Trace and Rare Earth Elements Patterns in Metamorphosed Ultramafic Rocks of the Paleoproterozoic Nyong Series, Southeast Cameroon
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Abstract: Meta-ultramafic rocks from the Paleoproterozoic Nyong Series (SE Cameroon) were investigated in this study. The aim was to study trace and rare earth elements (REEs) patterns in these rocks. A layered sequence exposed on a cliff face was mapped. Samples from the various horizons were collected and subsequently analysed in the laboratory for their petrographic and whole rock chemical compositions (but only the results of trace and rare earth elements are presented in this study). All the samples from the study area define a light trend on a (Nb/Th) vs (Th/Yb) plot, and have low (Nb/Th) average ratio of 0.6 and elevated (Th/Yb) average ratio of 1.0. These two characteristics, i.e. (Nb/Th) < 1 and (Th/Yb) > 1, are generally accepted to be the product of crustal contamination of mantle-derived magmas. Relative to the primitive mantle, the rocks show relative enrichment of LILEs (such as Sc, Rb, Ba, Th and U) with very steep slopes and depletion of HFSE (such as Ta and Nb) which also show very steep and gentle slopes respectively. They are further characterized by distinct troughs such as Ta-Nb and Sr-Nb. These features are characteristics of tholeiitic basalts produced at destructive plate margins or within plate tholeiites contaminated by continental crust. The samples are enriched in LREEs (LREE/HREE > 1). Average Eu* and Ce* values in the samples are 0.72 and 1.87 respectively while average La/Yb₈ is 0.79. Primitive mantle normalised REE plots of the ultramafic rocks are characterized by four distinct types of patterns based on their shapes and Eu anomalies (Eu*). Type 1 samples are characterised by steep LREE patterns, slightly positive Eu* and flat HREE patterns. Type 2 exhibit LREE patterns with high peak values for La and Pr, V-shape Ce anomaly, a very gentle HREE pattern and a moderate negative Eu*. Type 3 samples show a steep LREE patterns, strong negative Eu* and flat HREE patterns whereas Type 4 samples display flat and very steep LREE patterns, a very strong negative Eu* and a very flat HREE patterns. Generally, all the four types are characterized by enrichment in LREEs and depletion in HREEs and a negative Eu and Ce anomalies except the type 1. Negative Eu anomaly are all features that suggest removal of plagioclase component from the basic magma or may be due to the magma that might segregated at depth where plagioclase is not stable and thus could not have fractionated.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Trace elements are elements with very low concentration in rocks and consequently they do not normally appear in the chemical formulae of major rock forming minerals. The concentration of trace elements is usually expressed in parts per million (ppm) or in rare instances in parts per billion (ppb) and they have wide application in geochemistry, petrology and mineral exploration. They can be used to trace the origin of rocks, their tectonic settings, evolution and alteration, and in finger-printing ore deposits (Hugh, 1993; Randine et al., 2014 and Randine et al., 2015). Similar to the trace elements, are the rare earth elements (REEs) which are a special group of trace elements and are divided into two groups. These are the heavy rare earth elements (HREEs) which have high atomic number and the
light rare earth elements (LREEs) which have low atomic number. They are the most useful of all trace elements and have important application in the study of igneous, metamorphic and sedimentary rocks (Ranvine et al., 2014).

