

Tilapia (*Oreochromis Niloticus*) Feeding Trial without Fish Meal using Local by-Products from the Guinean Forested Region

Adande Richard^{1,4}, Keita Nouhan², Camara Lonsenigbe¹, Djidohokpin Gildas^{2,4}, Djissou Sèdjro Martin Arnauld^{3,4}, Micha Jean-Claude

¹University of N'zérékoré (UZ), Faculty of Technical Sciences (FST), Department of Hydrology, N'Zérékoré, Guinea.

²University of N'zérékoré (UZ), Faculty of Environmental Sciences (FSE), Department of Natural Resources Management, BP: 50 N'Zérékoré, Guinea.

³Higher Institute of Veterinary Sciences and Medicine of Dalaba (ISSMVD), Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, Dalaba, Guinea.

⁴University of Abomey-Calavi (UAC), Faculty of Science and Technology. Department of Zoology. Laboratory of Hydrobiology and Wetland Research (LHyReZ). BP: 526. Cotonou (Benin).

⁵Department of Biology, Research Unit in Environmental Biology, University of Namur, 5000 Namur, Belgium.

***Corresponding Author:** *ADANDE Richard,* University of N'zérékoré (UZ), Faculty of Technical Sciences (FST), Department of Hydrology, N'Zérékoré, Guinea.

Abstract: An experiment on the feeding of tilapia Oreochromis niloticus fry of initial weight $(6.5\pm0.0g)$ was carried out for 56 days in above-ground basins on the site of the University of N'Zerekore. An open-circuit system of 15 tanks was used to compare five treatments, with 3 tanks per treatment. Five isoprotein diets including one control. The diets tested contained Azolla filiculoïdes (dried), brewer's yeast, earthworms and broiler viscera at various substitution rates, while the control contained fish meal (A_0 =29.8%; A_1 =30.5%; A_2 =30.7%; A_3 =31%; A_4 =31.9%). The fish were fed three times a day at four-hourly intervals.

The results showed that physico-chemical parameters such as temperature $(28.6\pm1.2^{\circ}C)$, pH (5.59 ± 0.32) and dissolved oxygen content $(4.93\pm0.89 \text{ mg/L})$ during the experiment were within acceptable ranges for the raised species. At the end of the experiment, mean final weights ranged from 10.80 ± 0.54 to $14.90\pm0.48g$, depending on the treatment. Fish fed the A_0 control feed showed a better specific growth rate $(1.64\pm0.103\%/d)$. Specific growth rates obtained in fish fed diets A_1 to A_4 ranged from 1.11 ± 0.10 to $1.26\pm0.10\%/d$. However, the feed conversion rate of diets based on local by-products ranged from 2.32 ± 0.04 to 2.80 ± 0.28 . Diet A_4 had a better feed conversion rate than the other diets tested. In terms of economic analysis, treatment A_4 gave a better production cost per kg of O. niloticus. At the end of this study, the optimal rate of substitution of fishmeal by local by-products was set at 31.9%.

Keywords: Oreochromis niloticus, isoproteics, Azolla filiculoïdes, fish meal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aquaculture sector in general and fish farming in particular in Guinea, as in all African countries, is struggling to develop despite the efforts of national and international institutions ^[1]. According to [2] and [3], freshwater aquaculture production alone today represents more than 85 million tonnes in 2022, representing an average annual growth rate of 3.2% due to the rapid development of Nile tilapia farming and other species. However, the latest statistics in Guinea show an increase of around 30.17% in fish farmers in recent years, who have achieved a production of 5985 tonnes of fish (all species combined) against an estimated demand of over 30,000 tonnes [4]. Indeed, this production remains far below demand, as the per-capita fish consumption rate has risen from 10 kg to around 24 kg/capita/year [4,5]. To make up for this deficit, Guinea imports more than 25,676 tonnes each year [4]. To date, fisheries production in Guinea is essentially provided by fishing in freshwater, brackish and marine waters [4]. This dependence on imported fish products represents a major threat to food security and a loss of foreign currency, which the Guinean government can avoid by developing national potential through fish farming. Despite the limited quantity of fish products, some of them are used to manufacture animal feed. This is the case, for example, with fishmeal, considered the main source of protein in fish feed. For example, in 2010, fishmeal production and trade fell considerably due to the drop in Anchovy

