Disruption of *Candida albicans* Biofilms by Rhamnolipid Obtained from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* RT

Reshma Turbhekar¹, Nagesh Malik², Debarshi Dey³, Deepak Thakare⁴

^{1,4} Department of Microbiology, K.B.P. College, Vashi, Navi Mumbai, India.
² Department of Microbiology, V.E.S. College, Chembur, Mumbai, India.
³Mylab life solutions, Pune, India

Abstract: Candida albicans is an opportunistic human pathogen that causes candidiasis. With a worldwide improvement in healthcare, the number of immunocompromised patients has increased to a greater extent and they are highly susceptible to various pathogenic microbes especially C. albicans which has been prominent among the fungal pathogens. Earlier work has shown that rhamnolipids are efficient dispersants of bacterial biofilms. However, their effectiveness studies against fungal pathogens are limited. The aim of this study was to determine the effect of rhamnolipid on a biofilm forming strain of C. albicans. Two chemical surfactants, sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) and cetyl-trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) were used as controls. Microtiter plate assay showed that the surfactant coating decreased C. albicans biofilm formation by 55%. Rhamnolipid treatment disrupted pre-formed biofilms in a more effective manner than the other two surfactants. The disruption of biofilms after rhamnolipid treatment was significant when compared to SDS and CTAB. The results indicate a potential application of the rhamnolipid to disrupt C. albicans biofilms

Keywords: Biofilm, CTAB, Rhamnolipid, SDS, C. albicans

1. INTRODUCTION

Candida albicans is an opportunistic fungal pathogen that is present as a harmless commensal in the gastrointestinal and genitourinary tracts in about 70% of human population. Approximately 75% of women suffer from Candida infection at least once in their lifetime [1-4]. For some immunologically weak individuals or even for healthy persons it becomes opportunistic pathogen. *Candida spp.* are responsible for causing mucosal infections known as thrush which is characterized by white spots in the infected membranes. However, it can also causes lifethreatening, systemic infections in severely ill patients with a mortality rate about 30% [5–8]. It is well known that microorganism's growth in the biofilm mode often resist a variety of antimicrobial agents. There is thus a need to explore other means of disrupting biofilms. Biocides and surfactants have already been used to control biofilms [9]. Chemical surfactants has various applications in areas of medical care settings. For example, cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) is used in various medical settings as disinfectant agent [8]. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) leads to leakage of cellular contents from microorganisms [9]. Widespread use of chemical surfactants is not favored due to their inherent toxicity. Biosurfactants are being favored in this context [10]. Biosurfactants offer several advantages in being relatively non-toxic, effective under various environmental conditions and being bio-compatible [11, 12]. Biosurfactants have been used to disrupt bacterial biofilms [13, 14]. However the reports on the efficacy of biosurfactants on fungal biofilms are very limited [15]. Candida albicans forms biofilms on a variety of substrates. The objective of this work was therefore to test the effectiveness of rhamnolipids in (i) preventing biofilm formation and (ii) in disrupting pre-established biofilms of C. albicans, the results have been compared with two chemical surfactants.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Chemical Agents

Purified Rhamnolipid biosurfactant, previously isolated in our laboratory was used CTAB and SDS were obtained from HiMedia, India. Stock solutions of the surfactants were prepared in sterile distilled water.

2.2. Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Fungicidal Concentration values (MFC)

MIC of surfactants against *C. albicans* was determined by broth microdilution assay in sterile 96 well microtiter plates (Tarsons, India) [15]. 36 hr. old cells of *C. albicans* were added to the microtiter plate wells containing Yeast Extract Peptone Dextrose (YEPD) medium to achieve the final cell numbers of 1×10^7 cells ml⁻¹. Surfactants (Rhamnolipid, SDS and CTAB) were added to these wells at different concentrations (0.05% w/v to 10% w/v). The final volume in the microtiter plate wells was maintained to 200 µl. The plates were incubated for 48 hr. at 30^oC and after the incubation period, growth in presence of the surfactants was estimated as O.D. 600 using a microtiter plate reader (Multiskan, Thermo Lab systems). Control used were wells without surfactants and those lacking the cells. The MFC was determined by streaking the culture grown in presence of various concentrations of the surfactant on YEPD agar plates. The plates were incubated for 48 hr. and growth was recorded. MIC was determined as the lowest concentration without visible growth and the MFC as the lowest concentration showing no growth on the agar surface. All the experiments were performed in triplicate and the mean values were determined.

