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Abstract: The 20th Century had several events and conflicts that undeniably shaped our history, values, self-awareness and perception of reality around us, mainly the triumph of Bolshevism in Russia. The Soviet Union and COMINTERN advocated for the urgency and need of World Communism in an International Soviet Republic, by the abolition of National Governments.

However, Karl Marx’s World Revolution was unsuccessful for many reasons, which possibly led to a new strategy for International Communism. Some Communists, mainly from the Frankfurt School realized they would have to dismantle and replace the Western Culture. Thus, Critical Theory and Postmodernism emerged and aimed to dismantle, deconstruct and eventually replace the cultural values through the infiltration in the public institutions.

The research question in a first moment aims to understand if the Communists were successful in their infiltration and dissemination of ideas and values. And if so, if today’s phenomenon of “Woke Culture”, Social Justice Warriors and the rise of Right-Wing conservative parties are an aftermath.

The paper argues that both “Woke Culture” and Social Justice Warriors have awakened a counterculture and the Rise of Right-Wing conservative and religious parties all over the Western World, in order to contain the spread of Neo-Marxist ideas.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The 19th Century had seen the emergence of many revolutionary ideas in the political and philosophical realm. Moses Hess and Hegel (Piccone, 1974) played a considerable role in Marx’s political and philosophical ideas, which led ultimately to the Communist Manifesto with Engels. Marx’s philosophical work inherited Hegel’s dialectic (thesis-antithesis-synthesis) (Likitkijsomboon, 1992), in a threefold manner, first the antithesis against Capitalism (or bourgeoisie) was Communism (or proletariat), second the antithesis to Religion and Christianity was Atheism, and third the antithesis against Patriotism was Internationalism. Hess converted Engels to the Communist cause (Hunt, 2010), and transformed the Hegelian Dialectical idealism theory of history to dialectical historical materialism, that was further adopted by Marx and Engels in the Communist Manifesto. The successful Bolshevik Revolution of 1917 in Russia was the cornerstone for International Communism. In Karl Marx’s view the goal of Communism should not be seen merely on a national level, instead, the Communist goal should be the establishment of a unified World Socialist Republic with the abolition of all national states and sovereignty (Marx, 1850). Therefore, Vladimir Lenin created in March 1919, the “Communist International”, his main aim being the establishment of a Soviet Republic, hence the creation of the term “Soviet Union”.

However, this World Revolution was not successful in the Western World, which made the Bolsheviks and Marxist philosophers desperate for a more feasible solution and understand its theoretical failures, while analyzing its theory weaknesses. As a consequence, many Marxists developed Critical Theory that aimed to question and replace Western cultural values. According with these new Marxists and some KGB agents, the key would be through subversion, a psychological warfare on the West (Bezmenov, 1984).
The rise of this strategy has received far less scrutiny from the international community (Chim, 2018), and led to many of the mainstream movements and social issues of our time. The Woke Culture, Critical Race Theory and Social Justice Warriors (SJW) (Bezmenov, 1984) are the unknown heirs of this philosophical and political ideology (Peterson, 2017), which found its main predecessors in the Frankfurt School and Postmodernism. The orthodox Marxist dichotomy of class struggle has been replaced with the new Identity Politics, a dichotomy of oppressed and oppressor groups, dividing mainly gender, race and sexual orientation (Bernstein, 1994), by blaming: White, Men/Patriarchy, Christianity and Patriotism as the oppressor groups responsible for the World’s misfortunes (Hickes, 2011), as stated by the black hip hop artist Kanye West: “The straight white male has the least amount of a platform to even speak” (West, 2022). This strategy devised by the Soviet Union, in cooperation with the intellectuals of the Frankfurt School decided the successful infiltration of the cultural and public institutions of Western countries would be paramount (Bezmenov, 1984). As a consequence, in today’s world, we witness the increasing rise of Right-Wing parties around the Western World, who advocate the opposite values of the Neo-Marxist movements, categorizing them as Conservative, Nationalist and Religious.

2. THEORETICAL CRISIS OF MARXISM AND THE FRANKFURT SCHOOL

The Consolidation of Marxist ideology has faced several challenges along its path. At its core Marxist ideology is based upon the social and class struggle between the oppressed (the proletariat) and the oppressors (the capital). Marxist advocates have focused their efforts in awakening the proletariat of their own alienation. Marxists have propagandized and predicted that the Capitalist World/Western World would eventually enter a class conflict (Marx, 1848), as a consequence of selfish human nature, the desire for profit, and the increasing competition of the Capitalist system, which would translate into further exploitation and alienation for the working class.

The Marxist predictions misaligned with the empirical facts, in particular the reality in the Western World, since the middle class had registered an increase in their wealth, as well as other false predictions (Hickes, 2011). However, probably the highest moment of the theoretical crisis of Marxism occurred with the First World War and its aftermath (Nobre, 2013). The Marxist intellectuals found it hard to explain how the working class cooperated with the Capitalists and the Bourgeoisie. According to Marxist view and its theoretical-philosophical framework, the working class all over the world, including the West, would inevitably realize their own alienation and exploitation, thus leading to a Worldwide Social and Political Revolution, and thereby fulfilling the old Marxist slogan: “Workers from the whole World, Unite!” (Marx, 1848).

Meanwhile, in 1917, the Bolshevik Revolution was successful in Russia and gave power to the working class against the oppressors and the unscrupulous Bourgeoisie. Nevertheless, the empirical facts distance themselves once again from the Marxist slogan of compassion, what was meant to be a fairer system of government, was in fact, a historical bloodbath never before seen in history (Solzhenitsyn, 2002), but more recently admitted by Alexander Yakovlev who was a Soviet politician, historian and former Head of the Propaganda Department of Communist Party of the Soviet Union. In his book “A Century of Violence in Soviet Russia”, he exposes that Soviet Union had a demonic demeanor across its history, and Stalin alone killed from 60 to 70 million people (Yakovlev, 2002), mainly due to the “dictatorship of the proletariat”, as defended by Zinoviev and other Bolshevik leaders:

“We will turn our hearts into steel (…) and the blood of fighters for freedom. We will make our hearts cruel, hard, and immovable, so that no mercy will enter them, and so that they will not quiver at the sight of a sea of enemy blood. (…) Without mercy, without sparing, we will kill our enemies in scores of hundreds. Let them be thousands; let them drown themselves in their own blood. Let there be floods of blood of the bourgeois- more blood! As much as possible.” (Zinoviev, 1918)

Notwithstanding, the Bolsheviks and Lenin had hoped for the Worker’s Revolution to spread into the Western World and Europe. Its failures to do so led to the conscious need to examine the failures of their own prediction (Piccone, 1974) with the help of Communist International (COMINTERN), which ought to be the central headquarters for this World Revolution, and thus every Communist party around the world reports to it: “The existence of the Soviet Republic side by side with the imperialist states for an extended period is unthinkable. In the end either one or the other will conquer.
And before this result, a series of horrible conflicts between the Soviet Republic and the bourgeois states is unavoidable.” (Lenin, 1919). Years later, Lenin decided to hold a meeting in the Marx-Engels Institute of Moscow, and aimed to accomplish Marx’s goal of a World Social and Cultural Revolution, and as a consequence, the Frankfurter School was created.

The Frankfurt School aimed to understand the theoretical Marxist inconsistencies, as well as its failed predictions (Nobre, 2013). After a deep analysis, these Marxist intellectuals have come to realize the main reasons were deeper than just economic exploitation by the Bourgeoisie, but had their roots in the very core of human existence, the Culture (Agger, 1991). The COMINTERN and Frankfurter School realized the reasons for the unsuccessful World Revolution and Soviet World Republic being doomed to failure were beyond economic reasons (Agger, 1991), quite simply, the Western World was too attached to their Culture, Values and Religion (Walsh, 2017).

