International Journal of Managerial Studies and Research (IJMSR)
Volume 13, Issue 12, December 2025, PP 1-5
ISSN 2349-0330 (Print) & ISSN 2349-0349 (Online)
https:/.doi.org/10.20431/2349-0349.1312001
www.arcjournals.org

Mechanisms and Pathways for Sci-Tech Finance in Enabling High-Quality Economic Development

Li Hongyu

Department of Finance & Economics, Jinan campus of Shandong University of Science and Technology, P.R. China, 250031

*Corresponding Author: ***Li Hongyu,*** Department of Finance & Economics, Jinan campus of Shandong University of Science and Technology, P.R. China, 250031.*

Abstract: *In the context of shifting global economic dynamics and China's ongoing economic transition, achieving high-quality development has emerged as a central objective, with technological innovation serving as its cornerstone. Sci-Tech Finance, which integrates financial resources, develops innovative financial instruments, and refines service delivery, provides comprehensive, multi-tiered support for technological innovation. It plays a vital role in alleviating financing constraints for science and technology enterprises and fostering the concentration of innovation factors. This study, grounded in a review of pertinent literature and theoretical frameworks, systematically examines the mechanisms through which Sci-Tech Finance facilitates high-quality economic development. It investigates core mechanisms such as innovation driving forces, resource allocation, risk sharing, and policy-market synergy. Furthermore, it proposes strategic pathways involving ecosystem optimization, tool and model innovation, financial supply-side structural reforms, and enhanced regional and international collaboration, ultimately aiming to deepen the integration of technology, finance, and the real economy.*

Keywords: *Sci-Tech Finance; High-Quality Economic Development; Innovation Driving Force; Resource Allocation; Risk Sharing.*

1. Introduction

The global economy is undergoing a critical transition between established and new growth paradigms. Concurrently, China's economy necessitates a fundamental shift from scale- and speed-oriented expansion to a model prioritizing quality and efficiency. Technological innovation, the core engine for high-quality development, is characterized by substantial capital requirements, significant risk, and extended timelines. These attributes frequently create a mismatch with the risk tolerance and operational models prevalent in traditional finance, thereby constraining innovation potential.

Sci-Tech Finance, emerging from the deep integration of financial systems and technological advancement, provides holistic, multi-layered funding and risk mitigation throughout the innovation lifecycle. It has become instrumental in addressing the financing challenges faced by science and technology enterprises and accelerating the commercialization of research outputs.

Despite progress in developing China's Sci-Tech Finance system, challenges persist, including suboptimal resource allocation, a lack of sufficiently targeted financial products, and underdeveloped risk-sharing mechanisms. Consequently, the full potential of Sci-Tech Finance to enable high-quality development remains untapped. A systematic investigation into its operational mechanisms and implementation pathways is therefore of considerable theoretical and practical importance.

2. Literature Review

Schumpeter (1912) established a foundational perspective, arguing that the financial system underpins technological progress by selecting innovative projects and dispersing associated risks. Contemporary research primarily explores three enabling mechanisms: innovation drive, industrial upgrading, and resource allocation.

Regarding the innovation drive, Hall & Lerner (2010) demonstrated that venture capital mitigates financing constraints for technology start-ups. Empirical work by Tang et al. (2020) indicates that Sci-

Tech Finance significantly enhances firms' innovation efficiency, thereby boosting regional total factor productivity—a key metric of high-quality growth. In industrial upgrading, Perez's (2002) Techno-Economic Paradigm theory highlights the fusion of financial capital and technological revolutions as pivotal for structural transformation. Li et al. (2019) provided empirical support, showing how Sci-Tech Finance channels resources toward strategic emerging industries and fosters digital transformation in traditional sectors, advancing and rationalizing the industrial structure. Concerning resource allocation, Huang et al. (2021) emphasized the role of digital technologies in reducing information asymmetry, guiding capital toward high-potential innovation sectors, and correcting resource misallocation.

