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#### Abstract

In this paper, a known theorem dealing with $|C, \alpha, \beta, \delta|_{k}$-summability factors has been generalized for $|C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta|_{k}$-summability factors. Our theorem is based on some known results.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Sigma a_{n}$ be a given infinite series with partial sums $\left(s_{n}\right)$. We denote by $u_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ and $t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ the n -th Cesaro means of oprder $(\alpha, \beta)$, with $\alpha+\beta>-1$ of the sequence $\left(s_{n}\right)$ and ( $n a_{n}$ ) respect ively (Browein [4]).
$u_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=\frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=0}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_{v}^{\beta} S_{v}$
$t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=\frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=0}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_{v}^{\beta} v a_{v}$
where $A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}=\mathrm{O}\left(n^{\alpha+\beta}\right), A_{0}^{\alpha+\beta}=1$, and $A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}=0$ for $n<0$.
The series $\Sigma a_{n}$ is said to be summable $|C, \alpha, \beta|_{k}, k \geq 1$ if (Das [6])
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1}\left|\mu_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}-u_{n-1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}<\infty$
Since (Das [6]) $t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=n\left(u_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}-u_{n-1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ then
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1}\left|u_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}-u_{n-1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}=\sum_{-n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}<\infty$
The series $\Sigma a_{n}$ is summable $|C, \alpha, \beta, \delta|_{k}, k \geq 1$ and $\delta \geq 0$ if (Bor [1])
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1}\left|u_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}-u_{n-1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}=\sum_{-n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k-1}\left|t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}<\infty$
And $\Sigma a_{n}$ is summable $|C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta|_{k}, k \geq 1, \delta \geq 0$ and $\gamma \geq 1$ if
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma(\delta k+k-1)}\left|\mu_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}-u_{n-1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}=\sum_{-n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma(\delta k-1)}\left|t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}<\infty$
If we take $\gamma=1$ then $|C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta|_{k}$-summability reduces to $|C, \alpha, \beta, \delta|_{k}$-summability. If we take $\gamma=1, \delta=0, \beta=0$ then $|C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta|_{k}$-summability reduces to $|\mathrm{C}, \alpha|_{k}$-summability.
A sequence $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ is said to be convex sequence if $\Delta^{2} \lambda_{n}>0$ where $\Delta^{2} \lambda_{n}=\Delta \lambda_{n}-\Delta \lambda_{n+1}$ and $\Delta \lambda_{n}=\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n+1}$.

## 2. KNOWN THEOREM

Bor [2] has proved the following theorem
Theorem 2.1 If ( $\lambda_{n}$ ) is a convex sequence such that $\Sigma n^{-1} \lambda_{n}$ is convergent and the sequence ( $W_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ ) defined by
$W_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=\left|t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|, \alpha=1, \beta>-1$
$W_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=\max _{1 \leq v \leq n}\left|t_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|, 0<\alpha<1, \quad \beta>-1$
Satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n^{\delta} W_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k}=\mathrm{O}\left\{(\log n)^{p+k-1}\right\}(C, 1) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the series $\Sigma(\log (n+1))^{-(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}-1)} a_{n} \lambda_{n}$ is summable $|C, \alpha, \beta, \delta|_{k}$ for $0<\alpha \leq 1, \beta>-1$, $k \geq 1, \delta \geq 0, p \geq 0$ and $\alpha+\beta-\delta>0$.

## 3. The Main Result

Generalizing theorem 2.1 we have proved the following theorem.
Theorem 3.1 If $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ is convex sequence such that $\Sigma n^{-1} \lambda_{n}$ is convergent and sequence $\left(W_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ defined by (2.1) and (2.2) satisfying the condition

$$
\left(n^{\gamma(\delta k-1)+1}\left(W_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k}\right)=\mathrm{O}\left\{(\log n)^{p+k-1}\right\}(C, 1)
$$

then the series $\Sigma \log (n+1)^{-(p+k-1)} a_{n} \lambda_{n}$ is summable $|C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta|_{k}$ for $0<\alpha \leq 1, \beta>-1$, $k \geq 1, \delta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 1, p \geq 0$ and $\alpha+\beta-\gamma(\delta-1)>0$.

## 4. LEMMAS

We need the following lemmas for the the proof of our theorem.
Lemma 4.1 (Chow [5]) If $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ is a convex sequence such that the series $\Sigma n^{-1} \lambda_{n}$ is convergent, then $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ is non-negative and non-increasing,

$$
n \Delta \lambda_{n}=\mathrm{O}(1) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

and
$\lambda_{n} \log n=\mathrm{O}(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
Lemma 4.2 (Bor [3]) If $0<\alpha \leq 1, \beta>-1$ and $1 \leq v \leq n$ then
$\left|\sum_{p=0}^{v} A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_{p}^{\beta} a_{p}\right| \leq \max _{1 \leq m \leq v}\left|\sum_{p=0}^{m} A_{m-p}^{\alpha-1} A_{p}^{\beta} a_{p}\right|$
Lemma $4.3(\operatorname{Prasad}[8])$ If $\left((\log (n+1))^{p+k-1} X_{n}\right)$ satisfies the same condition as $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ in lemma 4.1 then

$$
n(\log (n+1))^{p+k-1} \Delta X_{n}=\mathrm{O}(1) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

and
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(\log (n+1))^{p+k-1} \Delta^{2} X_{n}=\mathrm{O}(1)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$
Lemma 4.4 (Lal [7]) If $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ is a convex sequence such that the $\Sigma n^{-1} \lambda_{n}$ is convergent then for $p \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta\left(\lambda_{n}\right)^{k}}{(\log (n+1))^{p(k+1)+(k-1)^{2}}}=\mathrm{O}(1)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$