The Rare Earth Elements (REE), commonly known as lanthanides, having atomic numbers ranging from Lanthanum (La) 57 to Lutetium (Lu) 71. The lanthanides have very similar geochemical properties because they occur in 3+ ionic forms in nature. However, Europium (Eu) also occur in 2+ (larger ion) and Cerium (Ce) in 4+ (smaller ion) oxidation states. They only differ in number of electrons in the 4f shell; this shell has no involvement in forming chemical bonds, therefore the elements have very similar chemical properties. The major difference amongst REEs is their size, which decreases with increasing atomic number. This variation is due to the increased nuclear charge, which is only partially shielded by the 4f electrons; this feature is also known as ‘lanthanide contraction’. Due to size differences, REEs can preferentially substitute in different minerals. Though such difference is small, it has a significant bearing on partitioning of REEs in different minerals (Henderson, 1984; Boynton, 1989; Rollinson, 1993; Randive, 2012). This slight difference has been exploited by a number of petro logical processes causing the REEs to become fractionated relative to each other. It is this phenomenon which is used by geochemistry to probe into genesis of rocks and uncover the petro logical processes involved in their formation. Rare earth elements have a range of implications in petrology: (1) in magmatic systems, REEs can provide an indication of the nature of the source region of the magmas, e.g. magma derived from the melting of peridotitic mantle have different REEs patterns from magmas derived from garnet-bearing portions of the mantle and they also give an indication of the mineralogical composition of the residue in the mantle, and (2) Degree of differentiation. Certain minerals can easily incorporate certain REEs into their structure, e.g. plagioclase feldspar easily incorporate Eu into its crystal lattice. Therefore, the crystallization of plagioclase from magma will progressively deplete the melt of Eu (Hugh, 1993; Ding et al., 2012; Dare et al., 2014 and Barnes et al., 2015).

In order to interpret trace and REEs data, it is usually required the data be normalized and the result of such normalization is known as trace and REEs patterns with the y-axis on a log scale. Normalization requires that the concentration of the element in the rock be divided by the concentration of the corresponding element in a reference standard. In petrology, these standards include the primitive mantle and the C1 chondrite. However, the Post Achaean Australian Shield (PAAS) as well as the North Atlantic Shale Composite (NASC) are also used especially for metasedimentary rocks (Sun et al., 1979; Taylor and McLennan, 1985; Hofmann, 1988 and Verhulst et al., 2000).

The REE content of metamorphic rocks is assumed to be similar to that of their protolith. However, it is observed that under some circumstances the REEs are mobile, whereas, in other circumstances they are immobile. Thus the overall REE abundance of metamorphic rocks may differ considerably from their protoliths. The residence of REEs in metamorphic rocks depends on the minerals present in that rock. Therefore, the modal abundance of those minerals and the physical and chemical conditions in which those minerals grew (assuming that the minerals were not subsequently altered) pose strong constraint on REEs distribution in metamorphic rocks (Ranvine et al., 2014). The changes in mineral assemblages and mineral composition have been extensively documented for a wide variety of metamorphic terranes (e.g. Winkler, 1976; Miyashiro, 1973 and Ferry, 1982). It is observed that the accessory minerals (zircon, monazite, xenotime, allanite, sphene and apatite) tend to concentrated REE much more than do the major rock forming minerals such as feldspars, micas, pyroxenes and amphiboles. Quartz has a very low REE content. The REE concentration of individual minerals and partitioning of REEs between minerals are controlled by the P-T-X condition in which the minerals form and a variety of crystallo-chemical factors, such as valence and effective ionic radius (Fleischer and Alteschuler, 1969; Jensen, 1973; Reitan et al., 1980 and Henderson, 1984). Petrologic data describing the reactions (both continuous and discontinuous) that occurred during metamorphism should be especially useful in determining reasonable constraints. For example, if garnets were to disappear, the REE (especially HREE) released would have to leave the system or to be incorporated in other phases. Such reactions should be studied in detail to estimate overall influence of metamorphism on REE distribution (Ranvine et al., 2014).

This paper focuses on the metamorphosed ultramafic intrusions belonging to the Paleoproterozoic Nyong Series in SE Cameroon. Geochemical data of the rocks are presented in order to depict their
Trace and REEs patterns and provide valuable information on their mantle source region (degree of partial melting, fractionation, heterogeneity assimilation or contamination and metasomatism). Petrological, mineralogical and other geochemical data (major oxides, Ni-Cu-PGEs) of the rocks have already been presented by Ako et al., 2015; Ako, 2016; Ako et al., 2017a and Ako et al., 2017b) and are not discussed any further.