“And today Lenin has declared the greatest obstacle to the success of Bolshevism in England to be the fact that the English working-man founds his ideas upon the Bible.” (Webster, 1920)

As expressed by Lenin above, one main reason for the Marxist failure was the strong belief in Christianity and the working-class religious beliefs. The Bolshevik leaders sought from the very outset the complete destruction of Christian values, including the annihilation of the Cathedral of Christ the Savior (Sidorov, 2000) and the prohibition of religious practices, since the Soviet Union had inherently adopted the Marxist philosophy of absolute Atheism, as defended by Marx:

1. “My objective in life is to dethrone God and destroy capitalism.” (Marx, 1848)
2. “Communism begins where atheism begins.” (Marx, 1848)
3. “Theory of the Communist may be summed up in the single sentence: Abolition of private property (…) You are horrified at our intending to do away with private property… Precisely so; that is just what we intend.” (Marx, 1848)

Naturally, the Catholic Church has been completely against Communism since the beginning. In fact, Pope Pius IX, 2 years prior the Communist Manifesto being published, stated his concern against this ideology that was against the natural law and sought to destroy private property, human rights, religion and God (Pius IX, 1846). As Marx stated the Communist goal would be to destroy the present order and civil society, Pope Leo XIII tried to warn the population that such ideology uses deception and deceptive slogans as “Equality” to gain supporters, but seeks to overthrow the civil society (Leo XIII, 1878), as also later stated by Churchill (Churchill, 1920):

“From the days of Spartacus-Weishaupt to those of Karl Marx, and down to Trotsky (Russia), Bela Kun (Hungary), Rosa Luxembourg (Germany), and Emma Goldman (United States), this world-wide conspiracy for the overthow of civilisation and for the reconstitution of society on the basis of arrested development, of envious malevolence, and impossible equality, has been steadily growing (…) and now at last this band of extraordinary personalities from the underworld of the great cities of Europe and America have gripped the Russian people by the hair of their heads and have become practically the undisputed masters of that enormous empire”

Many other popes across the decades have expressed their concerns with the communist ideology spread worldwide, and made Pope Pius XI, after the 20 years of communist experience in the Soviet Union describing such ideology as perverse, spiritual wickedness and warfare with the Church: “The evil we must combat is at its origin primarily an evil of the spiritual order. From this polluted source the monstrous emanations of the communistic system flow with satanic logic.” (Pius XI, 1937), who seeks to completely distance people from God, destroy inalienable rights (freedom and private property) and nation-states to form a World Republic as prophesized in the Bible (Revelation 13:7).

Decades later, the intellectuals from the Frankfurt School decided it was paramount to attack, deconstruct and destroy the Western Culture and Values, since those had been the reasons why International Communism was unsuccessful (Walsh, 2017). As a consequence, these Neo-Marxist intellectuals had decided to reshape Western Culture from the ground-zero, to achieve that, they focused their time into devising what came to be called: Critical Theory. Thus, the Neo-Marxists used Critical Theory to achieve a silent Cultural Revolution with Critical Theory’s interdisciplinary collaborative processes amongst all Social Sciences (Nobre, 2013).
International Communists, mainly from the Frankfurt School used Critical Theory as their main vehicle for Marx’s socialist revolution (Agger, 1991), while at the same time extending their orthodox and traditional Marxist dichotomy (Peterson, 2017) of Bourgeoisie against the Proletariat, to an obsession of identity Groups by advocating there are some Groups that were historically oppressed by other groups (Walsh, 2017), inherited Hegel’s dialectic. Thus, a more holistic view was established by focusing on social structures dynamics, while blaming the oppressor groups as the inherent heirs of Western Culture, mainly the White Race, Male Privilege, Patriarchy, Patriotism, and Christianity.

Herbert Marcuse, a philosopher of the Frankfurt School, had realized the failures and inconsistencies of the Marxism Theory, thereby, he tried to dissect the reasons for why the Proletariat did not fulfill their historical revolutionary mission and continued to be alienated and exploited by the Capitalist system. He argued both in the Culture and Materialistic matters, first, the Proletariat was too attached to the Western Values, as well as they were too dependent and distracted by the gadgets and materialism brought by Capitalism. (Hickes, 2011)

Antonio Gramsci also held an important role in the forefront movement known as Neo-Marxism. Gramsci was the co-founder of the Communist Party of Italy, and he believed the Marxist ideology could only flourish after a long march in the cultural institutions (Marcuse, 1972), as well as, the imperative necessity of destroying Christianity, otherwise the Western individuals would never embrace this revolutionary movement (Lind, 2004) since their beliefs and souls were deeply intertwined with religious values.

Gramsci coined the term “Cultural Hegemony” in his writings, and blamed the Western Culture of possessing total hegemony to the extent of being the main reason for the alienation of the working-class, the Proletariat and injustice (Lears, 1985). Thus, the Frankfurt School and the Neo-Marxists embraced “Cultural Hegemony” under the Critical Theory umbrella, and intended to transform the Kantian concept of “reason”. These intellectuals believed “reason” was embedded in culture, society and values (McCarthy, 1990 and Gramsci, 1982) of the Western World, and thus, they advocated that linguistics and discourse are a sample of Western entanglement (McCarthy, 1990) of historical power and control, both of which, in their perspective built an unfair and tyrannical system.

2.1. The Infiltration in the Western World

This chapter intends to demonstrate how the Neo-Marxist philosophers gained positions of power in the Western World, as they desired, thereby many critics decided to criticize and expose the Marxist infiltration of its ideas into the Western society. The New Far-Left or Neo-Marxists intellectuals understood it would be paramount the infiltration in the public and cultural institutions (Marcuse, 1972). As advocated by the most famous philosophers, the values of the West had to be questioned, criticized and blamed for all the World's misfortune (Hickes, 2011) through psychological subversion (Bezmenov, 1984). However, in some circumstances there is nothing to be deconstructed, since it has not been constructed yet. More precisely the education field to children, since in such a young age they have not acquired the cultural values from the Western world, thus, no deconstruction is needed (Portela, 2021), but the opposite, hence the emerge of constructivism.

Constructivism is nothing more than a Neo-Marxist and Postmodernist creation, where there is no objective reality (McCallum, 1996), and knowledge, ideas, concepts and language are embedded in the Western culture, which is based upon Christianity, God and the Bible. Accordingly, with the Postmodernist Ernest Gellner, the Bible has no meaning, objective truth or ultimate message to mankind (Adams, 1997). Constructivism and Critical Theory (Neo-Marxist ideologies) aimed to infiltrate Western World not only to deconstruct but to shape children’s belief systems, since after the First-Wave Feminism, the children were no longer taught and acquired values from their family structure and household, but from the Education system in schools.

The Frankfurt School intellectuals flew mainly to the United States, since they had Jewish origins and thus, they were German refugees in the 1930s: Horkheimer, Adorno, Marcuse, Fromm, Reich, and others (Rabinbach, 1995). They were invited to lecture in famous Universities, or to hold influential functions in Government. Their influence in Academia and students was already significant in the 1960s (Rabinbach, 1995), thus allowing the reshaping of people's belief systems, mainly the newer generations (Walsh, 2017). Thereby, their writings and work are paramount to understand contemporary social and political developments and movements (Abromeit, 2018).
It is intelligible to understand the core of Marxist Theory, which seeks the deconstruction and destruction of Nation-States and national sovereignty (Chakladar, 1964), in order to build a World Soviet Republic or Soviet Union. Thus, there is the need to destroy patriotism and nationalist feelings within the individuals and society, since those values are one of the main characteristics of the Western Culture, and are merely social and human constructions (Gellner, 1992).

“National pride and racial identity shall be stamped out and in the transition phase it shall be subject to the severest penalties to even mention one's racial origin.” (Adorno, 1950)

At first sight, the statement above by the Neo-Marxist intellectual, Adorno seems harmless, by advocating “Equality” amongst individuals, since it does not matter their native origins and cultural upbringings. However, the Neo-Marxist philosophers focused on slowly destroying the cultural values of the West, such as Patriotism, just like Lenin advocated that Marxism is irreconcilable with Nationalism, thus, the communist aim is to advance Internationalism (Chakladar, 1964), and rooted from Marx’s ideas: “It is our interest and our task to make the revolution permanent (…) until the proletariat has conquered state power (…) not only in one country but in all the leading countries in the world.” (Marx, 1850).

