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Abstract: The purpose of this study was to investigate levels of awareness regarding the functions of the Ombudsman Office Commission for Investigations office in the public service of the Republic of Zambia. It was assumed that the importance of this office are not well known by many Zambians. It was intended that the information will help to put a check on government activities in the interest of the citizens and thus the problem of human rights abuse, lack of accountability and abuse of governance will be somewhat curtailed. The research design was a case study. The target populations for the study were Ministries, Parastatal, and Private Organization. Purposive sample was used. In order for the researcher to collect data questionnaires were used.

The finding showed that many people do not know the functions and importance of the operations of the Commission for Investigations of Zambia and many Zambians in the rural areas such as Districts have no ideas about the Commission for Investigations of Zambia (Ombudsman of Zambia). There is a need to strengthen the operations of Commission for Investigations by acting promptly on the recommendations make. It is therefore felt that there should be a deliberate effort to treat the recommendations with the urgency they deserve.

1. INTRODUCTION

A continental rising or increasing of interest has taken grip on the need to establish the office of the Ombudsman as an instrument of Public Defender that would prevent unethical practices in Africa’s public services. The office of the Ombudsman it is hoped would help the African public service to enthrone or place on a throne a self-check that would enforce accountability, prevent corruption and guide against maladministration. The Ombudsman office has been recognized as an office which in most Jurisdictions is provided for by the Constitution or by Act of Parliament and is headed by an Independent high level official called the Ombudsman. In Zambia the official is designated as the Investigator General.

Apart from history of individual case studies of countries on their own, instituting the portfolio of the Ombudsman into their public sector practice in Africa, a wholesome approach to building an alternative mechanism for protecting the ordinary citizens against powerful state bureaucracy do not become popular. It is also worth mentioning that the International Ombudsman Institution (IOI), created in the 1970s has promoted the spread of the International Ombudsman Institution. (IOI) operates as an international network with continental and sub-networks and its primary objective is to promote collaboration among member countries and experts. Currently, the Institute has over one-hundred (100) member countries.
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It has produced a “Handbook” for the training of newly appointed Ombudsmen worldwide. Countries are the African Ombudsman Association (AOA) 2003 and the Association of Francophone Ombudsmen and Mediators (AOMF) established in 2001. The third annual conference of the latter was held in Tunisia in 2003, attended by 63 Ombudsmen and Mediators. Dr. Mukoro Akpomuvire (2006)

1.1. Statement of the Problem

Zambia has enjoyed a stable political and liberal democratic system of government since the country gained independence from British colonial rule in 1964. Zambia was one of the first countries in Africa and in Southern Africa to establish the office of Ombudsman {Commission for Investigations}. The country has had many of free and fair elections since 1964 which characterized by peaceful electoral process, and fair management. Election results are generally acceptable and accepted by all and electoral disputes are satisfactory handled by the Courts of the land.

The {1990’s and 2010’s} witnessed the growth of populations from 9, million in the 80’s {1980} to 13.7million in year {2010.} National statistic report {2010} this growth in population also affects the growth in public service and other public institutions that engaged in employing the public Services as a whole.

The Zambian civil service has a problem coordinating its functions, resulting in failure to work effectively and leading to frustration and grievances among members of the public. This growth in both population and public service has resulted in corrupt practices, maladministration creeping into public administration system.. The civil service has changed and expanded with the growth of the economic problems, the public service is no longer effective and efficient as it was, however, against giving the offices too much power, the Ombudsman’s responsibilities are over looked by the corrupt officers, and the power should be set out clearly to protect public from maladministration.

Social justice requires both that the rules be fair, and also that people play by the rules, hence a society’s rules of ‘fair play’. People deserve to be rewarded for their effort and productivity, punished for their transgressions, Dr. Raphael Arinaitwe {May 10-12, 2010} treated as equal person’s, and have their basic needs met. However, because these principles may come into conflict, it is often difficult to achieve all of these goals simultaneously. In addition, there are errors that lead to administrative deficiencies and thus the need of Ombudsman to mediate.