2. REGIONAL GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Nyong Series lies within the West Central African Belt (WCAB) (Figure 1) which is a N-S-trending Paleoproterozoic belt that extends along the western side of the Congo craton from Angola to Cameroon (Feybesse et al., 1998), and continues to NE Brazil as the Transamazonia belt (Penaye et al., 2004; Lerouge et al., 2006; Owona, 2008; Owona et al., 2011 and Owona et al., 2013). The WCAB includes the Nyong complex in Cameroon known as the lower Nyong Unit (Maurizot et al., 1986); the Franceville-Ogoue complex in Gabon and the West Congolese complex in the Republic of Congo and Democratic Republic of Congo (Bonhomme et al., 1982; Feybesse et al., 1998; Vitcat and Pouclet, 2000; Tack et al., 2001).

Figure 1. Geological map of the pre-drift Gondwana showing the Congo craton in Cameroon and the northern part of São Francisco craton of Brazil (modified after Ebah Abeng et al., 2012).

This large belt resulted from the collision between the Congo and São Francisco cratons. Most of the WCAB is characterized by tectonic reworking of Achaean crust with little addition of juvenile material, particularly in the southern part of the belt (Thomas et al., 2002; Toteu et al., 1994a). However, this dominant recycling character is diminished northward with the appearance of ~ 2.1 Ga juvenile metasedimentary and meta-plutonic rocks intensively reworked and dismembered in the Pan-African belt north of the Congo craton (Pénaye et al., 2004). The Nyong Series (coined as Nyong Group, e.g. Lerouge et al., 2006; Owona, 2008; Owona et al., 2011; Owona et al., 2013) in the northwestern corner of the Congo craton in Cameroon is a well-preserved granulitic unit of the WCAB resting as an Eburnean nappe on the Congo craton (Feybesse et al., 1986; Toteu et al., 1994b). The high-grade metamorphism associated with arrested charnockite formation in this unit is dated at 2050 Ma (Toteu et al., 1994b; Lerouge et al., 2006). However, it is not clear whether or not these Paleoproterozoic tectono-metamorphic events were accompanied by any sedimentation or magmatism. This led to the assertion that the Nyong Series is a reworked part of the Congo craton in Cameroon (Lasserre and Soba, 1976; Faybesse et al., 1986; Owona, 2008; Owona et al., 2011; Owona et al., 2013). Within the Cameroon context the series has been called the Nyong Unit by some authors (e.g. Ebah Abeng et al., 2012). The Nyong Unit is bordered by the Ntem Unit in the SE, the Pan-African gneiss to the north and NE and by the Quaternary sedimentary formations (Kribi Campo basin) at the NW parts (Figure 2).
It is a high grade-gneiss unit, which was initially defined as a Neoproterozoic, or a Palaeoproterozoic reactivated NW corner of the Archaean Congo Craton (Lasserre and Soba, 1976; Feybesse et al., 1986, Lerouge et al., 2006). The Nyong Unit is made up of a greenstone belt (pyroclacites, amphibolopyroxenites, peridotites, talcschists, amphibolites and banded iron formations), foliated series (Tonalite –Trondhjemite-Granodiorite (TTG), gneiss), and magmatic rocks (augen metadiorites, granodiorites and synites) (Lerouge et al., 2006; Owona et al., 2013; Ndema Mbongue et al., 2015). The late magmatic rocks are represented by SW-NE-trending group of small intrusions extending from Lolodorf to Olama and N-S from Lolodorf to Ngog-Tos and Edea (Ebah Abeng et al., 2012, Ako et al., 2015) (Figure 3) while the surrounding formations of greenstone belt are made up of gneiss and TTG.
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field study consisted of systematic mapping and sampling of lithological units of the selected area within the Nyong Series where ultramafic rocks were found. A total of twenty seven ultramafic rock samples were collected during the field work and were later used for the various analyses. Preparation of the polished thin sections was done at the University of Ghent, Belgium and studied in the laboratory of the Department of Geology, University of Buea, Cameroon. Details of these are contained in Ako et al., 2015.