The Far-Left and Neo-Marxist ideology advocates the only path for a fair society is based upon Equality, mainly equality of outcome (Peterson, 2017), and Diversity (racial, gender, sexuality, etc). In their perspective, a multicultural society is essential to truly achieve a fair Society, using the new dichotomy based upon Identity Groups and Identity Politics (Bezmenov, 1984). At first sight, this view has a logical appeal for individuals, since almost every human seeks justice and fairness, however, it comes from the wrong premise. Many critics of the Far-Left and Neo-Marxists claim they neglect human beings as individuals, but rather see them as part of a group and “number in a State computer” (Thatcher, 1975). The Neo-Marxist view, who advocate for compassion for the oppressed, completely disregards individual uniqueness (Hickes, 2011), showing a considerable lack of philosophical awareness, since justice and a fair society can not be achieved by individual diversity (thoughts, ideas, personalities, hobbies), but by a collective/group diversity. The consequences of this philosophical view trigger the feeling of Collective Guilt (Shapiro, 2013) from the individuals who are in the so-called “Oppressor Group”, even though their actions have never been violent or oppressive in nature. Instead, the individuals inherit the guilt from their past generations, distancing themselves from values such as: Patriotism, Family, Religion, etc., as a normal outcome (Wheeler, 2022).

Emra Benson, former American Minister, had alerted the United States citizens of the Soviet and Marxist infiltration in the public institutions, since he was witnessing in the 50s and 60s the rising taboo around American Patriotism by the Liberals, the Left and even the Education system (Benson, 1966). In the same spectrum, the former KGB Agent, Yuri Bezmenov admitted that his role in the Soviet Union and in the Cold War was mainly the pursuit of establishing a silent War on the American people. His job was to psychologically and ideologically subvert American Society by infiltrating the public institutions and information networks to shape people’s minds and beliefs.

“People habitually refer to the American media as ‘free,’ ignoring the obvious and commonly known fact that most of the most powerful media in the USA, is already MONOPOLIZED both financially and ideologically by what are referred to as ‘liberals.’” (Bezmenov, 1984)

The United States had started to realize this infiltration into American life and institutions years before. In 1956, the United States Government published authentic proof through Soviet Documents and the Cominten Report of 1938, that leaders of the Soviet Union had agents working in Hollywood and the American Media.

“In Hollywood we have people who work admirably for the Soviet Union (US Government, 1956).”

This infiltration allowed for the complete ignorance about the Soviet atrocities, mainly in the Polish city of Katyn in 1943, and the Genocide (Dalrymple, 1964) they committed onto the Ukrainian people, called: The Holodomor. The famous journalist and former Advisor for Llyod George, Gareth Jones had exposed Soviet atrocities, such as the Holodomor and blamed Walter Duranty, who worked for the New York Times of withholding such information in the United States, in a deliberate manner (Duranty, 1933). Many Ukrainians have shared their dissatisfaction towards Duranty and demand that
the Pulitzer Award should be taken away from him (Krushelnycky, 2003). The Holodomor lasted from 1932-34, and appears to have caused around 5.5 million deaths (Dalrymple, 1964), but though sources place the death toll at almost 10 million (Dalrymple, 1964), making hard to grasp how the majority of the Western World was unaware of such atrocities. However, many journalists and correspondents publicly admitted the famine (Chamberlin, 1935) and some did not even question its veracity, but just how many people lost their lives (Williams, 1934).

Not only Gareth Jones, but also Malcom Muggeridge were the major spokesmen of this phenomenon. Muggeridge repeatedly asked for the Western nations to be aware of this situation, since not only the Ukrainians, but the Russian people were starving to death (Muggeridge, 1933), however, the resolutions to acknowledge this famine were almost ignored in the House of Representatives and the House of Lords (Dalrymple, 1964). Nowadays, there are still many individuals and politicians who label the Holodomor as a myth, including Russia’s President Vladimir Putin (Applebaum, 2018).

Another major inconsistency in the Neo-Marxist ideology surrounds their belief in diversity. In their belief, diversity can only be reached through diversity of identity (Race, Gender, Sexuality), whereas today’s “Woke Culture” has been responsible for the implementation of this belief (Shapiro, 2013) and blaming White Men of possessing positions of power. However, more than 80% of United States Media, Hollywood (Stein, 2008), and Banking are owned by American Jews, therefore, following their philosophical framework, they should criticize the concentration of these institutions in a homogenous group, instead of a diverse one. Nevertheless, these Media institutions and Hollywood have been promoting the Neo-Marxist narrative of Gender, Race diversity and social justice topics (Shapiro, 2011).

Recently, the current United States President, Joe Biden signed Executive Order 13985 “Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities through the Federal Government”, which uses the Neo-Marxist rhetoric of protecting the oppressed groups through Social Justice policies. However, as many intellectuals and philosophers have stated across the decades, “equality” can never be legislated (Friedman, 1962), mainly in a society based upon meritocracy (Nozick, 1974). Many activist movements and groups have promoted the term “Cancel Culture”, meaning, there is a need to discredit and potentially eliminate the cultural values that built the West and the United States (Lind, 2004) to create an egalitarian society. And these Social Justice Movements are the expected consequence of years of disguised indoctrination and brainwashing (Bezmenov, 1984), of Neo-Marxist ideology which is against God, Christianity, Family, Patriotism, Morality, and others values (Rachn, 1996).

“A society that aims for equality before liberty, will end up with neither equality nor freedom.” (Friedman, 1962)

The American Founding Fathers defended that “all men are created equal”, and such statement means that all human beings are born with the same inalienable rights, and that being the only equality amongst men, as Locke and others defended (Simmons, 1983). However, individuals possess different inclinations, talents, preferences, and through the inalienable right of Freedom and Free-will, they will seek to obtain their personal happiness in different ways, since not every individual wishes the same as every other. In fact, a society based upon those principles will invariably lead to an “unequal” society (Nozick, 1974), but that being the only way to achieve real “Social Justice”, by not forcing equality of outcome, since there are some individuals who have worked harder or possess more talent than others. This philosophical belief that a society based upon justice and fairness can only be achieved by equality of outcome has led to many critics by labeling it as ethically unappropriated (Roemer & Trannoy, 2016), since that approach will eventually lead to a lack of personal accountability and responsibility. The pursuit of equality of outcome by the Far-Left led to some intellectuals not only criticizing its moral and ethics (Nozick, 1974), but defending the principle: equality of opportunity as paramount, mainly in education. As a consequence, this principle will lead to different results amongst individuals and, eventually building a society with natural inequalities (Nozick, 1974).

In simple terms, an egalitarian society is deeply unfair, because equality of outcome is impossible (Friedman, 1962), and meritocracy should always prevail for the establishment of a fair society. Empirically, the pursuit of absolute equality in the Soviet Union with the Marxist ideology showed the social consequences and its potential for atrocities (Bezmenov, 1984), just like in Red China and other Communist experiences that advocate for Equality, Fairness and “Social Justice”.

The Woke Culture, Social Justice Warriors and the Rise of Right-Wing
3. THE SECOND MARXIST CRISIS: THE POSTMODERNIST MOVEMENT

The Post-Modernism phenomenon emerged in the 70s, after decades and decades of Marxist theoretical failures. In the theoretical realm, the predicted Marxist World Revolution had not happened after more than 100 years of waiting.

In the empirical realm, Nikita Khrushchev had finally admitted and revealed the crimes committed by Stalin for decades, as well as the disclosure facts and proofs of the murderous atrocities in the Soviet Union in the best-seller “Gulag Archipelago” by the notorious Alexander Solzhenitsyn. The famous writer stated that during the Stalin’s era, more than 60 million Russians had lost their lives (Solzhenitsyn, 2002), or the planned genocide, the famous “Holodomor”, against Ukrainians. Once more Marxism showed deep contradictions and inconsistencies when faced with empirical facts, its claims of compassion for the oppressed and its moral/humanitarian ideology (Walsh, 2011). In fact, Marx promoted the idea that a Holocaust or genocide must be needed for their World Revolution to be successful (Marx, 1856), as well as, the need to forcible overthrow all existing social conditions (Marx, 1848).

Regardless, the Marxist and Neo-Marxists could not argue anymore that their ideology was morally superior to the Western Capitalist and Liberal world (Hicks, 2011), since the atrocities and the empirical facts were too solid to refute. The Far-Left had to hide its true colors and, starting to call themselves Postmodernists. In this new movement we should emphasize Jacques Derrida, Lyotard and Michel Foucault. The last, belonged to the French Communist Party, and later in his life declared himself a Maoist (Hickes, 2011). This New Far-Left was formed by a mix of Poststructuralists and Postmodernists, both having a significant ideological overlap. The first, was focused on theory of language and knowledge, whereas the latter focused their writings on socio-cultural topics and history (Agger, 1991), but both found their philosophical framework in Marxism, since linguistic and discourse themselves, are a sample of Western entanglement (McCarthy, 1990) and its oppressive culture.