2.3. Effect of Surfactant Pre-Coating on Biofilm Formation

Rhamnolipid, SDS and CTAB (100 μ l containing 0.3% w/v -10% w/v concentration) were added to wells of the polystyrene microtiter plates and incubated at 4°C for 12 hr. to facilitate effective coating [16]. After the incubation period, the wells were emptied of surfactants, rinsed with sterile distilled water and air dried in a laminar air flow chamber for 5 min. Cells (100 μ l containing 1x10⁷ cells ml/1) of *C. albicans* were added to the microtiter plate wells and incubated for 24 hr. at 30°C. After the incubation period, the microtiter plate wells were emptied of the non-adherent cells and the plates were rinsed with sterile distilled water. Quantification of adherent cells was done using crystal violet assay [14]. All experiments were carried out in triplicates and average values were obtained.

2.4. Disruption of Preformed Biofilms

C. albicans biofilms were allowed to form in sterile polystyrene 96 well microtiter plate wells for 36 hr. [17]. Planktonic cells were removed after the incubation period, and varying concentrations (0.3 w/v -10% w/v) of Rhamnolipid, SDS and CTAB were individually added to the wells. The plates were further incubated at 30°C for 1, 2 and 3 hr. The microtiter plate wells were emptied of the non-adherent cells and rinsed with sterile distilled water. Quantification of residual biofilms was performed using crystal violet assay [15]. All experiments were performed in triplicates and average values were obtained.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. MIC and MFC Values of Surfactants

Rhamnolipid, SDS and CTAB displayed antifungal activity against the cells of *C. albicans* MTCC 227. Rhamnolipid displayed a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of $5.0\% \pm 0.01$ w/v and minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC) value >10% ± 0.05 w/v, CTAB showed MIC and MFC values of $7.5\% \pm 0.03$ w/v and >10% ± 0.1 w/v while SDS showed MIC and MFC values of $1.25\% \pm 0.02$ w/v. SDS was more effective as an antifungal agent compared to rhamnolipid and CTAB. SDS is an anionic surfactant and is known to possess antimicrobial properties [18]. The surfactant permeabilizes cells by targeting the cell membranes and by affecting membrane bound enzymes [18]. Rhamnolipids are anionic in nature and they disrupt cells by interacting with the phospholipid components of the biological membranes [18, 19]. Rhamnolipids derived from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are known to possess antifungal activity against some plant pathogenic fungi [20]. A cationic surfactant, CTAB displayed lower antifungal activity of CTAB could be a result of reversal of fungal cell surface charge and not due to cell lysis, as observed with SDS [10].

3.2. Effect of Surfactant Pre-Coating on Biofilm Growth

Microbial surfactants are known to exhibit anti-adhesive properties [12, 13, and 21]. Pre-coating of microtiter plate wells with the surfactants effectively reduced the development of *C. albicans*

Disruption of Candida Albicans Biofilms by Rhamnolipid Obtained From Pseudomonas Aeruginosa RT

biofilms. Adhesion of *C. albicans* cells to the microtiter plate wells was inhibited to 50% with rhamnolipids at MIC concentration (5%). Rhamnolipids showed significant anti-adhesive ability as compared to SDS and CTAB suggesting the potential of rhamnolipids as anti-adhesive agents in the treatment of fungal biofilms.



Fig A. Inhibition of C. albicans biofilms by surfactants. Observations in microtiter plate wells after precoating with different concentrations of Rhamnolipid, SDS or CTAB. The O.D. values are normalized with reference to control (considered as 100%).

3.3. Disruption of Preformed Biofilms of C. Albicans

The pre-formed biofilms of *C. albicans* were treated with the surfactants for 1, 2 or 3 hr. Biofilms of *C. albicans* formed for 36 hr. in microtiter plate wells were disrupted effectively (52% with rhamnolipid, 37% with CTAB and 45% with SDS at respective MIC values) within 1 hr. of treatment with the surfactants. At higher concentrations (>2.5%), the effect of SDS was slightly better than that of the rhamnolipid. However, over a period of time, the efficacy of rhamnolipids was found to be similar to that of SDS (Figure 3b and 3c).