Twenty seven metamorphosed ultramafic rock samples were crushed using a jaw crusher with steel plates. The crushed samples were pulverized in a ball mill made up of 99.8% Al₂O₃ and the resulting powder was used for the various analyses. A two-step loss on ignition (LOI) was done in which powders were first heated at 105°C in the presence of nitrogen to drive off adsorbed water and then ignited at 1000°C in the presence of oxygen to drive off the remaining volatile components. Details of this method are contained in Ako, 2016 and Ako et al., 2017a and Ako et al., 2017b. All the analyses were done at Acme Analytical Laboratory, Vancouver, Canada. The accuracy of the analytical results was verified through the analysis of matrix-matched reference materials, and any potential contamination during sample preparation and analysis was monitored via suitable black materials. All analytical data presented in this work passed through quality control tests to ascertain the reliability of the results.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Field Characteristics of the Ultramafic Rocks

The study area is made up of two distinct rock units. These units are the metasedimentary unit which is represented by the talc-tremolite schists and these rocks occur as floats of blocks with fine to medium-grained texture a meta-igneous unit which is made up of amphibole-pyroxene gneiss, amphibole-garnet gneiss and biotite-garnet gneiss. These units have been intruded by ultramafic rocks which were sampled for this study. The ultramafic unit investigated is a layered sequence exposed on
a cliff face and details of the geology and petrography are contained in Ako et al., 2015; Ako et al., 2017a and Ako et al., 2017b and depicted in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Geological map of the study area showing the various lithologies intruded by the ultramafic rocks (after Ako et al., 2015).

4.2. Trace and Rare Earth Elements Geochemistry

4.2.1. Trace Elements

Concentration of trace elements in the rocks greatly varies from sample to sample with some showing exceptionally high values while others show extremely very low values (Table 1). Th, U, Ta and Nb contents of the samples of the ultramafic rocks are very low and lie between 0.1 - 0.2 ppm for Th, U, and Ta, and 0.1 - 1.5 ppm for Nb while Ba concentration in many samples varies between 2 - 16 ppm except samples ESK 15 and ESK 16 which have 135 ppm and 89 ppm, respectively. The HFSE show moderate. These compatible trace elements are considered to be relatively immobile during alteration (Bayiga et al., 2011). Concentration of compatible trace elements in the ultramafic rock samples like V (14 - 107 ppm) and Co (65.1 - 126.1 ppm) are moderate. These compatible trace elements are considered to be relatively immobile during alteration e.g. Hébert et al. (1990). Therefore, they can be used as indicators of protolith composition, although it should be noted that the talc- altered ultramafic samples have low V and Co concentration, probably indicating that leaching of some of these “immobile” elements occurred during talc alteration.

Table 1. Trace element contents (ppm) of the ultramafic rocks in the Nyong Series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>ESK 1</th>
<th>ESK 2</th>
<th>ESK 3</th>
<th>ESK 4</th>
<th>ESK 5</th>
<th>ESK 6</th>
<th>ESK 7</th>
<th>ESK 8</th>
<th>ESK 9</th>
<th>ESK 10</th>
<th>ESK 11</th>
<th>ESK 12</th>
<th>ESK 13</th>
<th>ESK 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trace elements</td>
<td>d.l.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>108.3</td>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>105.1</td>
<td>120.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d.l.: detection limits
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Table 1. Trace element contents (ppm) of the ultramafic rocks in the Nyong Series (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>ESK 15</th>
<th>ESK 16</th>
<th>ESK 17</th>
<th>ESK 18</th>
<th>ESK 19</th>
<th>ESK 20</th>
<th>ESK 21</th>
<th>ESK 22</th>
<th>ESK 23</th>
<th>ESK 24</th>
<th>ESK 25</th>
<th>ESK 26</th>
<th>ESK 27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trace elements</td>
<td>d.l</td>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Th</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>116.9</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>119.7</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**d.l:** detection limits