1.2. Purpose of the Study

The study was intended to promote the importance of awareness regarding the role of Ombudsman Institutions in Zambia, looking at the legal framework that is governing the structures of Ombudsman system in Zambia and its limitations and jurisdiction, and understanding the role of ombudsman and appreciating its existence in Zambia.

1.3. Research Question
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Do many Zambians know the importance and function of the commissioner for investigation?

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The Conception Definition of Commission for Investigations {Zambia Ombudsman} Ombudsman is an official, usually {but not always} appointed by the government or by parliament, who is charged with the responsibility of representing the interests of the public by investigating and addressing complaints reported by individual citizens {Wikipedia: 2007}. The office of the ombudsman serves as an appropriate institution for dealing with complaints from the citizens. It has the mandate to conduct inquiries concerning instances of maladministration in the activities of government. Hill {2000} argued that the major work of the ombudsman is to serve as an agent for redress against arbitrary governments or administrative actions.

The duties of the Ombudsman in Zambia fall under the jurisdiction of the Commission for Investigations. {Zambia Ombudsman}. The Commission came into existence in 1973 and commenced operation in 1974. The Commission for Investigations Act Chapter 39 of the laws of Zambia established it. The Commission for Investigations redresses problems from members of the public and employers of public servants alike which takes place as a result of maladministration or abuse of office or authority by public institutions and officers. It offers a confidential, free and effective service due to the mandate granted it under the Commission for Investigation Act, and the Constitution of the Republic of Zambia.

The mandate of the ombudsman empowers him to investigate all public offices and officials up to the Vice President. In the main, the commission offers complainants many advantages in that the entire process of redressing dispute is at no cost to the complainant.

This encourages them to come forward to lodge their complaints. The commission is very independent because it is outside the control of any ministry or government officials, including the national legislature.

The Ombudsman of Zambia which is also called {Commission for Investigations} carry out their duties in two ways: first, they investigate complaints from members of the public; secondly they keep a brief watch on the agencies and institutions under their supervision {such as government offices, prisons, and courts}, and instigate investigations of their own. At the end of the investigation the Ombudsman’s powers are limited in making recommendations, but these are normally implemented.

However, administrative entities, like all other bodies which are creations of human beings and which are run by humans, are not infallible or wrong in matters of decision making or indeed in their actions. The Judicial System and the Parliamentary Committee Systems, while intended to provide checks and balances on the operation of Government, are not usually accessible to satisfy the day to day needs of ordinary people in Zambia. When people meet with difficulties in accessing basic services, back-up mechanism or system required to check the administrative actions of government institutions when the review framework which provides a more accessible and user friendly service to the people or the public at large, and which compliments that of the Judiciary and Parliament, has been identified worldwide is the office of the Ombudsman.

The Commission is by law or statute is mandated to receive and investigate complaints from the public against acts of maladministration perpetrated by public service institutions as earlier mentioned in Chapter one of this paper. It is an independent organ or body of the state. It is empowered to obtain all the necessary information to facilitate investigations, and thereafter recommend corrective action, so as to obtain redress for deserving complaints.

The Commission for Investigation {CFI} is charged with the responsibility of accepting and investigating complaints made by the public regarding the following:

---
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A] any person in the service of the republic of Zambia;\(^{17}\)

B] any member of a local authority;\(^{18}\)

C] any person in the service of any institution or organization whether established by or under an Act of Parliament or otherwise, in which the Government holds a majority of shares or exercises financial or administrative control, for example, National Housing Authority, Zambia National Electricity Co-operation (ZESCO), Zambia Telephone Co-operation (ZAMTEL), and many others;\(^{19}\)

D] any members and persons in the service of any Commission established by or under the Constitution or any Act of Parliament.\(^{20}\)

The Commission has no power whatsoever to question any decision of the Court or of any Judicial Officer in the exercise of his judicial functions, or any matter relating to the exercise of the prerogative of mercy. Subsequently to that, all complaints as frivolous, vexatious, and those that are not made in good faith are rejected.\(^{21}\)

3. METHODOLOGY

This chapter discusses the composition of the sample, data collection techniques, data collection procedures, and data analysis. It explains how the research was conducted; it also offers justifications for the methods used. The chapter also explains how the data were collected and processed.