CTAB was less effective in controlling biofilms. Rhamnolipids have earlier shown to be effective against bacterial biofilms [15], however there are limited reports on their effect on fungal biofilms. In the present study rhamnolipids were found to be effective in disrupting biofilms of C. albicans compared to SDS and CTAB, suggesting their potential application as biofilm disrupting agents (Figure 4). The effectiveness of rhamnolipids against biofilms even at low concentrations makes them a good candidate for therapeutic applications.



Fig B









Effect of surfactants on preformed biofilms of *C. albicans*. Observations with rhamnolipid, SDS and CTAB after (Fig. B) 1 h (Fig. C) 2 h and (Fig. D) 3 h of incubation.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Rhamnolipids have potential to disrupt *C. albicans* biofilms as compared to the tested chemical surfactants. The results suggest potential of rhamnolipid as anti-adhesive and pre-formed biofilm disrupting agents and their possible role in the treatment of fungal infections.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Mylab Life solutions, Pune for allowing us to use their research facility.

References

- [1] M. Ruhnke and G.Maschmeyer, "Management of my coses in patients with hematologic disease and cancer—review of the literature," *European Journal of Medical Research*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 227–235, 2002.
- [2] T. F. Meiller, B. Hube, L. Schild et al., "A novel immune evasion strategy of *Candida albicans*: proteolytic cleavage of a salivary antimicrobial peptide," *PLoS One*, vol. 4, no. 4, Article ID e5039, 2009.
- [3] J. Schulze and U. Sonnenborn, "Yeast in the Gut: from commensals to infectious agents," *Deutsches Arzteblatt*, vol. 106, no. 51-52, pp. 837–842, 2009.
- [4] J. D. Sobel, "Vaginitis," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 337, no. 26, pp. 1896–1903, 1997.
- [5] F. C. Odds, Candida and Candidosis, Bailliere Tindall, London, UK, 2nd edition, 1988.
- [6] D. R. Soll, M. Staebell, C. Langtimm, M. P faller, J. Hicks, and T. V. G. Rao, "Multiple Candida strains in the course of a single systemic infection," Journal of Clinical Microbiology, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 1448–1459, 1988.

- [7] R. A. Calderone, *Candida and Candidiasis*, American Society for Microbiology Press, Washington, DC, USA, 2002.
- [8] J. A. Sexton, V. Brown, and M. Johnston, "Regulation of sugar transport and metabolism by the *Candida albicans* Rgt1 transcriptional repressor," *Yeast*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 847–860, 2007.
- [9] Chen X, Stewart PS: Biofilm removal caused by chemical treatments. Wat Res 2000, 34:4229–4233.
- [10] Vieira DB, Carmona-Ribeiro AM: Cationic lipids and surfactants as antifungal agents: mode of action. J Antimicrobial Chemother 2006, 58:760–767.
- [11] Banat IM, Franzetti A, Gandolfi I, Bestetti G, Martinotti MG, Fracchia L, Smyth TJ, Marchant R: Microbial biosurfactants production, applications and future potential. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2010, 87:427–444.
- [12] Rodrigues L, Banat IM, Teixeira J, Oliveira R: Biosurfactants: Potential applications in medicine. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006, 57:609–618.
- [13] Kosaric N: Biosurfactants and their application for soil bioremediation. Food Technol Biotechnol 2001, 39:295–304.
- [14] Rivardo F, Turner RJ, Allegrone G, Ceri H, Martinotti MG: Anti-adhesion activity of two biosurfactants produced by Bacillus spp. Prevents biofilm formation of human bacterial pathogens. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2009, 83:541–553.
- [15] Dusane DH, Nancharaiah YV, Venugopalan VP, Zinjarde SS: Rhamnolipid mediated disruption of marine Bacillus pumilus biofilms. Colloids Surf B: Biointerfaces 2010, 81:242–248.
- [16] Busscher HJ, Van Hoogmoed CG, Geertsema-Doornbusch GI, van der Kuijl- Booij M, van der Mei HC: Streptococcus thermophilus and itsbiosurfactants inhibit adhesion by Candida spp. on silicone rubber. Appl Environ Microbiol 1997, 63:3810–3817.
- [17] Das P, Mukherjee M, Sen R: Antiadhesive action of a marine microbial surfactant. Colloids Surf B: Biointerfaces 2009, 71:183–186.
- [18] Glover ER, Smith RR, Jones VM, Jackson KS, Rowlands CC: An EPR investigation of surfactant action on bacterial membranes. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1999, 177:57–62.
- [19] Aranda FJ, Espuny MJ, Marques A, Teruel JA, Manresa A, Oritz A: Domain formation by a Rhodococcus sp. biosurfactant trehalose lipid incorporated into phosphatidylcholine membranes. Langmuir 2007, 23:2700–2705.
- [20] Kim BS, Lee JY, Hwang BK: In vivo control and in vitro antifungal activity of rhamnolipid B, a glycolipid antibiotic, against Phytophthora capsici and Collectorichum orbiculare. Pest Management Sci 2000, 56:1029–1035.
- [21] Das P, Mukherjee M, Sen R: Antiadhesive action of a marine microbial surfactant. Colloids Surf B: Biointerfaces 2009, 71:183–186.