All the samples from the study area define a light trend on a (Nb/Th) vs (Th/Yb) plot, and have low (Nb/Th) average ratio of 0.6 and elevated (Th/Yb) average ratio of 1.0. These two characteristics, i.e. (Nb/Th) < 1 and (Th/Yb) >1, are generally accepted to be the product of crustal contamination of mantle-derived magmas (Ihlenfeld and Keays, 2011; Lightfoot et al., 1990; Lightfoot and Hawkesworth, 1997). This illustration is in agreement with the results recorded in the pyroxenites and amphibolites of Lolodorf, Kabaga nickel sulphide deposits, Kalotongke Ni-Cu deposits and the Nuashai massif gabbro-breccia zone (Figure 5a). The composition characteristics of the samples are highlighted in the ratio diagrams of incompatible elements (Figure 5b, c and d).

**Figure 5.** Scatter plots of trace element ratios showing the compositional characteristics of the ultramafic rocks of the Nyong Series with comparable studies in other parts of the world

On the (Th/Yb) vs (Ta/Yb) plot, the ultramafic rock samples show moderate to high Th/Yb ratios and very low Ta/Yb ratios which are similar to comparable samples except a few samples from the Nuasha Massif gabbro-breccia and Kabanga nickel sulphide deposits that show both moderate to high
Th/Yb and Ta/Yb ratios (Figure 5b). In a like manner, on the Th/Yb vs La/Sm plot, the studied samples show moderate to high Th/Yb ratios and low to high La/Sm ratios. These ratios are similar to other comparable studies (Figure 5c).

Fifteen of the samples have very low Zr/Hf ratios of between 2-8 (except samples ESK 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, 24 and 27 which have 38.5, 39.5, 35, 32, 29, 28, 27.5, 52, 27, 50, 62 and 35.5 respectively) and very low Nb/Ta ratios between 0.1-15. Other comparable rocks however show very high Zr/Hf ratios but low-moderate Nb/Ta ratios (Figure 5d). On the (Zr/Nb) vs Nb/Th plot, the samples show very low Nb/Th ratios and moderate Zr/Nb ratios while comparable samples show moderate Nb/Th ratios and moderate to high Zr/Nb ratios (Figure 5e).

In the Zr vs Nb and La bivariate plots, there is a corresponding increase in the Nb values with a very narrow range in the Zr values. The zig-zag patterns of the scatter graph for the ultramafic rock samples reflect slightly both positive and negative correlations but other related rocks from other parts of the world show positive correlations (Figure 6a and b) while in the Sr vs Zr plot, the Sr/Zr values are very low without any correlation but other comparable samples show high values with positive correlations (Figure 6c). Zr is a very incompatible element that does not substitute in major silicate phase (although they may replace Ti in titanite or rutile) and its low concentrations in the samples imply a depleted source or limited liquid evolution while Sr substitutes for Ca in plagioclase (but not in pyroxene) and to a lesser extent, for K in K-feldspar and as a compatible element at low pressure where plagioclase forms early, but as an incompatible element at higher pressure where plagioclase is no longer stable (Winter, 2001).

Figure 6. Bivariate plots (a) Zr/Nb, (b) Zr/La and (c) Sr/Zr of the ultramafic rocks of the Nyong Series with comparable studies in other parts of the world.

Both Zr and Sr are high-field strength elements (HFSEs) and are supposed to be immobile during low grade metamorphism, weathering and hydrothermal alterations (Pearce and Cann, 1973; Winchester and Floyd, 1976a and b; Floyd and Winchester, 1978; Rollinson, 1993; Jochum and Verma, 1996).