## 5. Proof of the Theorem

We write
$X_{n}=\frac{\lambda_{n}}{\left(\log (n+1)^{p+k-1}\right.}=(\log (n+1))^{-(p+k-1)}$

Let $\left(T_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ be the n -th $(C, \alpha, \beta)$ mean of the sequence $\left(\mathrm{na}_{n} X_{n}\right)$ then
$T_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=\frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-1}^{\alpha-1} A_{v}^{\beta} v a_{v} X_{v}$
By Abel's transformation and using lemm 4.2, we have that
$T_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=\frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta X_{v} \sum_{i=1}^{v} A_{n-u}^{\alpha-1} A_{i}^{\beta} i a_{i}+\frac{X_{n}}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_{v}^{\beta} v a_{v}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid T_{n}^{\alpha, \beta} & \leq \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta X_{v}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{v} A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1} A_{i}^{\beta} i a_{i}\right|+\frac{X_{n}}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}}\left|\sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_{v}^{\beta} v a_{v}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_{v}^{\alpha} A_{v}^{\beta} W_{v}^{\alpha, \beta} \Delta X_{v}+X_{n} W_{n}^{\alpha, \beta} \\
& =T_{n, 1}^{\alpha, \beta}+T_{n, 2}^{\alpha, \beta} \quad \text { (say) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since
$\left|T_{n, 1}^{\alpha, \beta}+T_{n, 2}^{\alpha, \beta}\right| \leq 2^{k}\left(\left|T_{n, 1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}+\left|T_{n, 2}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}\right)$
In order to complete the proof of the theorem, it is sufficient to show that
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma(\delta k-1)}\left|T_{n, r}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}<\infty$ for $r=1,2$.
whenever $k>1$, we can apply Hölder's inequality with $k$ and $k^{\prime}$, where $\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{k^{\prime}}=1$ we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\gamma(\delta k-1)}\left|T_{n, 1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right|^{k}<\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\gamma(\delta k-1)}\left|\frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} A_{v}^{\alpha} A_{v}^{\beta} W_{v}^{\alpha+\beta} \Delta X_{v}\right|^{k} \\
&=\mathrm{O}(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{n^{\gamma(\delta k-1)}}{n^{(\alpha+\beta) k}}\left\{\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v^{\alpha k} v^{\beta k} \Delta X_{v}\left(W_{v}^{\alpha+\beta}\right)^{k}\right\}\left\{\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta X_{v}\right\}^{k-1} \\
&=\mathrm{O}(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{(\alpha+\beta) k} \Delta X_{v}\left(W_{v}^{\alpha+\beta}\right)^{k} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{\gamma^{\gamma+(\alpha+\beta-\delta \gamma) k}} \\
&=\mathrm{O}(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{(\alpha+\beta) k} \Delta X_{v}\left(W_{v}^{\alpha+\beta}\right)^{k} \int_{v}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{\gamma+(\alpha+\beta-\delta \gamma) k}} \\
&=\mathrm{O}(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} \Delta X_{v} v^{\nu^{\prime}(\delta k-1)+1}\left(W_{v}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k} \\
&=\mathrm{O}(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m+1} \Delta\left(\Delta X_{v}\right) \sum_{p=1}^{v}\left(p^{\gamma(\delta k-1)+1}\left(W_{p}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k}+\mathrm{O}(1) \Delta X_{m} \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{\gamma(\delta k-1)+1}\left(W_{v}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k}\right. \\
&=\mathrm{O}(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \mathrm{v}(\log (\mathrm{v}+1))^{p+k-1} \Delta^{2} X_{v}+\mathrm{O}\left(m(\log (m+1))^{p+k-1} \Delta \mathrm{X}_{m}\right) \\
&=\mathrm{O}(1) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

By the application of lemm 4.3 similarly, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{\gamma(\delta k-1)}\left|X_{n} W_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}\right|^{k}=\mathrm{O}(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{X_{n}^{k}}{n} n^{\gamma(\delta k-1)+1}\left(W_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k} \\
&= \mathrm{O}(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta\left(n^{-1} X_{n}^{k}\right) \sum_{v=1}^{n} v^{\gamma(\delta k-1)+1}\left(W_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k}+\mathrm{O}(1) \frac{X_{m}^{k}}{m} \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{\gamma(\delta k-1)+1}\left(W_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{k} \\
&= \mathrm{O}(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n(\log (n+1))^{p+k-1} \Delta\left(n^{-1} X_{n}^{k}\right)+\mathrm{O}\left(X_{m}^{k}(\log (m+1))^{p+k-1}\right) \\
&= \mathrm{O}(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n^{-1} X_{n}^{k}(\log (n+1))^{p+k-1}+\mathrm{O}(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n^{-1} X_{n}^{k}(\log (n+1))^{p+k-1} \Delta X_{n}^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\mathrm{O}(1)\left((\log (m+1))^{p+k-1} X_{m}^{k}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{O}(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{\left(\lambda_{n} \log (n+1)\right)^{k}}{(n+1)(\log (n+1))^{1+p(k-1)+k(k-1)}}+\mathrm{O}(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{\Delta \lambda_{n}^{k}}{(\log (n+1))^{p(k-1)+(k-1)^{2}}} \\
& +\mathrm{O}(1)\left(\frac{\left(\lambda_{m} \log (m+1)\right)^{k}}{(\log (\mathrm{~m}+1))^{p(k-1)+k(k-1)+1}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{O}(1) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

By the application of lemm 4.4.
This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 6. Conclusion

Above theorem gives the more general results in comparision of the theorem of H.Bor and will have an important place in the existing literature.
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