AUTHOR'S BIOGRAPHY



Ms. Reshma Turbhekar is a Ph.D. fellow at Dept. of Microbiology, Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College, Vashi, New Mumbai (Maharashtra). She has published 03 research papers and 01 case study. She has established Industrial collaborations for product development as a part of her Ph.D. work. She was appointed as Assistant Professor and Head Department of Biotechnology at K.B.P. College and has 4 years of teaching experience in Microbiology, Biochemistry and Cell biology. She is a recognized practical examiner and paper corrector for

University Examination. She was also in charge of undergraduate research in Biotechnology and has mentored 25 students for departmental projects. She was an outstanding student and has two academic proficiencies awards. She has carried out her master's dissertation in Cancer biology at Gude lab, ACTREC, Kharghar Navi Mumbai. Presently she is a guest lecturer in Microbiology and Molecular Biology at Cistron Integrated Systems Mumbai, Maharashtra.



Dr. Nagesh Malik is Associate Prof and Head of the Department of Microbiology, V.E.S. College of Arts, Science and Commerce, Sindhi Society, Chembur, Mumbai 400071and has 32 years of teaching and 25 years of research experience. He has guided, around 40 dissertations of post-graduate students, 4 M.Sc. by research students, and is also a recognized guide for Ph.D.in Microbiology in Mumbai University. He has published,15 research papers in national and international Journals and also has one patent publication to his

credit. He is a life member of several scientific organizations/societies. He has also reviewed many research papers.



Mr. Debarshi Dey is a strategic, multi-disciplinary, results-oriented, highenergy creative professional with an eye for innovation and perfection. He worked with a gamut of industry professionals and research experts, which required my expertise in strategic planning, new business start-up, market plan execution, budgeting/finance, customer service, and staff training. He is also skilled in P & L management, pricing strategies, competitive and market analysis, staffing, purchasing and vendor relations, new product roll out, and

targeted marketing. He was also the founder Director of micro Sat Biolabs. His greatest expertise also revolves in the world of teaching, and disseminate knowledge to students to develop reasoning about perennial questions, master the methods of scientific inquiry, cultivate the intellect, create positive change, develop spirituality, and model a democratic society. Presently he is Director of Mylab Life solutions Pune, Maharashtra.



Dr.Deepak Thakare is Associate Professor and Head of Department of Microbiology, Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College, Vashi, New Mumbai (Maharashtra) .This College is permanently affiliated to the University of Mumbai. He has 35 years of teaching experience in the area of microbial biochemistry & applied microbiology and 25 years of research experience in the fields of Legume-Rhizobium Technology and applied microbiology, published 11 research papers in national & international journals. Presently 06 students are pursuing Ph.D. under his guidance, 01 student has submitted Ph.D. thesis, 02

students have completed M.Sc.(By Research) and 01 has completed M.Phil. under his guidance. He has completed one minor research project and one is ongoing. He is life member of several scientific societies like Biotech Research Society of India, Association of Microbiologists of India, Microbiologists Society, International Society of Science & Technology. He is editorial consultant of Elixir: National Journal of Multidisciplinary Research. He is also a referee for the evaluation of Ph.D. theses of various universities in Maharashtra. Presently he is working as the Chairman, Board of Studies in Microbiology, University of Mumbai and also a member of Academic Council & Faculty of Science, University of Mumbai.