catches, thus increasing the cost of fishmeal [6]. On the other hand, this main ingredient is expensive and of dubious quality. Other sources of protein not suitable for human consumption should therefore be used to replace fishmeal. Sources of protein include earthworms, chicken viscera, brewer's yeast and Azolla. Earthworms have an amino acid profile comparable to that of most animal proteins [7] and, like fish, are rich in omega3 fatty acids [8,9]. Similarly, Azolla, broiler viscera and brewer's yeast have good amino acid profiles [10, 11,12]. African tilapias, particularly Nile tilapia, are among the fish that have been successfully introduced internationally [13]. In fact, this species is the most widely exploited of all tilapias. It offers the prospect of sustainable protein production and is considered a candidate species for freshwater fish farming [13, 6]. Azolla and earthworms are protein sources that are not suitable for human consumption. Broiler viscera and brewer's yeast, which are rich in protein, are generally discharged into the environment.

It is therefore important to valorize these by-products in fish farming. This could reduce the cost of aquaculture feed for intensive Nile Tilapia farming and improve food security for the Guinean population. The objectives of this study are to:

- formulate and manufacture feeds from local by-products, taking into account their amino acid profile;
- test feeds on Oreochromis niloticus to determine zootechnical performance.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study Environment

The present study was carried out on the experimental site of the University of N'Zérékoré (7°43'57.6"N ; 08°50'4.3"E altitude 7 m above sea level).

2.2. Experimental Design

The experiment was carried out in an open circuit in 15 square above-ground concrete basins at the station. Each tank was filled with approximately 300 L of water. The circuit was supplied with water from a borehole drilled on the University site. Each tank was equipped with a water inlet and a central water evacuation system made of PVC pipe (diameter 60 cm) fitted with a fine-mesh net to prevent fry escape. Half the surface of each pond was covered with a screen to prevent direct sunlight penetration.

2.3. Experimental Fish

A total of 750 Oreochromis fingerlings with an individual mean initial weight of 6.5 ± 0.0 g were acquired from the experimental farm of the Federation of Fish Farmers of Forest Guinea (FFFFG). These fish were distributed and acclimatized for one week at the University station. During the feeding period, the fish were hand-fed (ad-libitum) to apparent satiety three times a day, at 8am, 12pm and 4pm. The fish were not fed on the control days. The stocking density was fifty fry per tank, in triplicate per treatment.

2.4. Ingredients Used In Experimental Diets

The fish meal used was that of fretin fish (*Sardinella sp*). Sardinella sp was purchased at the N'Zerekore market and ground using a Moulinex mill. Azolla was produced in a rectangular basin, harvested and dried for 72 hours, then processed into flour. Broiler viscera were collected at the Boma market (N'Zerekore) just after slaughter. The viscera were cleaned, boiled and dried in an oven at a temperature of 40°C. The earthworms were produced at the University of N'Zerekore.

They are rinsed in water to remove sand and plant debris. The worms are then dried in the sun for 24 hours, followed by freeze-drying. The dried worms are ground in a grinder (Moulinex). The other ingredients used in the feed were purchased from a local feed mill.

2.5. Treatments Applied

Five treatments $(A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$ were applied to three (03) replicates each. Feeding was carried out manually at apparent satiation three times a day, at 8h, 12h and 16h. Fry were considered satiated when they no longer paid any attention to the pellets. The experiment lasted 56 days.

2.6. Test Feed

Batches of Nile Tilapia are fed five different isoprotein diets in this experiment. Only the A_0 control diet contains fish meal. The experimental diets consist of Azolla, earthworms and broiler viscera at

different incorporation rates. They also contain brewer's yeast at equal rates. The composition of the experimental diets is given in Table 1.