Research on implementation pathways offers diverse insights. Zhang (2019) stressed the government's role in steering financial resources via fiscal and tax policies. Wu (2020) advocated for strengthening multi-level capital markets. Xie et al. (2015) explored how digital technology can fundamentally reshape Sci-Tech Finance services.

Despite establishing a valuable foundational framework, the existing literature exhibits limitations. Mechanism studies often concentrate on innovation and industrial dimensions, paying scant attention to facets like green and shared development, while also under-exploring interactive effects among different mechanisms. Pathway analyses frequently focus on single actors, lacking a comprehensive multi-stakeholder collaborative approach. Furthermore, investigations into applying emerging technologies like blockchain and artificial intelligence within Sci-Tech Finance remain relatively nascent. Future research should clarify conceptual boundaries, develop robust measurement standards, broaden the scope and depth of mechanism analysis, construct integrated multi-actor pathway systems, and enhance precision through micro-level analysis.

3. Theoretical Foundations

3.1. Innovation Theory

Schumpeter's theory conceptualizes innovation as a process of "creative destruction" that propels economic evolution. Given the high costs, risks, and extended durations inherent in scientific and technological innovation, internal corporate funds are typically insufficient. Sci-Tech Finance addresses this gap by enabling precise capital allocation and risk mitigation across the innovation chain, thereby activating innovation dynamics and supplying a core impetus for high-quality development.

3.2. Financial Development Theory

This theory elucidates how financial systems bolster technological innovation and economic growth through core functions. Specifically, for Sci-Tech Finance, the system screens information to identify promising tech firms, mitigating adverse selection. It disperses risk through specialized vehicles like venture capital and tech insurance. Finally, it facilitates capital formation via bank lending, capital markets, and other channels, offering financing tailored to each stage of a tech firm's lifecycle. The synergy of these functions helps resolve financing difficulties, propelling the conversion of scientific achievements into productive forces and driving quality-focused growth.

3.3. New Structural Economics

This perspective provides a structural alignment lens. It posits that a nation's industrial structure is determined by its factor endowments, implying that industrial upgrading and technological innovation require a commensurate financial structure. Consequently, developing a Sci-Tech Finance system necessitates dynamic adjustments to the mix of financial services and the focus of resource allocation, aligning with industrial upgrading trajectories. This ensures financial resources flow efficiently to sectors and firms consistent with high-quality development objectives.

Collectively, these theories form a complementary foundation: Innovation Theory identifies the core driver and the rationale for Sci-Tech Finance; Financial Development Theory reveals the functional mechanisms; and New Structural Economics offers principles for structural adaptation. Together, they provide a robust theoretical basis for analyzing how Sci-Tech Finance enables high-quality economic development.

4. Operational Mechanisms

4.1. innovation driving force mechanism

Sci-Tech Finance activates innovation by providing diversified, lifecycle-aligned funding and risk

sharing. During the initial factor aggregation stage, specialized credit and venture capital guide talent, technology, and capital toward innovative domains. For example, intellectual property pledge financing allows biopharma firms to leverage patents, while angel and venture capital fund seed-stage teams, attracting R&D talent.

During R&D and commercialization, support extends to technology攻坚 and market entry. The STAR Market's "hard tech" focus and acceptance of pre-profit listings provide vital direct financing, sustaining R&D investment. Insurance products covering R&D interruption or IP infringement mitigate innovation risks, bolstering corporate confidence for frontier exploration.

This mechanism ultimately translates into high-quality development through technological advancement. Core breakthroughs enhance productivity and facilitate moving up the value chain. Commercialized innovations spawn new industries and business models, expanding economic frontiers. Furthermore, innovation-driven upgrading optimizes factor allocation, promotes green transitions in traditional industries, and aligns with sustainable development goals.

4.2. resource allocation and risk sharing mechanism

Sci-Tech Finance employs multi-level capital markets, specialized institutions, and digital tools to direct resources toward high-value, high-growth tech sectors. Markets like the STAR Market and ChiNext offer tailored listing standards for firms at different stages. Specialized banks and VCs screen projects via equity investments and investment-loan linkages, shifting capital from traditional sectors to strategic emerging industries.