The representative primitive mantle normalized trace elements spider plots (after Jochum et al., 1988 and McDonough and Sun, 1995) for the ultramafic rock samples are presented in Figure 7. Relative to the primitive mantle, the rocks show relative enrichment of LILEs (such as Sc, Rb, Ba, Th and U) with very steep slopes and depletion of HFSE (such as Ta and Nb) which also show very steep and gentle slopes respectively. They are further characterized by distinct troughs such as Ta-Nb and Sr-Nb. These features are characteristics of tholeiitic basalts produced at destructive plate margins or within plate tholeiites contaminated by continental crust (Hawkesworth et al., 1994; Taylor and McLennan, 1985) whereas the flat patterns of the other incompatible trace elements are normally interpreted to reflect magma generation in the depleted mantle (Figure 7). Almost all the samples have highly anomalous spidergram patterns with distinct and variable Ba, Th, Hf and Zr anomalies and indicate depletion of HFSEs (Ta, Sr, Hf, Zr, Nb) and positive anomalies for Rb, Th, U, Nb and Pb. Mondal and Zhou (2010) have reported negative Nb, Th, Zr and Hf anomalies in gabbro and breccias in the Nuasahi Massif indicating derivation from a depleted mantle source. Samples of the ultramafic units have a narrow range of incompatible trace element ratios indicating a cogenetic relationship.
ultramafic rocks and the gabbros relatively constant subcondritic Nb/Ta ratios (ultramafic rocks: Nb/Ta = 4.1 - 8.8; gabbro unit: Nb/Ta = 11.5-13.2), whereas samples of the breccias zone are characterized by highly variable Nb/Ta ratios (Nb/Ta = 2.5-16.6) and show evidence of metasomatism. The enrichment of light rare earth elements and mobile incompatible elements in the mineralized samples provides supporting evidence for metasomatism.

**Figure 7.** Primitive-mantle normalized trace element patterns for the representative rock samples from the Nyong Series.

4.2.2. Rare Earth Elements (REEs)

The REEs data for the ultramafic rock samples are presented in Table 2. REEs concentrations are more or less radon in the samples and they vary from 0.05 – 106.7 ppm. La and Nd show relatively higher concentrations compared to the other REEs. Tb, Eu (except ESK 3, 4, 18, 27). Tm and Lu all have concentrations of less than 1ppm while La (0.6 – 93.2 ppm), Ce (0.6 – 93.2 ppm), Sm (0.07 – 7.94 ppm), Gd (0.19 - 6.01 ppm), Dy (0.13 - 5.01 ppm), Ho (0.03 - 1.12 ppm) Er (0.01 - 3.39 ppm), Tb, (0.14 - 2.73) all have average concentrations that are >1ppm (Table 2). Total REE (ΣREE) concentrations in the samples range from 2.55 – 252.2 ppm with an average of 74.05 ppm while LREEs and HREEs concentrations range from 1.65 – 236.95 ppm and 0.85 – 18.34 ppm with averages of 69.65 ppm and 6.39 ppm respectively. The samples are enriched in LREEs (LREE/HREE >1). Average Eu* and Ce* values in the samples are 0.72 and 1.87 respectively while average La/YbN is 0.79 (Table 2).

Primite mantle normalised REE plots (after Jochum et al., 1989 and Hofmann, 1989) of the ultramafic rocks are characterized by four distinct types of patterns based on their shapes and Eu anomalies (Eu*) (Figure 8a - d). Type 1 samples are characterized by steep LREE patterns, slightly positive Eu* and flat HREE patterns (Figure 8a). Type 2 exhibit LREE patterns with high peak values for La and Pr, V-shape Ce anomaly, a very gentle HREE pattern and a moderate negative Eu* (Figure 8b). Type 3 samples show a steep LREE patterns, strong negative Eu* and flat HREE patterns (Figure 8c) whereas Type 4 samples display flat and very steep LREE patterns, a very strong negative Eu* and a very flat HREE patterns (Figure 8d). Generally all the four types are characterized by enrichment in LREEs and depletion in HREEs and a negative Eu and Ce anomalies except the type 1. Primitive mantle normalised REE plots of samples from other studies in other parts of the world show similar enrichment in LREEs but flat HREE patterns except the Kabanga and Platreef sulfide deposits which show enrichments in La and Ho, respectively (Figure 9).