The food was produced in a room at the University of N'Zerekore. The floury ingredients are carefully mixed by hand after weighing. Next, water and palm oil are added to the mixture to obtain a malleable dough. This dough is then transformed into spaghetti using an electric meat grinder (Moulinex HV81600w), then sun-dried to a moisture content of 10%.

| Ingredients | Ao | A1 | A ₂ | A 3 | A4 |
|-----------------|-------|-------|----------------|------------|-------|
| Azolla powder | 0.0 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 |
| Chicken Viscera | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4,0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Rice bran | 13.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 7.2 |
| Fish meal | 32.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soy flour | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 13.0 |
| Brewer's yeast | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Cottonseed cake | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Corn bran | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Earthworm meal | 0.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 |
| Palm oil | 5.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Vitamins | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Minerals | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Cassava | 5.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Methionine | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Lysine | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Crude protein | 30.8 | 31.5 | 31.7 | 32.0 | 32.9 |

Table 1. Centesimal feed composition (g/100g feed)

2.7. Physico-Chemical Water Analysis

Water quality was monitored by measuring physico-chemical parameters such as temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity and TDS using a portable multifunction multimeter (GOnDO portable multifunction pH meter). These parameters were recorded before feeding (morning: 7:30 am and evening: 3:30 pm).

2.8. Growth Monitoring

Control fishing took place every ten days of feeding, followed by emptying and cleaning of the tanks. The number and biomass of fish per tank were measured. A TANITAKD-192 electronic balance was used to measure biomass. At the end of the experiment, the biomass per tank, the total number of fry per tank, the weight and the total length of the individuals per tank were recorded.

2.9. Zootechnical Parameters and Production costs

The mathematical formulas used to determine these parameters are as follows:

• Average initial weight (Wi)

Wi(g) = Initial biomass (g) / Initial number of fish.

• Average final weight (Wf)

Wf (g) = Final biomass (g) / Final number of fish.

• Survival rate (SR)

This rate was used to determine the effect of substituting poison meal with non-conventional byproducts on fish survival.

SR in % = (Number of individuals at end of experiment / Number of initial individuals) x 100.

• Specific Growth Rate (Sgr)

This coefficient evaluates the weight gained by the fish each day, as a percentage of its live weight. The SGR gives the instantaneous growth rate of the fish. It is expressed by the following formula

International Journal of Innovative Studies in Aquatic Biology and Fisheries

SGR in % / d = [Ln (Pmf (g) - Ln (Pmi (g)) x 100 / Experimentation duration].

• Consumption Index (Ci)

This coefficient is used to evaluate the effectiveness of the feed used on fish growth.

CI = Amount of feed distributed (g) / Weight gain (g).

2.10. Feed Efficiency (Fe)

 $\mathbf{FE} = (\mathbf{Bf} + \mathbf{Bm} - \mathbf{Bi})/\mathbf{Rd} = 1/\mathbf{IC}$

2.11. Condition Factor K

Reflects fish overweight. It is expressed by the following formula:

 $K = (Wt / Lt^b) \ge 100$

Where Wt = total weight of fish in g; $Lt = total length of fish in cm; b = allometry coefficient, is the exponent of the weight-length relationship <math>Wt = aLt^b$.

The average production cost of one kilogram of fish is calculated as follows

Average production cost per kg of fish=Cost per kg of feed ×IC

2.12. Statistical Analysis

The data collected were encoded in Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. They were used to calculate the zootechnical parameters of the fish. For each parameter, the mean and standard deviation were calculated. The average data for each repetition is considered as one observation. For statistical analysis, STATVIEW statistical software (version 5.01) was used, with a probability threshold of 5%. Analysis of variance (ANOVA1) with one classification criterion was used to compare the zootechnical performance of the different treatments. A Fisher LSD (Least Significant Difference) test was used to make paired comparisons of the different means. In addition, an economic analysis was carried out to evaluate the production cost of each diet.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Rearing water Quality

Over the entire test period, the mean values measured were $28.6 \pm 1.2^{\circ}$ C for temperature, 4.93 ± 0.89 mgL⁻¹ for dissolved oxygen and 5.59 ± 0.32 for pH, 67 ± 4.6 µs/cm for conductivity and 45.8 ± 3.2 ppm for TDS.