These intellectuals, and other Neo-Marxist philosophers have extended Critical Theory even further by defending a cognition opposed to rationality and empirical facts, through total rejection of reasoning. In other words, regardless of the empirical facts, there is an impossibility to analyze any event without personal inclinations, and therefore, there is not a canonical view of the world. Critical Theory and Postmodernism sought to destroy the fabric and the social core principles of the Western world through the Social Sciences (Nobre, 2013).

In the Postmodernist view, not only the Western values were to be criticized and destroyed, but they were merely philosophical and social constructions, and as such they could as easily be destroyed since they lack solidity in the real world. In the Neo-Marxist view, nothing is concrete and there is no absolute truth (Hickes, 2011), everything is just theoretical, upon which the transition to an immutable objective reality is an impossibility. Thus, even basic concepts such as identity, culture, epistemic certainty, univocity of meaning and words ought to be questioned (Nobre, 2013), and consequently there is nothing concrete and absolute in the world, which can even lead to the rise of dangerous philosophical ideas as Nihilism (Hicks, 2011). “First they steal the words, then they steal the meaning.” (Orwell, 1948)

Nonetheless, the Postmodernist Neo-Marxist movement, despite possessing logical and attractive statements, still had major flaws and inconsistencies. In their belief, there is no canonical interpretation of the World, nor objective truth. These allegations fail short because those intellectuals were Marxist, and thereby had their own interpretation of the World, and how the World should be (Hicks, 2011). Not only that, but in their mind, just like the Frankfurt Scholars, the Oppressed groups suffer from a tyrannical system, and thus, their dissatisfaction is reasonable, which is contradictory to their Neo-Marxist belief that no canonical or interpretation of the World is absolute, truthful or concrete, but just an opinion (Peterson, 2017).

In their own perspective, as stated above, basic definitions, concepts or univocity of meaning are not absolute or concrete, thereby, words like truth might not really mean its orthodox meaning, since truth is subjective (Hickes, 2011). In this realm, logic, morality and reasoning are not necessarily real, since there is no absolute and concrete interpretation of these word, being that they are social constructs (Walsh, 2017). Thus, another inconsistency arises from this ideology and social movements, they
belief and reject the univocity of meaning and definitions, however, these intellectuals claim the Western society to be deeply Sexist and Racist, in other words, defending the objective meaning of those two words and their orthodox definitions (Hickes, 2011), mainly with definitions such as: Institutionalized or systemic Racism.

Since these intellectuals had rejected basic definitions such as: reason, logic and morality, we could more easily understand how the Neo-Marxist believers constantly neglected the atrocities and its catastrophic empirical evidences in the Marxist countries (Hickes, 2011), mainly the Soviet Union and Red China, where some argue that more than 90 million people lost their lives according with some studies, mainly from the “Information is Beautiful: 20th Century Death” (Walters, 2013), with recent data claiming the casualties to easily exceed 100 million (Kengor, 2020). The official numbers and estimations are far from precise, nevertheless, the number of contradictory discourses and inconsistencies in this ideology reaches even further, managing to completely ignore the outrageous number of human causalities.

In a nutshell, and as argued by Professor Hickes, 1) Reality is relative, however, Neo-Marxists and the Oppressed tell how reality really is. 2) Values are subjective and social constructions, however, the Western values such as Sexism, Racism and others are real. 3) We should seek Diversity and Equality; however, Western Culture should be destroyed. 4) Tolerance is good, and dominance is bad, but Political Correctness is usable as a scapegoat to stop intellectual debate, since those arguments are based upon logic and facts, instead of the denial of western values and realities. Political Correctness is said to be a defense mechanism for the most disadvantaged and oppressed, a false rhetoric aimed only to mask its true goals through dissimulation and cunning (Hickes, 2011).

In the Neo-Marxist and Postmodernist view, the only absolute is Power (Peterson, 2017), and thereby, they seek to use Political Correctness, “Fake News” or other terms to silence any logical, intellectual and empirical fact-based arguments (Hicks, 2011). Once again, we witness another philosophical inconsistency, the defense of tolerance should be promoted, but when the Neo-Marxists and today’s Social Justice Warriors are being exposed by their own contradictions, they use Political Correctness to silence critics. On a deeper level, we have a complete and dogmatic absolutism in one realm, and absolute subjectivism in the other (Hickes, 2011).

The contradictions of Neo-Marxists have been analyzed and dissected, relating to their application of Critical Theory in the epistemic certainty and univocity of meaning, but these contradictions go even further. They claim the Western World as deeply Racist, due to Institutionalized Racism and its mechanisms of perpetuating racial inequality, mainly based upon structures and institutions who jeopardizes equality of access to good and services, benefiting White individuals and marginalizing black individuals (Jones, 2002 and Shapiro, 2021). However, empirical evidences and reality has shown that the West ended slavery before any other region in the World, but has no racial discriminatory laws in today’s societies (Shapiro, 2013), and wealth inequalities in America is not a consequence of one’s race, since all citizens have the same individual rights.

Secondly, the West is also deeply Sexist, however these intellectuals do not focus their writings and criticism against the lack of human and women’s rights in the Muslim World. Instead, they only criticize the West as Racist and Sexist, even though women have the same legal rights as Men, and it was the first civilization to give the first human rights to women (Hicks, 2011). All the philosophical ideas of the Far-Left, from the Frankfurt School to the Postmodernists have led to the creation of the mainstream movement called: the Woke Culture and Social Justice (Owens, 2020). This movement has repeatedly advocated “Cancel Culture” in the Western World (Strang, 2021), and has reached many spheres in society, from Hollywood, to the Media or Academia (Shapiro, 2021).

4. THE DEMORALIZATION OF THE WEST AND THE UNITED STATES

The Cold War was characterized for the antagonistic views in the social, economic, philosophical and political spheres, by two Superpowers, and how the World Order should be. This conflict never registered a formal armed confrontation; however, this conflict being mainly fought in psychological warfare and subversion. (Bezmenov, 1984).

The Demoralization process was only possible after the infiltration of the public institutions, mainstream media, journals and Education system (Lohmeier, 2021), since those are the sources of information to the public, and, thus, they can shape the people’s minds from a young age and
encourage them to abandon Conservative and Western values such as: Family Structure, Patriotism, Nationalism, Religion, Meritocracy, and furthermore (Walsh, 2017). This process, as described by Yuri Bezmenov, is usually around 15 to 20 years, since it is the necessary time to educate or indoctrinate an individual, shape his belief system and thereby his actions and thoughts. As a consequence, an important part of the Demoralization process is based upon the constant narrative of Group Guilt (Peterson, 2017) and, in order to reach Social Justice, the individuals should abandon their ancestor’s culture and values, otherwise, they ought to be labeled as: Racist, Sexist or Homophobic (Shapiro, 2013).

Benson, like Bezmenov, had alerted the American society for this upcoming reality. While in government, Benson met Soviet Union leader, Nikita Khrushchev and leaked their private conversations by emphasizing that his grandchildren would live under Marxism ideology, not by imposition or physical force, but by subversion and manipulation on the American mind from within (Benson, 1966). Years prior, in 1958, the former FBI employee Cleon Skousen wrote the infamous book “The Naked Communist”, where he laid down a 45 Communist goals for the Marxist agenda in the United States. In a nutshell, the majority of those have become a reality, mainly the decreasing numbers of religious practices, Christian believers, increasing number of Atheists, the discredit and destruction of the family by promoting promiscuity (Skousen, 1958).