The risk-sharing mechanism addresses the fundamental tension between high R&D risk and financial institution risk aversion. A multi-actor, market-informed network distributes risk. Government-established compensation funds absorb a portion of bank losses on qualifying tech loans. Specialized insurance products cover specific R&D and commercialization risks. Venture investors manage risk through diversified portfolios, where high returns from successes offset losses elsewhere.

4.3. policy-market synergy mechanism

Policy action creates the enabling environment, using fiscal incentives (subsidies, tax breaks), institutional innovation, and strategic guidance to reduce financing costs and expand channels. Market forces, driven by profit motives and allocation efficiency, spur financial institutions to innovate—developing targeted credit products, focusing on early-stage hard tech, and designing specialized insurance.

The synergy between policy and market amplifies the overall impact. Government guidance funds leverage private capital by co-investing with VCs and establishing risk pools. Regulatory sandboxes allow controlled testing of new financial products, fostering innovation while safeguarding stability. This collaborative model ensures resources are channeled to critical junctures in the innovation chain, accelerating the lab-to-market transition and enhancing total factor productivity.

5. Strategic Pathways

5.1. optimizing the sci-tech finance ecosystem

Developing a differentiated, full-lifecycle policy framework is essential. This includes establishing publicly backed seed funds and angel investor risk compensation, alongside enhancing incentives for investment-loan and insurance-investment linkages. A regulatory sandbox can foster responsible innovation in areas like IP securitization.

Fostering deep collaboration among financial institutions, tech firms, and research institutes is crucial. This involves creating cross-sector cooperation models and permanent "Sci-Tech-Finance Matching" platforms. Strengthening intermediaries, such as cultivating IP valuation firms with multi-disciplinary expertise, and refining credit assessment systems to better capture tech firms' intrinsic value are key priorities. Infrastructure development must keep pace. Integrated information platforms aggregating R&D, patent, and commercialization data are needed. Blockchain technology can enhance IP depository and transaction systems. Improving credit information databases by breaking down data silos is vital. Robust risk-sharing, through public risk compensation pools and developed tech insurance markets, coupled with efficient exit channels via multi-level capital markets, ensures ecosystem vitality.

5.2. Fostering Innovation in Financial Tools and Models

Advancing IP pledge financing requires a seamless "valuation + enhancement + circulation" chain. Blockchain ensures immutable ownership records, while AI can enhance valuation models by incorporating multi-dimensional data like patent citations and technology readiness. Combining IP pledge with guarantee or insurance mechanisms can further de-risk lenders and expand access.

The tech insurance product suite should be broadened to include coverage for R&D interruption, infringement liability, and critical technology breakthrough, thus mitigating risks across the innovation journey. Tailored products, such as clinical trial liability insurance for biopharma, can shield firms from catastrophic R&D failures.

Data-driven risk management models that leverage non-financial data (R&D intensity, patents, team quality) are needed to accurately assess firm potential. Integrated service models, facilitated by Sci-Tech Finance parks or consortiums, can create "debt + equity + listing preparation" lifecycle support. Supply-chain finance models, anchored by core tech firms, can also extend financing to their SMEs.

5.3. deepening financial supply-side structural reform

Capital markets must be sharpened to serve innovation better. This involves reinforcing the STAR Market's "hard tech" mandate, enhancing the Beijing Stock Exchange's role for SMEs, and refining transfer and delisting mechanisms to direct capital to strategic sectors and critical technology breakthrough. Commercial banks should establish dedicated units with expert teams to develop asset-light, risk-appropriate products.

Policy financial institutions must exercise counter-cyclical and strategic influence via long-term sci-tech loans and transformation funds, catalyzing private capital. Integrating FinTech—using AI and big data for credit assessment—can overcome information gaps.