3.2. Zootechnical Parameters and Production costs

The various results obtained after 56 days of experimentation are presented in Table 2. The values shown represent the averages obtained per treatment \pm standard deviations.

| Paramètres | Ao | A1 | A_2 | A 3 | A4 |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Average initial weight | 6.50±0.05 ^a | 6.56±0.05 ^a | 6.60±0.03 ^a | 6.69±0.04 ^a | 6,64±0.08 ^a |
| Average final weight | 14.90±0.48 ^a | 11.89±0.46 ^b | 10.80 ± 0.54^{b} | 11.11 ± 0.18^{b} | 11.94±0.06 ^b |
| IC | 1.83±0.09 ^a | 2.37±0.09 ^b | 2.80 ± 0.28^{bc} | 2.39±0.05 ^b | 2.32±0.04 ^{bd} |
| FE | 0.55 ± 0.03^{a} | 0.42 ± 0.02^{b} | 0.36 ± 0.04^{bc} | 0.42±0.01 ^b | 0.43 ± 0.01^{bd} |
| SGR (%/d) | 1.64±0.10 ^a | 1.26±0.10 ^b | 1.11 ± 0.10^{b} | 1.14±0.03 ^b | 1.25±0.04 ^b |
| SR (%) | 86.00±1.16 ^a | 95.33±2.67 ^b | 90.67±2.91 ^{ab} | 94.00±1.16 ^b | 91.33±2.91 ^{ab} |
| Lmi | 6.50±0.05 ^a | 6.57±0.05 ^{ab} | 6.6±0.03 ^{ab} | 6.69±0.04 ^b | 6.64 ± 0.08^{ab} |
| Lmf | 9.09±0.08 ^a | 8.26±0.09 ^b | 8.04 ± 0.09^{b} | 8.12±0.07 ^b | 8.26±0.04 ^b |
| K | 1.98±0.02 ^a | 2.11±0.04 ^b | 2.08 ± 0.04^{ab} | 2.08±0.04 ^{ab} | 2.12±0.03 ^b |

 Table 2. Zootechnical parameters

A: Food (0; 1; 2; 3; 4). Values are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Values in the same row with a letter in common are not significantly different (p>0.05).

4. CONSUMPTION INDEX (CI)

The lowest CI value (1.83 ± 0.09) was obtained in the control diet (A_0) and the highest in the test diet (A_2) (figure 1). However, there was a significant difference (P<0.05) between the consumption indices

Tilapia (Oreochromis Niloticus) Feeding Trial Without Fish Meal using Local by-Products from the Guinean Forested Region

of the A_0 control diet and the test diets (A_1 ; A_2 , A_3 ; A_4). Similarly, there was a significant difference (P<0.05) in the consumption indices of the test diets (A_2 and A_4); however, there was no significant difference (p>0.05) between the test diets A_1 and A_2 , A_3 , A_4 , or between the test diets A_2 and A_3 , with the highest index obtained in the test diet A_2 .



Figure1. Consumption index for various experimental feeds

5. SPECIFIC GROWTH RATE

Specific growth rates observed in this study ranged from 1.11 ± 0.10 to $1.64\pm0.10\%/d$ (figure 2). However, there was no significant difference (P>0.05) between the diets tested (A₁; A₂; A₃; A₄). On the other hand, there was a significant difference (p<0.05) between the control diet (A₀) and the test diets, and the highest specific growth rate was recorded in the control diet (A₀).



Figure 2. Specific growth rate as a function of different experimental feeds

6. AVERAGE FINAL WEIGHT

Mean final weight varied significantly (P<0.05) between fish fed the control diet (A₀) and the test diets (A₁; A₂; A₃; A₄ (figure 3). On the other hand, there was no significant difference (P>0.05) between fish fed the test diets. The highest final average weight was obtained in the control diet (A₀).

International Journal of Innovative Studies in Aquatic Biology and Fisheries

Tilapia (Oreochromis Niloticus) Feeding Trial Without Fish Meal using Local by-Products from the Guinean Forested Region



Figure 3. Evolution of fry weight following control fishing and according to the different treatments.

7. CONDITION FACTOR (K)

The condition factor varied from 1.98 ± 0.02 (A₁) to 2.12 ± 0.03 (A₄) (figure 4). No significant difference (P>0.05) could be shown between the tested diets (A₁; A₂, A₃; A₄) on the one hand, and between the control diet (A₀) and the tested diets (A₂, and A₄) on the other. On the other hand, there was a significant difference (p<0.05) between the control diet (A₀) and the tested diets (A₁ and A₄), with diet A₃ showing the highest condition coefficient.