Thus, United States President, John F Kennedy addressed that Communism was the greatest threat of our times, in a speech dated April 27th 1961. In his words, Communism intended to destroy the American traditional values and way of life (Kennedy, 1961), in a cultural warfare and subversion as stated by former KGBs agents. In his opinion, this conspiracy to overthrow the United States and the Western world is characterized not only by subversion but an extensive network from the financial, military and political world:

“The very word "secrecy" is repugnant in a free and open society; and we are as a people inherently and historically opposed to secret societies, to secret oaths and to secret proceedings (...) For we are opposed around the world by a monolithic and ruthless conspiracy that relies primarily on covert means for expanding its sphere of influence--on infiltration instead of invasion, on subversion instead of elections, on intimidation instead of free choice, on guerrillas by night instead of armies by day. It is a system which has conscripted vast human and material resources into the building of a tightly knit, highly efficient machine that combines military, diplomatic, intelligence, economic, scientific and political operations.”

These extensive network that seeks Internationalism and the destruction of the Western society and its values, has been attacking one of its main characteristics: Meritocracy, Meaning the individual should be rewarded as a consequence of his effort, talent, performance and success, regardless of his own individual identity. The focus on Identity Politics from the Far-Left is leading to the demoralization of some core values of the Western and Christian World: personal accountability, responsibility and meritocracy. In the Neo-Marxist view only the Oppressed can understand and perceive the misfortunes and injustice in the World, and the Oppressors could never perceive objective truth, since they see the world through different lenses, which is a blatantly illogical statement by these intellectuals, since they advocate for “no objective truth”, thereby this dogma should also be applied to their own statements.

The Neo-Marxist and Postmodernists have focused their attention in blaming the Patriarchy as one of the main reasons for the lack of Social Justice, equality and fairness. As a consequence, we witnessed around the same time the rise of a new wave of Feminism, in order to challenge the tyrannical Patriarchic system and to reach the Marxist slogan of “Equality”. On paper, barely no human being wishes for injustice, thereby the attractiveness of this term/slogan, but these intellectuals ought to destroy the Family Structure of Western and Christian Civilization. Friedrich Engels and Karl Marx also sought to destroy the Family Structure in order to establish Communism upon the World: “Abolition of the family! Even the most radical flare up at this infamous proposal of the Communists.” (Marx, 1848)

In the last century, the famous Feminist Linda Gordon has been seen as one of the main figures for Gender Equality and Women’s rights. Surely, the Feminist movement has achieved success in their fight and struggle for a fairer society, however, we can not neglect that social activists, such as Linda
Gordon have openly expressed their goal of destroying the Family, just like Karl Marx himself: “The nuclear family must be destroyed (…) Whatever its ultimate meaning, the break-up of families now is an objectively revolutionary process.” (Brown, 2017)

Just like Linda Gordon, Robin Morgan has been known as a famous social activist and a Feminist force in the worldwide scene, being one of the main pioneers of Feminism within the United States. Despite this fact, Robin Morgan has demonstrated hatred towards Men, going against the philosophical principles of allegedly tolerance and compassion: “I feel that man-hating is an honorable and viable political act, that the oppressed have a right to class-hatred against the class that is oppressing them (…) most men cheerfully affirm their deadly class privileges and power. And I hate that class. (…) We can’t destroy the inequities between men and women until we destroy marriage.” (Brown, 2017)

More recently, Andrea Dworkin, another contemporary famous Feminist has even extended the lack of tolerance and compassion, by clearly expressing almost unhuman statements towards Men: “I want to see a man beaten to a bloody pulp with a high-heel shoved in his mouth, like an apple in the mouth of a pig” (Dworkin, 1995). Another famous Feminist and member of the American Communist Party was Angela Davis, and she was described by Herbert Marcuse as the best student he has ever had, showcasing the connection between the Frankfurt School Neo-Marxists and the emergence of the “New-Left” in the United States (Lind, 2004) and its ensuing movements.

The Social Justice Warriors and Woke Culture are both phenomena that inherited the Neo-Marxist and Postmodernist philosophy (Peterson, 2017), by using a sophisticated mask to shield and hide their real goals (Shapiro, 2021). The shield is the promoting of the mask of Social Justice, human rights activism (Bezmenov, 1984) and other labels, claiming that ultimately those fights would guarantee Equality and fairness amongst society, thus eradicating the inequalities between oppressed and oppressor groups. The Black Lives Matter movement emerged from years and years of Neo-Marxist rhetoric (Shapiro, 2021). At first sight, its ethos seems a legitimate one, however, they inherit the philosophical groundwork of Marxism by hiding and covering their goals with “Equality” and compassion for the oppressed. This movement mobilized an increasing number of Social Justice Warriors, since people want to achieve Social Justice in our society and eliminate unfairness, however, this movement does not aim for “Equality” and “Social Justice” as advocated by many of its enlisted unaware Social Justice Warriors (Shapiro, 2021 and Ramaswamy, 2021). Patrisse Cullors and Alicia, founders of Black Lives Matter admitted they are not only Marxists but “trained Marxists”, which leads to the questions: Trained by whom, and for what?

“We actually do have an ideological frame, myself and Alicia in particular are trained organizers, we are trained Marxists.” (Cullors, 2020)

Furthermore, in their website, the Black Lives Matter advocates for the destruction of Western nuclear family structure. This statement goes in-line with the contemporary ideologies of Marxism, Neo-Marxism and Liberal Progressivism, since the family is the oldest metaphysical connection assembled in mankind. When God created the Earth, He also created Male and Female (Gen 1:27), and thereby He created the base of community and society; The Family. It is believed for many centuries, and even enunciated by Aristotle and Plato, that the Family has a far greater influence and importance for the establishment and maintenance of a healthy society and community within the Nation, based upon Moral and ethical values (Hittinger, 2013).

In fact, the Black community has by far the highest percentage of divorced people as a percent of people who have been married with 20,48%, followed by the White community with 14,54% (USA Facts, 2021). At the same time the Black community registers the highest percentage of Children in single-parent families with 64% (Kids Count Data Center, 2019), and the lowest percentage of men currently married with 36,8% (USA Facts, 2022), which might jeopardize children’s success and future to some degree with the lack of a father-figure (Peterson, 2017). It is argued by BLM and SJW that American and Western society is characterized as institutionalized racism since there is a disparity in high positions in society, wealth inequality and others (Jones, 2002), however the data have shown that this reality might be explained since this group has registered higher percentages of high school dropouts (USA Facts, 2020) compared with the White community. Nevertheless, the 2020 data shows that the number of college enrollment students is higher in White people: 10,301, 292,
while Blacks are the third highest behind Hispanic with 2,493,306. However, the Black community have a higher college enrollment percentage with 6.01%, compared with the White community: 5.5% (USA Facts, 2020), which makes hard to sustain the claim of Systemic Racism in American institutions (Kirk, 2022), and a society based upon personal accountability, effort and meritocracy (Shapiro, 2021) might be a better explanation.

Notwithstanding, the Marxist had been aware the Family is one of the main bricks of Western and Christian societies, and thereby they attended to attack it by deception and subversive movements (Walsh, 2017). The Sexual Revolution movement, started in the 1960s, also due to the influence of Frankfurt School scholar, Wilhelm Reich. Reich wrote the book “Sexual Revolution” where he argued against the traditional family structure, as well as, blaming Christianity for being the reason for authoritarian states, consequently, it ought to be destroyed (Lind, 2004). The Neo-Marxist George Lukacs worked as a Soviet Agent of the Communist International (Lind, 2004), and became the Deputy Commissar for Culture in the Marxist Bela Kun government in Hungary. Lukacs not only defended the need to destroy the Western values, but claimed they should be replaced by Marxist values (Lind, 2004), thus, he implemented in Hungary a revolutionary sex education program promoting promiscuity, the ridicule of monogamous relationships and the blaming of Christianity for these dogmas and sexual taboos (Lind, 2004). The increasing popularity in pornography, prostitution and promiscuity was not only taking place in Hungary, but also in Berlin, in the 1920s (Garcia., Reiber., Massey & Merriwether, 2012) by using slogans of Women liberation (Marcuse, 1974) from the tyrannical Patriarchal system and desire to break-up the traditional family structure (Adorno, 1950), by constant promotion of free-sex and polygamous ideas (Marcuse, 1974). In the United States, black Professor Thomas Sowell admitted that public schools and universities have been seeking the destructions of Western values, by brainwashing individuals with the vision of the “anointed” ones (Sowell, 1995), in topics such as “sex education”. This desired indoctrination by the “anointed”, also labeled parents who were against these programs in public schools or “family planning” as “Right-Wing Extremists” (Sowell, 1995), sexual education programs who follow Lukacs’s blueprint and teachings in Hungary.