**Table 2.** Rare earth elements (REE) contents (ppm) of the ultramafic rocks in the Nyong Series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>ESK 1</th>
<th>ESK 2</th>
<th>ESK 3</th>
<th>ESK 4</th>
<th>ESK 5</th>
<th>ESK 6</th>
<th>ESK 7</th>
<th>ESK 8</th>
<th>ESK 9</th>
<th>ESK 10</th>
<th>ESK 11</th>
<th>ESK 12</th>
<th>ESK 13</th>
<th>ESK 14</th>
<th>ESK 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REE</td>
<td>d.I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>106.7</td>
<td>104.2</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>21.21</td>
<td>21.11</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>ESK 16</th>
<th>ESK 17</th>
<th>ESK 18</th>
<th>ESK 19</th>
<th>ESK 20</th>
<th>ESK 21</th>
<th>ESK 22</th>
<th>ESK 23</th>
<th>ESK 24</th>
<th>ESK 25</th>
<th>ESK 26</th>
<th>ESK 27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \sum_{\text{REE}} = (\text{La}/\text{Yb})_N = (\text{La}/\text{Yb})_{\text{sample}}/Y_{\text{Yb}}_{\text{sample}}/Y_{\text{Yb}}_{\text{primitive mantle}} \]

### Table 2. Rare earth elements (REE) contents (ppm) of the ultramafic rocks in the Nyong Series (continued)

### d.1: detection limits

\[ \text{Ce/Ce}^* = (\text{Ce}_{\text{sample}}/\text{Ce}_{\text{primitive mantle}})/(\text{La}_{\text{sample}}/\text{La}_{\text{primitive mantle}})^{1/2} \]

\[ \text{Eu/Eu}^* = (\text{Eu}_{\text{sample}}/\text{Eu}_{\text{primitive mantle}})/(\text{Sm}_{\text{sample}}/\text{Sm}_{\text{primitive mantle}})^{1/2} \]

\[ (\text{La}/\text{Yb})_N = (\text{La}_{\text{sample}}/\text{La}_{\text{primitive mantle}})/Y_{\text{Yb}}_{\text{sample}}/Y_{\text{Yb}}_{\text{primitive mantle}} \]

### Notes:

- ESK 18 = 0.93
- ESK 20 = 1.05
- ESK 21 = 2.57
- ESK 22 = 0.7
- ESK 23 = 0.11
- ESK 24 = 0.05
- ESK 25 = 0.08
- ESK 26 = 0.08
- ESK 27 = 0.07
- ESK 16 = 0.07

### d.1: detection limits

\[ \text{Ce/Ce}^* = (\text{Ce}_{\text{sample}}/\text{Ce}_{\text{primitive mantle}})/(\text{La}_{\text{sample}}/\text{La}_{\text{primitive mantle}})^{1/2} \]

\[ \text{Eu/Eu}^* = (\text{Eu}_{\text{sample}}/\text{Eu}_{\text{primitive mantle}})/(\text{Sm}_{\text{sample}}/\text{Sm}_{\text{primitive mantle}})^{1/2} \]

\[ (\text{La}/\text{Yb})_N = (\text{La}_{\text{sample}}/\text{La}_{\text{primitive mantle}})/Y_{\text{Yb}}_{\text{sample}}/Y_{\text{Yb}}_{\text{primitive mantle}} \]


Figure 8. (a) Primitive mantle normalized REE plots of the ultramafic rocks of the Nyong Series showing four distinct types of patterns based on their shapes and Eu anomalies (Eu*). (b) Type 1 is characterized by steep LREE patterns, slightly positive Eu* and flat HREE patterns. (c) Type 2 exhibits LREE patterns with high peak values for La and Pr, V-shape Ce anomaly, a very gentle HREE pattern and a moderate negative Eu*. (d) Type 3 samples show a steep LREE patterns, strong negative Eu* and flat HREE patterns. (e) Type 4 samples display flat and very steep LREE patterns, a very strong negative Eu* and a very flat HREE patterns.