Figure 4. Condition coefficients for different experimental feeds

8. SURVIVAL RATES

Figure 5 shows the variation in survival rates in O. niloticus according to the various diets tested. The survival rates obtained in fish at the end of this experiment ranged from 86.00 ± 1.16 to $95.33\pm2.67\%$. The survival rates observed in fish fed the diets tested (A₁; A₂; A₃; A₄) did not vary. There was therefore no significant difference in survival rates (P>0.05). On the other hand, there was a significant difference between the survival rates of fish fed the control diet A₀ and the test diets (A₁ and A₃) (P<0.05).

Tilapia (Oreochromis Niloticus) Feeding Trial Without Fish Meal using Local by-Products from the Guinean Forested Region



Figure 5. Survival rates for different experimental feeds

9. PRODUCTION COSTS

Production depends largely on the cost of feed (Table 3). These various factors have been calculated to assess the production cost of our experiment.

| Feeds | Ingredient prices | A | A ₁ | A ₂ | A3 | A4 |
|---|--|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Ingredients (%) | | | | | | |
| Azolla powder | 0 | 0 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 7 |
| Chicken Viscera | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| Rice bran | 80 | 13 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 7,2 |
| Fish meal | 1000 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Soy flour | 450 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 13 |
| Brewer's yeast | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Cottonseed cake | 175 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Corn bran | 200 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Earthworm meal | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 |
| Palm oil | 750 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Vitamins | 5000 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Minerals | 5000 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Cassava | 200 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Methionine | 2500 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Lysine | 2500 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Cost per kg from by- products alone | GNF.Kg- ¹ | 6893.46 | 3405.30 | 3405.30 | 3427.28 | 3325.60 |
| Expenses related to feed manufacturing | GNF.Kg- ¹ | 1030.62 | 1058.10 | 1071.84 | 1016.88 | 1030.62 |
| Feed cost | GNF.Kg-1 | 9300,98 | 4463.40 | 4477.14 | 4444.16 | 4356.21 |
| Cost of producing one (GNF.) | e kg of fish per pond Kg- ¹) | 4122.47 | 3435.39 | 3229.27 | 3297.98 | 3325.46 |
| Total production co (GNF.) | osts per kg of fish Kg- ¹) | 13420.70 | 7898.79 | 7706.41 | 7742.41 | 7681.67 |

 Table 3. Feed formulation, ingredient and experimental feed costs

10. Estimating the Cost of Producing one Kilogram of Fish

The production of one kg of fish was evaluated by multiplying the price of one (1) kg of feed by the consumption index. This table 4 shows that the cost of producing one kilogram of fish varies from 8514.94 GNF or 0.98 dollars to 18720 GNF or 2.16 dollars for the tested diets and is 24560.72 GNF or 2.84 dollars for the control. However, the diets tested (1 to 4) gave the best growth performance and are therefore deemed economically profitable.

| Treatments | Ao | A1 | A ₂ | A3 | A4 |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------|----------|
| Cost per kg of feed (GNF) | 9300.98 | 4463.40 | 4477.14 | 4449.66 | 4356,21 |
| Consumption index | 1.83 | 2.37 | 2.80 | 2.39 | 2.32 |
| Production cost per kg offish(GNF) | 17020.79 | 10578.26 | 12535.99 | 10634.69 | 10106.41 |
| Price per kg of fish (GNF) | 25000 | 25000 | 25000 | 25000 | 25000 |
| Profit (GNF) | 7979.21 | 14421.74 | 12464.01 | 14365.31 | 14893.59 |

Table 4. Costs associated with fish production using the feeds tested

11. DISCUSSION

11.1. Water Physico-Chemical Parameters

The temperature obtained during our experiment lies within the range of temperatures varying between 13.5 and 33°C tolerated by *O. niloticus* in the natural environment ^[14] (26-35°C). The average pH value obtained during the experiment also fell within the pH range of 5-11 tolerated by the species in the natural environment, but ideally between 6.5 and 8.5 [15]. In fact, a pH of between 7 and 9 enables Tilapia to grow well ^[16]. The dissolved oxygen level obtained in our study was higher than the 0.1 mg.L⁻¹ reported by authors [17, 18]. This may be explained by the fact that photosynthesis is virtually non-existent at night, whereas respiration is continuous, resulting in high oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production at night.