This paradigm shift has also been propagandized by the popular culture and the media, both suggesting that “Hookup culture” should be normalized (Stinson, 2010) due to its benefits (Bogle, 2007). As a result, many studies regarding the Western World and specifically United States college students have shown that 60 to 80% have had casual sexual experiences (Garcia., Reiber., Massey & Merriwether, 2012), changing the entire social and sexual paradigm of Christians societies. Furthermore, and as a consequence, the term “Friends with Benefits” has emerged in the public discourse, and in a small study, 60 percent of the individuals reported to have experienced a “Friends with Benefits” relationship (Bisson & Levine, 2009), making monogamous relationships obsolete and outdated, since only 9.8% of those individuals ended up in a monogamous and romantic relationship (Bisson & Levine, 2009). However, in a study conducted analyzing the aftermath of a hookup, 43 percent of women were not content nor happy, in the morning after. (Garcia & Reiber, 2008), and more than 70% (in Canada) reported to feel regret after casual encounters (Fisher et all., 2012). The last decade has also seen the emerge and popularization of smart phones and dating apps such as: Tinder, Grindr, Bumble. Subsequently, the dating and romantic sphere has completely transformed the pathways of social dynamics (Barrada & Castro, 2020), due to the easier access of potential lovers, as well as, the potential and increasing superficial behaviors (Muise & Strugo, 2019) who are connected with the hookup culture mentality (Barrada & Castro, 2020). This phenomenon might lead to narcissist mentalities to bewildering advices in “pickup lines” (Cambre & David, 2016). Also, important to emphasize, studies have shown that around 40 percent of single adults are active in this dating apps (Hodkinson & Gatter, 2016), further showing the transformation of the social and dating dynamics.

In a more philosophical or theoretical realm, the promotion of such terms might lead individuals to distance themselves from Christian values, personal accountability and responsibility, since data have shown religious and conservative people tend to distance themselves from this phenomenon (Penhollow, Young, & Bailey, 2007). This “Hookup culture” has contributed to the increasing number of clinical depressions (Owen, 2011) by people who have lived a life of Promiscuity. These individuals claim to feel emptiness by casual relationships, and not fulfilled, since they lack love and affection (Peterson, 2017). In fact, a major study has shown that young individuals who embraced a
life of promiscuity and “hookup culture”, possess a lower overall self-esteem (Paul et al., 2000), compared to individuals who did not, besides, they report to have trusting issues and difficulties when faced with a potential steady partner (Lewis et al., 2011).

Empirically speaking, and as a consequence, we have been witnessing the trend of postponing marriages or skipping them completely in the Western World, namely in the United States. The marriage rate has reached an all-time-low in 2018, considering the period of 1900-2018, with only 6.5 per 1000 people (Sutton & Curtin, 2020), and 47.3% of households have married couples in 2021, while in 1949 was 78.8% (USA Facts, 2022). Furthermore, data have shown that almost 50% of marriages in the United States are expected to end in a divorce, and the divorce rate marks 16.9 per 1000 married women. Thereby, the Sexual Revolution and the “Hookup Culture” had an obvious influence in the decreasing marriages and the increasing divorce rates, an idea that was preached by Frankfurt School intellectuals, since breakdown of parental authority might lead the new generations to social change (Martin, 1973).

Unsurprisingly, the fertility rate has also suffered in a significant way along the decades due to many factors such as abortion and contraceptives. Around the 60s, the infiltration of the Frankfurt scholar had already been established, but their teaching took longer to get into people's belief system, since it takes between 15 and 20 years for a given individual to go through their learning and teenager years. From 1960 to 1965, in such a short period of 5 years, the fertility rate changed from 3.65 to 2.91, and eventually to 1.70, in 2019 (World Bank, 2019). In a nutshell, in just 60 years we have witnessed the fertility rate dropping to half of the initial numbers analyzed, clearly showing the empirical results of the theoretical teachings of the Neo-Marxists. The increasing promiscuity and the decreasing fertility rates, at first glance might seem oxymoronic, however, it is embedded in the Marxist ideology. According with official numbers, in the earlier years of the Soviet Union, in 1921 registered 4,816,000 live births while the abortions were around 10,000. This phenomenon dramatically changed, in 1957 the abortion numbers reached above 5 million surpassing live births and this trend lasted until the 1991 (Popov, 1991), with the highest number being 8,551,351 in one year, in 1965 (Avdeev, Blum & Troitskaya, 1995).

Besides, according with some intellectuals (Lind, 2004), the Critical Theory from the Frankfurt School and Postmodernists also aimed to deconstruct gender, claiming to be a social construct, and thus eliminate the differences and distinctions between masculinity and femininity (Rachn, 1996), ignoring the biological differences given by God (Shapiro, 2013). More precisely, the Postmodernist movement and Critical Theory launched “queer theory”, claiming biological sex does not exist, but is merely a social construct (Dansky, 2021) embedded in the oppressive Western Culture. The data regarding Religion have also shown a noticeable change within the United States paradigm, where only 65% of the population identifies themselves as Christians in 2020, whereas in 2001, the number reached over 80%, a substantial difference in such a short period of time. (American Religious Identification Survey, 2001)

5. THE RISING OF RIGHT-WING PARTIES

In recent decades, the world has seen the rise of right-wing conservative parties around the world and especially in Europe. For some, the rise of right-wing conservative parties is a consequence of national or worldwide crises, thus the politicians try to explore and take advantage of people’s dissatisfaction towards the “system”, corruption scandals and ruling elites (Gandhesha, 2018). Conservatives around the globe and namely in the United States have been against supranational institutions or fraternal organizations, since they claim to destroy national sovereignty (Galbreath, 2017), such as the World Bank, IMF, United Nations, World Economic Forum (WEF) and some fraternal organizations. The Conservatives and the New-Right condemn a certain Elite who claim to be Internationalists, the same term as Alexander Solzhenitsyn described the Bolsheviks, when he explained that the leading Bolsheviks who took over Russia, were not Russians, but internationalists who hated both Russia and Christians (Solzhenitsyn, 2002), also supported by the former American Ambassador for Russia, David R. Francis: “The Bolshevik leaders here, (…) care little for Russia or any other country but are internationalists and they are trying to start a worldwide social revolution” (Francis, 1921).
Nonetheless, these Conservative Right-Wing parties believe these institutions and organizations use the label of being Liberal to persuade and manipulate the masses to gain their support, while imposing a system of control (Shapiro, 2013). However, these movements have not just emerged recently. Conservative politicians have always been spoooked and aware of the Marxist goal to achieve internationalism and worldwide socialism, and some thought that could be achieved through supranational institutions (Minkenberg, 2003). In fact, the Conservative movement is almost intertwined with the Neo-Realist school of thought in International Relations. The Neo-Realist intellectuals believe the International System is anarchic and based upon a structure of power dominated either by the most powerful Nations, ruling-elite or establishment (Norris, 2016), and supranational institutions, that have a strong influence inside International Institutions (Mearsheimer, 2018).

However, a considerable number of Conservatives believe this power-structure is deeply connected with International Communism and its ambitions to establish a system of worldwide socialism, such as the World Economic Forum (WEF) (Sky News, 2020). The leader of this organization, the German Klaus Schwab has released a book where he claims Capitalism has empirically failed, as well as the professing need to reconstruct the Economical system using Marxist principles (Schwab, 2020), and thus achieving the “Great Reset”. This international organization has annual meetings in Switzerland, and many of its members defend the goal of destroying national boundaries, thus patriotism, mainly Samuel Huntington (Mearsheimer, 2018). The WEF also created the Forum of Young Global Leaders (YGL), where important figures like Emmanuel Macron, Prince Charles, the United Nations Secretary General Antonio Guterres and Justin Trudeau are prominent members. The latter has had an infamous conflict with Canadian truckers who refuse the Vaccine mandates and enacted an emergency act to freeze the protester’s bank accounts if they do not stop protesting (Hill, 2022), the same government who passed Bill C-16, that inherits the postmodernist and Neo-Marxist teachings regarding the deconstruction of gender and being a social construct.

