is more minimized as compared to constant stress and shows more stability of parameter. Hence Step-Stress ALT is efficient in comparison with constant.

Table 3 shows that the proposed model performs better when stresses are increased and more analysis are done at each step for the given data set.

7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

This paper deals with parameter estimation of Frechet distribution under 3-step stress ALT plan. The objective is to design a test that achieves the best reliability estimates. Two types of relationship are assumed between scale parameter and Stress. One links scale parameter linearly with stress while other have quadratic relationship. Comparison between both is shown by calculating estimates and their respective error. Efficiencies for both plans are calculated for different level of stress. Apart from that the results of optimal design of step-stress ALT for different sample size is shown.

Performance of step-stress testing plans and model assumptions are usually evaluated by the properties of the maximum likelihood estimates of model parameters. Estimates of quadratic are more stable with relatively small Mean Square error as sample size increases. Maximum likelihood estimators are consistent and asymptotically normally distributed. As the sample sizes increase the asymptotic variance and covariance of estimators decrease. In short, it is reasonable to say that the present step stress ALT plan works well and has a promising potential in the analysis of accelerated life testing.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nelson W.B., Accelerated Testing, Statistical Models, Test Plans and Data Analysis, John Wiley & Sons, (1990).
- [2] Meeker W. Q., and Escobar L. A., Statistical Methods for Reliability Data. John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA,(1998).
- [3] Nelson W., A bibliography of accelerated test plans, IEEE transactions on Reliability, 54 (3), 370 (2005).
- [4] Xiong C., Step stress model with threshold parameter, Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 63, 349 (1998).
- [5] Watkins A. J., Commentary: inference in simple step-stress models, IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 50, 36 (2001).
- [6] Zhao W. and Elsayed E. A., A General accelerated life model for step-stress testing, IIE Transactions, 37, 1059 (2005).
- [7] Balakrishnan N., Beutner E., and Kater M., Order Restricted Inference for Exponential Step-Stress Models, IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 58, 132 (2009).
- [8] Yeo K.P., and Tang L.C., Planning step-stress life-test with a target accelerated factor, IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 48,61(1999).
- [9] Xiong C., and Ji M., Analysis of grouped and censored data from step-stress life test, IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 53,22 (2004).
- [10] Xiong C., and Milliken G. A., Step-stress life-testing with random stress-change times for exponential data, IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 48,141 (1999).
- [11] Khamis I.H., and Higgins J.J., A new model for step-stress testing, IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 47,131 (1998).
- [12] Shahab S. And Islam A., Analysis of accelerated life testing using geometric process for frechet distribution, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology,2(9),4987 (2013).
- [13] Shahab S. And Islam A., Constant Accelerated Life Testing with Geometric Process for Marshall-Olkin Lomax Distribution, International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 4(12), 1914, (2013).