A robust risk mitigation framework is essential. This includes expanding the Sci-Tech insurance market and encouraging guarantors to offer specialized, lower-cost services for SMEs. These reforms will enhance capital efficiency, accelerate the commercialization of R&D, and modernize industrial foundations and chains.

5.4. enhancing regional coordination and international cooperation

Cross-regional networks can mitigate geographical capital imbalances. Initiatives should promote shared project databases, cross-border investment matching, and linked risk-sharing mechanisms. Unified regional credit risk compensation pools operating on a "local application, cross-regional lending, shared risk" basis can incentivize lending. Regional big data platforms integrating patent, R&D, and credit information can significantly improve approval efficiency.

Global integration is equally important. Attracting foreign tech banks and cross-border VC funds brings not only capital but also advanced risk management expertise. Active participation in shaping international Sci-Tech Finance standards—for cross-border IP financing or green tech finance—can reduce barriers and costs for domestic firms. Developing cross-border services (e.g., RMB-denominated tech credit, offshore tech bills) and collaborating with international rating agencies can effectively support domestic tech firms in global fundraising. Bridging regional coordination with international cooperation optimizes domestic resource allocation while plugging national innovation systems into global capital and technology networks, providing sustained momentum for high-quality development.

6. Conclusion

Sci-Tech Finance serves as a critical nexus between technological innovation and the real economy, fueling high-quality development through interconnected mechanisms that drive innovation, optimize resource allocation, share risks, and leverage policy-market synergies. Future strategy must prioritize refining the ecosystem, pioneering financial tools and models, advancing financial supply-side reforms, and strengthening both regional and global collaborative networks. The ultimate objective is to cultivate a virtuous cycle of deep, synergistic integration among technology, finance, and the real economy.

Fund Project: Shandong Province Key Research Project on Financial Applications "Research on the Pathways of Sci-Tech Finance Service Industry in Promoting the Transformation Between Old and New Growth Drivers in Shandong Province's Economic Development" (2020-JRZZ-23)

REFERENCES

Schumpeter, J. A. (1912). The Theory of Economic Development. Harvard University Press.

Hall, B. H., & Lerner, J. (2010). The Financing of R&D and Innovation. Handbook of the Economics of Innovation.

Tang, S., Li, X., & Sun, K. P. (2020). Sci-Tech Finance and Enterprise Innovation Efficiency—An Empirical Study Based on Regional Sci-Tech Finance Policies. Economic Research Journal, (5).

Perez, C. (2002). Technological Revolutions and Financial Capital: The Dynamics of Bubbles and Golden Ages. Edward Elgar.

Li, Z. G., Wang, J., & Zhang, S. (2019). The Impact of Sci-Tech Finance Development on Industrial Structure Upgrading. China Industrial Economics, (8).

Huang, Y. P., Shen, Y., & Wang, J. Y. (2021). How Digital Technology is Changing Finance: The Development and Regulation of FinTech. Management World, (2).

Zhang, C. H. (2019). Sci-Tech Finance: Theory, Policy, and Practice. Journal of Financial Research, (3).

Wu, X. Q. (2020). The Transformation of China's Financial Structure and the Development of Capital Markets. Economic Research Journal, (9).

Xie, P., Zou, C. W., & Liu, H. E. (2015). The Fundamental Theory of Internet Finance. Journal of Financial Research, (1).

AUTHOR'S BIOGRAPHY

Li Hongyu, Work unit: Lecturer, Department of Finance and Economics, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Jinan Campus. I got my Doctor degree from Beijing Jiaotong University (China) in 2023; I got my Master degree from the the University of Manchester (UK) in 2009; I got my Bachelor degree from Shandong University (China) in 2008.

Citation: *Li Hongyu. "Mechanisms and Pathways for Sci-Tech Finance in Enabling High-Quality Economic Development". International Journal of Managerial Studies and Research (IJMSR), vol 13, no. 12, 2025, pp.1-5. DOI: https://doi.org/10.20431/2349-0349.1312001.*