3.1. Research Design

The research design used in this study was a case study and quantitative were used to collect data. Quantitative empirical method helped the researcher to contrast between the Ombudsman office and the public with questionnaires in which the respondents have to choose from various options from the questions. Qualitative helped the researcher with the understanding of Ombudsman rather than explanation; naturalistic observation rather than controlled measurement and subjective exploration of reality from the perspective of an insider as opposed to the outsider perspective that is predominant in the quantitative paradigm.

3.2. Target Population

The target population was made up of all classes of people from eight{8} Ministries within Lusaka province; one parastatal; National Pension Scheme Authority {NAPSA}, the office of the Ombudsman general and Kabulonga Boys and Girls Lusaka district, United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization {UNESCO}. The members of staff for each ministry were given questionnaires. Both the schools; the parastatal and Ombudsman {Investigator General Office} were given questionnaires? The institutions mentioned above were given ten {10} questionnaires forms to answer or fill in.

3.3. Study Sample and Sampling Techniques

The sample comprised of two schools kabulonga boys and girls five {5} member of staff each and five female and five male each, totaled ten {10} questionnaires to each school, and all the institutions mentioned in target population above. The study employed a probability procedure using a stratified random sampling technique in order to obtain a representative sample in terms of proportions of the various group of member of staff in those ministries and institutions. According to Bless, and Achola {1988}, the stratified random sampling technique was only ideal for a study with a small sample size. When one has taken random sample, the findings can be generalized to the universal from which the sampling was taken. According to {Pienaar 1980:359} that random sampling ensures that any

\(^{17}\)Ibid as above.

\(^{18}\)Ibid as above.

\(^{19}\)Commission for Investigations {Zambia’s Ombudsman}: Bookplate {2013} in title: If you have been unfairly treated by the Government Administration or experienced any maladministration in any organ of the Government, you may contact:

\(^{20}\)Ibid

\(^{21}\)Ibid
artificial or false effects will be distributed evenly across all cases and that such false effect will be neutralized. It was further reported by Achola and Bless that the method was rarely used in social sciences research, but due to the small size of this study sample, the researcher felt that it was appropriate to use this method.

3.4. Data Collection Instruments

The member of staff, in the Ministries and the Parastatal, which random sampling were picked by virtue of them being member of staff in the sample. The researcher collected the data from all people or groups in the Ministries mentioned in this study, for the sake of comparison, and the questionnaires answered questions such as: are all member of the public knows the functions of Ombudsman in Zambia, do member of the public have access to office of Ombudsman in Zambia, do Zambians knows what is an Ombudsman means. The aspect of comparison was very necessary or not to be ignored. More than one strategy/source was used in collecting data.

Assist in providing the necessary checks and balance in the research. The following method was employed to collect data:

1. The researcher administered questionnaires, to member of staff for all the eight {8} Ministries, two high school, two parastatal National Pension Scheme Authority {NAPSA} and Zambia’s Ombudsman Office.

3.5. Data Analysis

The data was collected processed and analyzed using SPSS through quantitatively methods.

The analysis was recorded and arranged with Pie charts and Frequency or tables were also used.

4. PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

This chapter contains the information collected through questionnaires.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1 All members of the public know the function of Commission for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 All members of the public have access to the Commission for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 All members of the public know what the Commission for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 Many Zambians know the importance of the Zambia Ombudsman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5 Have you ever heard of the Ombudsman in Zambia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6 Are you interested in having access to the Ombudsman office of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7 Would you like to tell others about Zambia Ombudsman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8 Do you think Zambia has Ombudsman offices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above shows the modified checklist used to collect information from the selected population.
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### TABLE AND CHART 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All members of the public knows the function of Commission for Investigations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### QUESTION THREE (3)

All members of the public knows what the commission for investigation (Ombudsman-Zambia office) 30% disagree and 25% strongly disagree with the above statement. 30% + 25% =55% who are not in agreement of the statement 14% uncertain, 5% agree and 2% strongly agree adding up to 21%

This chart shows that the number of people that disagree is 38% with the question that [All member of the Public knows the function of commission for investigations], while 23% strongly disagree, and 9% uncertain, but strongly-agree and agree have 1% each.

### TABLE AND CHART 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Many Zambians know the importance of the Zambia Ombudsman</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### QUESTION FOUR (4)

Many Zambians knows the importance of Ombudsman office of Zambia (Commission for investigation office) 39% Disagree and 9% strongly disagree which adds up to 48%. 18% are uncertain and 7% agree while 2% strongly agree with the statements giving a total of 27%

This graph shows 39% disagree that many zambians know the importanace of the zambian Ombudsman office. While 18% are uncertain and only 2% agree that many zambians knows the importance of the office of zambia Ombudsman, 7% agree and 9% strongly agree with the statement.
Awareness and Importance of the Role of the Office of the Ombudsman in Selected Institutions in Zambia

4.1. DISCUSSION

It is very clear that from the nature of the Cases Commission for Investigation [Zambia-Ombudsman] receive that not many people are acquainted with the Legal provisions relating to their work or operations and very few understand their specific mandates, which leads to Complaints being misdirected. This situation is attributed to their failure to publicize their work or operations.

The Institution {CI/ZO} office strives to attain Hundred Percent {100%} Cease resolution for each year. However, their Operations is hampered in many ways by problems relating to inadequate human resources, lack of essential equipment and embedded in the enabling Legislation. In addition, the Commission for Investigations [Zambia-Ombudsman] Suffers from the fact that it has only one central office. The net effect of these factors is to undermine the effective execution of the mandates of the Zambian-Ombudsman/Commission for Investigations operations. Cases are delayed, causing further injustices in the long run.

Zambian Ombudsman’s Office {Commission for Investigations} is a Creature of the Zambia Constitution and it was created out of a felt need to curb Human-rights abuse and injustices at various levels. Although, the Commission is not a dependent on any Government Ministry for its Operations, but it’s dependent on Government funding. It is an executive Commission which report directly to the republican President to halt an Investigation. The Officials of the Commission feel that it should be a Parliamentary Institutions and that it should be reporting to Parliament directly, which would guarantee the Commission true Autonomy.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In conclusion although, Judging by the Legal framework in place the situation is not alarming, it is important that the findings of Commission for Investigations [Zambia Ombudsman Office] be taken very seriously and positive steps be taken to ensure that the functions effectively. The Commission for Investigations [Zambian Ombudsman Office], is a government institution and, in the face of findings listed above, it is incumbent upon the government to come up with policies that will ensure such effective Functioning.

The following are, therefore, some recommendations that may help the problems at the Commission for Investigations [Zambian Ombudsman’s office].

1. In order to have the Commission for Investigations [Zambian Ombudsman], implement its mandates fully, there is an urgent need for the government to increase their findings. Further, the funding must be released on time and in full, not, as at present, in the form of piecemeal or gradually grants. Monthly grants have proved to be ineffective and lead to delays in the implementations of programmed

2. There is a need to strengthen the operations of Commission for Investigations by acting promptly on the recommendations make. It is therefore felt that there should be a deliberate effort to treat the recommendations with the urgency they deserve, especially as the issues relate to abuse of office, maladministration, social injustices in public Institutions
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