Conservatives have been aware of the Neo-Marxist ambitions, and its theoretical-philosophical groundwork of destroying Western culture (Shapiro, 2013), as a consequence, these right-wing parties have adopted measures and propagandized ideas that defy the Neo-Marxist beliefs. These political parties are deeply Patriotic and Religious, since it is their belief that the Western World has lost its social-cultural values, and instead, they have embraced internationalism, atheism and globalist interests (Wheeler, 2022) like the WEF, George Soros and others (Farage, 2020). The empirical facts and data have shown this to be factual, since less people possess Religious or Patriotic beliefs, therefore, the Conservatives claim this reality is a backwash of Neo-Marxist propaganda, infiltration and subversion (Shapiro, 2004), that wish to vilify national heroes and national history, in order to create Group Guilt (Peterson, 2017) and distance individuals from patriotism, Christianity and religion (Trump, 2020): “This left-wing cultural revolution is designed to overthrow the America revolution, in so doing they would destroy the very civilization that rescued billions from poverty, disease, violence and hunger (...) Is the predictable result years of extreme indoctrination and bias, education and journalism, and other cultural institutions. (...) Every respect is removed, every virtue is obscured, every motive is twisted, every fact is distorted and every flaw magnified until the history is purged and the record is disfigured beyond recognition “.

Recently, the WEF stated that when the world reaches the “Great Reset” by 2030, our societies and individuals “will have nothing, and you will be happy”, bearing a great resemblance to one of Marx’s main goals, the abolition of private property (Marx, 1848): “the theory of the Communists may be summarized up in the single sentence: Abolition of private property”. In fact, WEF has signed a strategic partnership with the United Nations to accelerate the implementation of the 2030 Agenda (WEF, 2022), where its key points are connected with many of the Conservatives concerns regarding Neo-Marxist and Far-Left social issues propaganda (Wheeler, 2022 and Kinley, 2022). Thus, many Conservatives also believe that the famous book “1984” of George Orwell was a foresight for the Western Society (Sky News, 2021), that we could live in a totalitarian super-state with a ruling-elite (Kirk, 2022) that also aims to destroy National culture, private property, freedom and patriotism: “Every record has been destroyed or falsified, every book rewritten, every picture has been repainted, every statue and street building has been renamed, every date has been altered. And the process is continuing day by day and minute by minute. History has stopped. Nothing exists except an endless present in which the Party is always right.” (Orwell, 1984)
Around the 1970s, a new political movement emerged, known as the New-Right as an antithesis to Neo-Marxism. This New-Right also led to the rise of Right-Libertarianism / Conservative Libertarianism, and other similar movements that believed the individual should be the main priority in society and a minimal State should be implemented (Nozick, 1974), only to guarantee individuals’ inalienable rights as defended by John Locke (Glenn, 1984). The New-Right is also strongly opposed to Central Banking (Friedman, 1962) and some supranational institutions, since they believe those are the main vehicles for their power-structure (Wheeler, 2022). Schwab’s intentions to radically change world economy with Marxist principles (Schwab, 2020) are intertwined with the Banking system, where free market capitalism should be replaced by a stakeholder economy deeply connected to social justice causes and policies (Woke Culture). More precisely, an economy where businesses and companies should adopt policies that will have a stake in people’s businesses (gender and racial equity), thus the concept of a stakeholder economy (Wheeler, 2022). As a consequence, a system has been developed called: Environmental, social and governmental standards (ESGs), a metric system that rates individual businesses by their behavior towards social justice causes. Therefore, if individual businesses seek to apply for a loan in a Bank, they should have a positive metric rating, similar to the Chinese Communist Party system of Social Credits (Wheeler, 2022), a metric system has already been adopted in more than 200 banking institutions (Wheeler, 2022).

As previously stated, just like Libertarians (Friedman, 1962), some Conservatives have also been opposed to Central Banking, claiming this institution jeopardizes United States’ sovereignty (Paul, 2009) and national interests, since those institutions operate and follow the “Fractional Reserve Banking”. This means, they can print as much money as seem fit (Friedman, 1962), without being backed by Gold, thus creating a gigantic amount of National Debt (Paul, 2009). The FiscalData from the US Government released a paper called “Historical Debt Outstanding”, about the US national debt since 1790. Since the creation of the Federal Reserve in the United States, the debt went from around 3 billion to 29 trillion dollars (US Government, 2021), thus creating high income taxes to repay the national debt and fulfilling Marx’s second point-plan (Marx, 1848). So, these individuals from the New-Right, usually believers of the Austrian School of Economics, claim that these institutions are a tool for embezzlement by a powerful Elite or establishment (Paul, 2009), that violates the US Constitution Article 1, Section 8, Paragraph 5: “Congress shall have the power to coin Money and regulate the value thereof; and of foreign coin”.

The New-Right criticize the government stimulus packages (Laquer, 2013), since that money comes from the Fractional Reserve theory, creating national debt, because those packages focus disproportionally on social welfare programs for minority groups, as a consequence of the Neo-Marxist dichotomy of Identity Politics, Woke Culture and social justice (Shapiro, 2013). More recently, the United States President Joe Biden has advocated for the slogan and the bill “Build Back Better”, that origins from Klaus Schwab’s World Economic Forum, himself an advisor for the Biden Institute at the University of Delaware, a bill heavily criticized by the Conservatives and Libertarians (Wheeler, 2022). The WEF and Klaus Schwab predicted the United States will no longer be a superpower by 2030 (perhaps with the increasing national debt) and the Western values would be severely tested (WEF, 2016). In fact, this term “Build Back Better” has also been publicly defended and propagated by several major politicians, besides the American President Joe Biden: Tony Blair, Hillary Clinton, Nancy Pelosi, Boris Johnson, Barack Obama, Bill Clinton, Prince Charles, Jacinda Ardern, Justin Trudeau and others to establish a New World Order with new values, abandoning the cultural values of the Old Order that was strongly based on Christianity (Kinley, 2022).

In the other political realm, the New-Right and Conservatives have been associated and labeled as Extremists, Radicals and Populists, aiming to constantly find a new “enemy” in a battle against the nation (Gandesa, 2018), blaming globalist interests that supersede national governments, multinational corporations and international institutions (Kinley, 2022). The Far-Left and Liberals uses the premise that the New-Right pretends to separate society in two homogenous and antagonistic groups, where “populist” leaders are the spearhead of the people against a ruling-elite and the establishment (Camus & Lebourg, 2015). Ironically, the New Left and the Neo-Marxists also base their ideology in dividing people in antagonistic groups and defending the oppressed against a powerful and privileged group, but without the scrutiny and criticism from the media, making some individuals from the New-Right and Conservatives believe that the mainstream media and Hollywood
have a political agenda that follows the Far-Left ideology (Shapiro, 2021), usually referred as Woke Culture. Perhaps this double-standard analysis has contributed for the rise of right-wing conservative parties, so much so, that right-wing parties reached 22% in elections held in European Union and Switzerland in 2015 (Baier, 2016). This phenomenon has also been seen across the West, when Donald Trump won the elections, in Brazil or with the referendum that led to Brexit in the United Kingdom. The rising of such political parties has also reached many other countries, in Austria, the Freedom Party of Austria (FPO) got 49.6% in the 2016 presidential elections (Baier, 2016). However, these right-wing parties around the World have some nuances and differences amongst themselves, but as rule of thumb, they mostly agree on immigration policies, governmental spending in social welfare programs, anti-establishment rhetoric, pursuit of a stronger national sovereignty against “Internationalist Interests”, the preservation of Christian values and national identity, usually belonging to the European affiliation: Identity and Democracy Party.

In Hungary, the right-wing conservative party Fidesz has been in power for years. The President Viktor Orban has led a campaign against the Hungarian George Soros, whose ideas are against the national interests of the country (Williams, 2020). In Orban’s perspective, Soros through his network has been working on dismantle national values by financing universities (Williams, 2020), dismantling national sovereignty to the hands of a global elite (Williams, 2020) and promotes “The Great Replacement” (Bayer, Mischke& Weise, 2019). In Poland, the right-wing President Andrzej Duda has outcasted abortion due to his strong Christian and traditional values, despite the number being low compared with other countries, around 1000. Just like many other conservative parties and politicians, Duda argues that human life starts from conception, and thus no one has the right to end it, but God himself (Catholic News Agency, 2020).