Figure 9. Primitive mantle normalized REE plots of samples from other studies in other parts of the world show similar enrichment in LREE but flat HREE patterns except the Kabanga and Platreef sulfide deposits which show enrichments in Lu and Ho respectively.

These trends in the REE patterns can be interpreted that these trends are the result of fractional crystallization and fractionation of the LREEs relative to the heavy ones may be caused by the presence of olivine, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene. Generally, the nature of these patterns suggests complete evolution of the Nyong ultramafic rocks through multiple magmatic processes associated with crustal contamination in the magma in subsequent stages (Balaram et al., 2013).

The nature of the patterns suggests complex evolution of these ultramafic rocks through multiple magmatic processes associated with crustal contamination in the magma in subsequent stages. The negative Eu anomalies in the samples may be interpreted as due to fractionation of plagioclase ± hornblende and can be imposed when the melt phase enters the stability field of plagioclase. Low CaO, Al₂O₃ and Sr (Ding et al., 2012; Dare et al., 2014; Barnes et al., 2015; Ako, 2016 and Ako et al., 2017a) contents and negative Eu anomaly are all features that suggest removal of plagioclase component from the basic magma or may be due to the magma that might have segregated at such
depth where plagioclase is not stable and hence it could not be fractionated (Barker et al., 1976). Eu anomalies are chiefly controlled by feldspars; particularly in felsic magma for Eu (present in the divalent state) is compatible in plagioclase and K-feldspar, in contrast to the trivalent REE which are incompatible. Thus a removal of feldspar from a melt by crystal fractionation or the partial melting of a rock in which feldspar is retained in the source will give rise to a negative Eu* in the melt. To a lesser extend hornblende, sphene, clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene and garnet may also contribute to Eu*, although in the opposite sense to that of feldspars (Hugh, 1993).

The high LREE contents in the rocks could be related to the enrichment of their source materials or to low degree of partial melting of source protoliths (Figure 8a) with which garnet was among the residual phases (Nzenti et al., 2006). The negative Ce and Eu anomalies probably result from the variability of oxidizing conditions (Neal and Taylor, 1989). The negative Ce anomalies in some samples are similar to those of the Kabanga Ni sulphide deposits in Tanzania (Maier et al., 2010). The negative Eu anomalies could result from the high degree of plagioclase fractionation (Lee et al., 2009; Saleh, 2007). According to Lee et al. (2009) large amount of plagioclase growth removes significant Eu²⁺ from the system during magma crystallization and this reduction of total Eu limits available zircon, resulting in progressively more negative anomalies in later grown zircon. The variability of (La/Yb)N ratios in the rocks could be relative to the early stage weathering.

5. CONCLUSION

The metamorphosed ultramafic rocks of the Paleoproterozoic Nyong Series SE Cameroon are characterised by relative enrichment in LILE (e.g. Ba, Th and U) and depleted in HFSE (e.g. Sr, Zr, Nb and Hf). They also show negative Ce and Eu anomalies. Four distinct types of primitive mantle normalized REE patterns based on the shapes and degree of Eu anomaly are recognized. Generally, the four patterns are characterized by enrichment in LREEs and depletion in HREEs. The low Sr, Zr, Nb and Hf contents and negative Eu anomaly are all features that suggest removal of plagioclase component from the basic magma or may be due to the magma that might segregated at depth where plagioclase is not stable and thus could not have fractionated.

Rare earth elements are reliable petrogenetic indicators and have unique properties such as strong electropositive character, constancy in valance state (3+) with exception of Eu and Yb showing additional (2+) and Ce and Tb showing additional (4+) valence. They display significant substitution especially of tetravalent cations in different minerals and systematic partitioning in the mineral/melt systems. REEs (especially LREEs) are among the most incompatible elements and therefore enrich the magmas having low degrees of partial melting and metasomatised source rocks. Behavior of REEs in metamorphic rocks depends on their protolith and P-T-X conditions and accessory minerals such as garnet, zircon, monazite, sphene, apatite and quartz, greatly influence REEs distribution within metamorphic rocks.
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