11.2. Zootechnical and Economic Parameters

The survival rates observed in our experiment $(86.00\pm1.16 \text{ to } 95.33\pm2.67\%)$ are higher than those obtained by [19] and [20], who used agricultural by-products (soybean meal, cottonseed meal, maize and rice bran) to feed Oreochromis niloticus. Similarly, they are close to those obtained by [21] who fed *O. niloticus* with agricultural by-products (corn bran, palm kernel cake, cottonseed cake, Azolla, Brewery dried grain). These results are lower than those obtained by [22], who fed chicken viscera instead of fish meal to herbivorous carp. This could be explained by the handling of fish during control fishing. Mortality would therefore be due to handling stress.

Compared with the final average weights, they are comparatively lower than the 37.31 ± 5.73 to 54.69±7.76 obtained by [19]. This could be explained by the loading density and duration of the experiment. The results are higher by 9.290g to 12.113g recorded by [23] and could be explained by the broiler viscera drying technique and also the experimental conditions. They also differ from 31.20 ± 1.00 to 36.28±1.77 obtained by [21] and are explained by low protein levels (26.0 to 31.9%), below the recommended protein level (35%) for tilapia O. niloticus from 2 to 35g in weight ^[24]. Specific growth rates recorded in our experiment ranged from 1.34±0.21 to 1.94±0.26. However, they are lower than those of [25], who incorporated soybean and maize meal, fish meal and tomato meal to feed O. niloticus, due to the contribution of tomato and the conditions of the study environment. In the same vein, our results are better than those recorded by [21] and are close to those obtained by [23]. This could be explained by the protein content of their treatment. They are also higher than those obtained by [26] in ponds (0.1g.d⁻¹) and also those of 1.65 g.d⁻¹ obtained by [27]. As for the consumption indices recorded during our experiment, they are comparatively low compared to those obtained by [21]. This could be explained by the quality of the feed and the shape of the feed (powder). They are close to those obtained by [28] $(1.56\pm0.59 \text{ to } 2.57\pm0.37)$ who substituted fishmeal with brewer's spent grain, broken maize and peanuts and smoked sardines. This can be justified by the level of protein provided, the ingredients used and the shape of the feed (pellets). Our data are also close to the results recorded by [23]. It is important to note that our data are close to those reported by many authors (1.7 to 3.0) for diets in which nonconventional protein sources incorporated are more than 25% to replace fishmeal. This is the case for non-conventional protein sources such as Leacaena or Copra and groundnut, cotton or soybean meal [29], Azolla [30]. The economic analysis of the different plans shows better costs compared to the control plan. However, the most expensive feed is not beneficial for producers. To produce 1kg of O. *niloticus* biomass, the A_4 treatment is better with 14893.59 GNF or 1.71 USD followed by the A_1 treatment with 14421.74 GNF or 1.66 USD per kilogram of fish. Due to their growth performance, from the point of view of quality, price and consumption indexes, the A_4 regime is therefore considered better. These results also show a significant reduction in the cost of experimental feeds compared to controls, which are excessively expensive for the fish farmer. The low production obtained in the A_2 and A_3 diets leads to an increase in the costs associated with the production of a kilogram of fish with feeds based on non-conventional by-products.

12. CONCLUSION

O. Niloticus fed a diet based on local by-products from the Guinean forest region yielded acceptable results in terms of specific growth and feed conversion rates. This would constitute an unwavering contribution to Guinean fish farming. The results of this work could attempt to solve the problem of the unavailability of low-cost, high-quality fish feed available to average fish farmers. The A_4 diet offers good zootechnical performance and better fish farming profitability. The substitution of by-products such as broiler viscera, brewer's yeast, Azolla and earthworms as well as other by-products used in the feed made it possible to gain a reduction in the price of the feed and therefore a reduction in the cost of production, which would have a positive impact on the financial management of an aquaculture farm.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

First Author: Conceptualization manuscrit, Writing -review and editing, Investigation, Methodology, Second Author: Formal Analysis, , Visualization and Writing -original draft; Third Author: Funding Acquisition and Project Administration

Fourth Author: Resources, Writing -review and Data Curation, Fifth Author: and editing and Fourth, Sixth Author: Supervision, Writing - review and editing

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that for this article they have no actual, potential, or perceived conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the Laboratory of Biology for providing the facilities required for the study. Sincere gratitude is also extended to Lancei Koivoguiand Paul KOULEMOU for their contribution in carrying out the feeding experiment.