In France we have witnessed the rise of right-wing populist parties with Marine Le Pen that seeks to restore order and glory to France (Galbreath, 2017), by decreasing legal immigration quotas from 200.000 to 10.000 per year (Galbreath, 2017), and thus the French citizenship should be earned or inherited. More recently, the 2022 French elections witness the rise of dark horse Éric Zemmour. Like Marine Le Pen and other right-wing parties, Zemmour defends a specific definition of what a nation is. For these individuals, the nation is a metaphysical bond that unites the people beyond the Earth, by possessing the same heritage and cultural values (James, 2015), a vision that inherits many German philosophers’ views: Fichte, Schulze and Herder, that national identity and citizenship has to be inherit or deserved. Despite having a strong philosophical and political overlap, Zemmour’s party has been characterized even more radical than Marine Le Pen’s Front National, by claiming there is a “Great Replacement” conspiracy in France (Zemmour, 2014), and it is his duty to maintain France as French as possible, to solidify and keep the French identity intact (Caddle, 2022). The “Great Replacement” has been called a Far-Right conspiracy theory (Tagguieff, 2015) and White Supremacist ideology (Feola, 2020) that seeks to replace the White community in the Western World since they have historically been the oppressors. This theory has not emerged recently, especially in France, Édouard Drumont best-seller “La France Juive” and Maurice Barrès propagated this theory in the 19th century and more recently Renaud Camus (Camus, 2018). According with a recent survey, 50% percent of the French believe in this theory (Tomlinson, 2021), that intents to destroy French identity, values and population, which might explain the rise of Right-wing Conservative parties/Far-Right in France. Many of Zemmour’s supporters are also against vaccine mandates, transhumanism, cashless society and Artificial Intelligence (AI). The latter being expected to leave millions of citizens unemployed, thus some politicians have promoted the idea of a Universal Basic Income, also advocated by the WEF (Horvath & Wignaraja, 2020).

More recently, in Italy, Giorgia Meloni from the Far-Right and a Conservative politician has won the elections. For some, the results of the elections were close to a shockwave, however Giorgia Meloni has gained support during the last years, one of the main reasons due to defending that illegal immigration in recent decades might destroy national identity, and it has been promoted and financed by a small internationalist Elite and financial speculators, mainly George Soros (Meloni, 2018). In 2019, Meloni reached even more noticeability after a speech at the World Congress of Families where she claimed that globalist and internationalist Elites have been attacking national identity, the family, gender identity, Christianity and all the cultural values that the Neo-Marxists also intended to destroy.
“Why is the family an enemy? Why is the family so frightening? There is a single answer to all these questions. Because it defines us. Because it is our identity. Because everything that defines us is now an enemy for those who would like us to no longer have an identity and to simply be perfect consumer slaves (…) Because each of us has a unique genetic code that is unrepeatable. And like it or not, that is sacred. We will defend it. We will defend God, country and family. Those things that disgust people so much. We will do it to defend our freedom. Because we will never be slaves and simple consumers at the mercy of financial speculators.” (Bokhari, 2022)

Meloni has also defended that Digital Certificates are not only unconstitutional, but they disrespect one of human’s inalienable rights: individual freedom, and such right can not be violable, since it would lead to an Orwellian society, as predicted by Orwell (Meloni, 2021).

The New-Right or Conservative Right-wing parties endorse the idea of a “Great Replacement” has been taking place for decades. Thus, they are proposing stronger immigration policies to combat this phenomenon. Some right-wing and conservative activists also advocate this theory is not recent, tracing back to Israel Zangwill’s book “The Melting Pot”, in 1908. But was also defended by the founder of the Pan-European movement, Richard Kalergi in his book in 1925, often called “Kalergi Plan” (Ekmektsis, 2019), who was an Austrian high-ranked Freemason according with the Masonic newspaper “The Beacon” in March 1925:

“The man of the future will be of mixed race. Today’s races and castes will gradually disappear owing to the vanishing of space, time, and prejudice. The Eurasian-Negroid race of the future, similar in its appearance to the Ancient Egyptians, will replace the diversity of peoples with a diversity of individuals (…) Bolshevism constitutes a decisive step towards this purpose where a small group of communist spiritual aristocrats govern the country (…)” (Kalergi, 1925)

The Conservatives and Christian believers (Campbell, 2022) and the Far-Right have recently been exposing the WEF (Wheeler, 2022) as a dangerous institution, since they seek to achieve a “Great Reset” and the Fourth Industrial Revolution. WEF states there is a need to merge the physical and biological body with the digital world (WEF website). This idea has been mainly promoted by Klaus Schwab and WEF contributor David Tippett, who stated that the human body will be enhanced by molecular nanotechnology and AI (Tippett, 2018). This institution suggests the creation of a Digital Identity in a document called “A Blueprint for Digital Identity” from 2016. More recently, in 2021, the WEF argues that the worldwide pandemic has given the chance to develop the digital identity to solve global problems, fight against social inequalities (WEF website, 2021). Furthermore, the Digital ID would reach all areas of the human life, from social platforms, telecommunications, Smart cities, healthcare, bank accounts, traveling, and much more (WEF website, 2021), through the merging of physical and digital identities. Some individuals are skeptics and antsy towards a system that might have total surveillance and control (Kirk, 2022) similar to George Orwell’s dystopian novel (Wheeler, 2022) and China’s social credit system. Furthermore, an increasing number of pastors and conservatives believe the Biblical prophecies are in fact real (Kinley, 2021). Thus, many conservatives believe that Digital IDs could lead to what Bible refers as “mark of the beast”, where the population is forced to take the mark, otherwise they could not buy or sell anything (Revelation 13:16-17). Also, the establishment of a World Republic as prophesied by the Bible (Revelation 13:7) is a concern for these people, since it is the goal of International Communism and the globalists (Kirk, 2022).

Nevertheless, the merging of the human body with the digital world through AI, it will be possible to track human’s dreams and thoughts according with the WEF (Auken, 2016’), to digitally hack human beings and this current generation might probably be the last species of Homo Sapiens stated by Dr. Yuval Noah Harari in the 2020 annual meeting of the WEF (Harari, 2020). This important member of the WEF reiterated that surveillance previously was “mainly above the skin and now is going under the skin”, today’s goals transcend the information on where citizens go and who they meet on a daily basis, but the information of what people think through AI and making human beings into Gods themselves (Harari, 2022). More precisely, he argues that WEF will have the power not only for surveillance in the physical realm, but also in the metaphysical by monitoring people’s minds and thoughts, abolishing people’s free-will and liberty (Harari, 2022). By doing so, this threatens human
inalienable rights and natural law given by God, but in his view Jesus Christ and biblical stories are just myths (Harari, 2022). Once again, some Conservatives and Christians believe this total technological surveillance mimics Revelation prophecies (13:17) from the Bible (Kirk, 2022 and Kinley, 2022).

The leader Klaus Schwab admitted in a video interview the organization has penetrated national governments (Kirk, 2022 and Campbell, 2022) and many politicians were members of YGL such as Trudeau, Guterres, Macron and Merkel. Thereby, this might explain the reasons why right-wing conservative parties have recently risen in those countries and others, who seek to preserve national sovereignty, values, culture and patriotism that are intensely linked with Christianity, an antithesis to Internationalism, Marxism and Atheism. All in all, the Soviet Union, Marxists and Internationalists developed Neo-Marxism, that sought to destroy Western and Christian values, since both were the main pillars of society. These individuals were successful in their infiltration in the Western institutions (Kirk, 2022) and tried to indoctrinate and manipulate information through psychological subversion (Bezmenov, 1984).

The Woke Culture and Social Justice Warriors inherit Marxist philosophical principles, mainly Critical Theory, Postmodernism and Critical Race Theory. These movements created a counterculture and the rise of the New-Right and Conservative right-wing parties. Those parties are an antithesis to the Far-Left ideology, that advocate the preservation of national sovereignty, social-cultural values (Patriotism and Christianity), strict immigration policies to preserve national identity and condemns an Elite who seeks to destabilize the social order with subversive movements to gain and maintain power in International and National institutions around the globe, possibly to achieve Marx’s utopian goal of a Soviet World Republic by destroying Christianity, Capitalism and Nation-States.
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