Références

- [1] Palliere A, Rangé C. Les projets de développement favorisent-ils la construction de nouveaux communs autour des savoirs locaux? Le cas de la pisciriziculture en Guinée Forestière, 12ème conférence internationale sur le développement de l'AFD « Communs et Développement, 1-2, Paris, 11 p. 2016.
- [2] FAO. Fish Stat: Production mondiale par source de production 1950-2022. Dans: *FishStatJ*. 2024. www.fao.org/fishery/fr/statistics/software/fishstatj.
- [3] Enyidi UD, Oguibe B. Effects of Graded Methionized Yeast on the Growth, Nutrient Utilization, and Hemato biochemistry of Hybrid *C. gariepinus* x *H. longifilis* (Hetroclarias). Aqucult Studies, 2023; 23(SI) http://doi.org/10.4194/AQUAST1077
- [4] C.N.S.H.B. (Centre National des Sciences Halieutiques de Boussoura). Bulletin Statistique des Pêches, Ministère des Pêches et de l'Economie Maritime (MPEM), 2023.
- [5] Pierre F. Rapport n°3 de la revue de l'industrie des pêches et de l'aquaculture dans les 22 États membres de la COMHAFAT, 2012.
- [6] FAO FAO (Food and Agriculture Organisation) (2020). Nile ti lapia: nutritional requirements.http://www.fao.org/fishery/affris/species profiles/nile-ti lapia/nutritional-requirements/en/ (assessed 28/4/2020), 2020.
- [7] Navarro VC, Rodriguez V, Montero YM. Biological evaluation of biomass of the Cuban earthworm .Eudre luseugenia. Instuto de Investigaciones porcinas, Ciencia y Tecnia en la agricultura Ganadoporcino. Gaveta posta, 1989; 12 (2):75-85.
- [8] Dynes RA. Eathworms Technology information to anavable the development of Eathworm production. RIRDC publication No 03/085; ISBN0642586527.ISSN 14406845. Kingston.Australia. Echiurida. Gruyter,W, Berlin und Leipzig 1928 bis, 2003; 1934: 1-118.
- [9] Lecerf J-M. Poisson, acides gras oméga3 et risque cardio-vasculaire: données épidémiologiques.Cah. Nut. Diét, 2004; 39(2):143-150 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-9960(04)94447-1
- [10] Csonka FA. Proteins of yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiæ). J Biol Chem, 1935; 109:703-715.
- [11] Favre J. La composition chimique de la levure de bière. P.09. Bull Soc Vaud Sci Nat, 1936; 59, N241.

- [12] Giri SS, Sahoo SK, Sahu AK, Mukhopadhyay PK. Growth, feed utilizationand carcass composition of Catfish *Clarias batrachus* (Linn) fingerlings fed on dried fishand chicken viscera incorporated diets. Aquacult Research, 2000; 31: 767-771.
- [13] FAO. (Food and Agriculture Organisation). Projet FIDA. Dans le cadre du suivi biologique des ressources halieutiques Centre national d'étude et documentation pour la pêche et l'aquaculture service d'étude (CNDPA). 2000; 40 p.
- [14] Nobrega RO, Banze JF, Batista RO, Fracalossi DM. Improving winter production of Nile tilapia. Aquacult Reports 18, 2020; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqrep.2020.100453
- [15] Malcolm C, Beveridje H, Mcandrew BJ Tilapias: biologie and exploitation. Institute of aquaculture. University of stirling, Scotland. Kluwer Academic Publishers: 185 p. 2000.
- [16] Borges AM. Criação de tilápias, [en línea] 46pp., Emater-DF, ISBN-1676-9279, 2009. Disponible en: http://www.emater.df.gov.br
- [17] Mélard C, Philippart JC. Pisciculture intensive d'O. niloticus dans les affluents thermiques d'une centrale nucléaire en Belgique.1980; Doc. E/11.
- [18] Leveque C, Quensiere J. Les peuplements Ichtyologiques des lacs peu profonds ; 303-324. In Biologie et Ecologie des Poissons d'Eau Douce Africains, LEVEQUE C, Bruton MN, Scentongo GW (eds). Edition de l'ORSTOM, 1988; 508p.
- [19] Bamba Y, Ouattara A., Kouassi S, Da costa, Gourène G. Production de Oreochromis niloticus avec des aliments à base de sous-produits agricoles. Sciences & Nature, 2008; 5 N°1: 89-99.
- [20] Cheraghi P, Mohammadiazarm H, Maniat M. Dietary Effect of Borage (Borago officinalis) Powder on Growth Performance, Immune Response, and Blood Biochemical Parameters in Common Carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) Juvenile. Aqua Stud. 2023; 23 (SI). http://doi.org/10.4194/AQUAST1163
- [21] Fiogbe ED, Akitikpa B, Accodji J-M.M Essais de mise au point de formules alimentaires à base d'azolla (Azolla microphylla kaulf) et de sous-produits locaux pour la pisciculture rurale du tilapia Oreochromis niloticus L. Int J Biol Chem Sci. 2009; 3(2): 398-405.
- [22] Tabinda AB, Butt A. Replacement of Fish Meal with Poultry By Product Meal (Chicken Intestine) as a Protein Source in Grass Carp Fry Diet. Pakistan J Zool. 2012; 44 (5): 1373-1381.
- [23] Tabinda Q, Hassnain S, Sajida T, Murad A Impact assessment of kitchen gardening training under watershed programme. J of Social Sciences, COES & RJ-JSS Publisher: Centre of Excellence for Scientific Research Journalism Online Publication Date: 1st April. 2013.
- [24] Wassim KMS, Mensi F, Abdelmouleh A, Brini B, Kraïem MM. Evaluation of faba beans (Vicia faba L. var. minuta) as a replacement for soy bean meal in practical diets of juvenile Nile tilapia Oreochromis niloticus. Aquacult. 2009; (287) :174-179.
- [25] Gotur PS, Devendrppa S, Sadaqath S. Impact of domestic roof top rain water harvesting programmes for rural communities: a case study. Economics Deptt., Karnataka University, Dharwad, India. 2009; V.2 (11): 803-804.
- [26] Abou Y. Effet de l'alimentation à base d'Azolla sur la production du tilapia du Nil en zones humides au Bénin. Dissertation présentée en vue de l'obtention du grade de Docteur en Sciences. Presses universitaires de Namur, 2007; 217p.
- [27] Kanangire CK. Effet de l'alimentation des poissons avec *Azolla* sur l'écosystème agro-piscicole au Rwanda. Thèse de Doctorat, Facultés Universitaires Notre-Dame de la Paix, Namur, 2001; Belgique, 220p.
- [28] Iga-Iga R Contribution à la mise au point d'aliments pour tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* à base d'intrants locaux : cas du Gabon. 2008; P. 47.
- [29] Jackson AJ, Capper BS, Matty AJ. Evaluation of some plant proteins incomplete diets for the tilapia *Sarotherodon mossambicus*. Aquacul. 1982; 27: 97-109.
- [30] Antoine T, Wery P, Micha J-C, Van Hove C. Comparaison de la croissance et de la composition chimique d'*Oreochromis niloticus* L. et de *Cichlasoma (Theraps) melanurum* Gth. Nourris avec *Azolla* Comparison of the growth and chemical composition of *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Cichlasoma (Theraps) melanurum* Gth. fed with *Azolla*. Aquacul. 1987; <u>66 (2)</u>: 181-196.

Citation: ADANDE Richard, et al. Tilapia (Oreochromis Niloticus) Feeding Trial Without Fish Meal using Local by-Products from the Guinean Forested Region. International Journal of Innovative Studies in Aquatic Biology and Fisheries. 2025; 10(1):7-16. DOI: https://doi.org/10.20431/2454-7670.100102.

Copyright: © 2025 Authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.