Biliary Pancreatitis: Current Practices and Guidelines
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Abstract: Acute pancreatitis is a life threatening disease and is a common gastrointestinal emergency. Abdominal pain is its predominant presenting symptom. Gall stone are the commonest cause of acute pancreatitis. Severe biliary pancreatitis is associated with a significant morbidity and mortality. Pathophysiology of biliary pancreatitis is still not fully understood. Various recommendations are there in literature for managing a patient of biliary pancreatitis. In this article we review the possible mechanism and current recommendations in acute biliary pancreatitis and its management.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is a severe disease associated with significant morbidity and mortality. There is no specific treatment for AP other than supportive care. The most common etiology of acute pancreatitis is gallstones and alcohol consumption [1]. Gallstone disease is one of the major causes of abdominal morbidity and mortality throughout the world. Ranging from asymptomatic cholelithiasis to potentially lethal pancreatitis, biliary disorders are notorious for causing several abdominal diseases. Especially small gall bladder calculi and sludge can migrate from the gallbladder into the duodenum [2]. The mechanism of gall stone induced acute pancreatitis is still not fully understood. Long-term management of cholelithiasis aims at minimizing the risk of new biliary events. Recurrence rates of biliary pancreatitis up to 61\% have been described when no definitive treatment was provided. Failure to provide definitive treatment exposes the patient to potentially fatal risks of biliary diseases [3]. This paper reviews the current literature and evidence on gall stone induce pancreatitis.

1.1. Possible Mechanisms of Gallstone-Induced Pancreatitis

In 1901 Eugene Opie gave the common channel hypothesis which proposed that a gallstone transiently lodged in the distal common channel of the ampulla of Vater allowed bile to reflux into the pancreatic duct [4]. Another proposal suggested that passage of a stone through the sphincter causes transient incompetence of sphincter allowing duodenal fluid and bile reflux into the pancreatic duct. A third possibility is obstruction of the pancreatic duct due to gall stones, leading to ductal hypertension. This causes minor ductal disruption, extravasation of pancreatic juice into the less alkaline interstitium of the pancreas, and promotion of enzyme activation [5]. In cases where other etiological factors are not evident, there is still the possibility of finding microlithiasis, seen as birefringent crystals, on microscopy visualisation of bile [6]. This occult microlithiasis is probably responsible for up to half of those with idiopathic acute pancreatitis.

1.2. Diagnosis of Gallstone-Induced Pancreatitis

Patient having acute pancreatitis usually presents with sudden onset of a severe constant epigastric pain radiating to mid back, additionally can be associated with nausea and vomiting. Patient has tachycardia and can develop fever. Till date, none of the available tests can be used as the gold standard for the assessment of the severity of AP. Patient suffering from gallstone-induced AP will have
blood ALT levels over 150 IU/L with a 48–93% sensitivity and 34–96% specificity. Serum amylase not specific for AP but a normal amylase level rules out an event of pancreatitis [7]. A serum lipase test should be performed in all patients with a suspected diagnosis. A 3-fold elevation of serum lipase from the upper limit of normal is required to make the diagnosis of AP [8]. Study done by Anderson et al showed blood trypsin-2-α1 antitrypsin complex/ trypsinogen-1 ratio as a useful marker for diagnosis of gallstone-induced AP[9]. Some role of measuring nesfatin-1 and leptin levels at admission has been reported in literature but it is not part of current guidelines to follow[10]. To assess the severity of AP and systemic response, there are various scoring system like Ranson’s score and Sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score. [Figure 1]

Table 1. An overview of imaging modalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imaging Technique</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contrast-enhanced computed tomography for Severe acute pancreatitis</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopic Ultrasonography for Gall stones</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRCP for CBD stones</td>
<td>81 – 100%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI for acute pancreatitis</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transabdominal USG for Gall stones</td>
<td>87 – 98%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revised Atlanta classification provides a CT severity index and grades acute pancreatitis into mild, moderate and severe [14]. Major drawback of CECT is that it is poorly sensitive in identifying the radiolucent gall stones.

1.5. MRI and MRCP

MR imaging can reliably depict features of pancreatitis equally sensitive to CT. Moreover, MRCP can image the biliary tree and pancreatic ductal system non-invasively and detect calculi in gall bladder as well as bile duct [11,13]. Unlike ERCP, it can be used to image proximal to the site of obstruction, depict any anatomical variations, congenital disorders or more commonly depict obstructive dilatation of biliary and pancreatic ducts in case of calculus at ampulla. Being non-invasive modality, it carries none of the risks associated with ERCP.

1.6. Ultrasonography

Transabdominal USG is an excellent imaging modality for detection of gall bladder calculi and biliary duct dilatation and in the hands of well experienced sonologists, these findings are almost never missed. A calculus is seen as echogenic reflection with posterior acoustic shadow. Additionally, acute cholecystitis can be diagnosed by observing the GB wall and pericholecystic fluid [11, 15]. Distal CBD can sometimes be difficult to image transabdominally due to bowel gas but
endoscopic ultrasound can overcome this problem and show the cause of proximal dilatation of bile duct such as a distal calculus [16]. Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) can be immediately followed up with ERCP for stone retrieval.

Transabdominal USG can also detect, though with decreased sensitivity as compared with CECT, changes of acute pancreatitis such as enlargement of pancreatic gland and fluid in peripancreatic, anterior pararenal and peritoneal spaces. The problem lies with the sentinel loop of transverse colon, which in cases of acute pancreatitis is a peristaltic and filled with gas and thus hiding the pancreas from view on a transabdominal USG. EUS can circumvent this and additionally image the pancreas directly from wall of stomach and duodenum and look for changes of pancreatitis. EUS is superior to ultrasonography in terms of ability to visualize common bile duct stones [16].

Table 1. Revised Atlanta Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CT grade</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Pancreatic necrosis</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Extrapancreatic complications</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Modified CT severity Index (Total points)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal pancreas</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
<td>2 points for each</td>
<td>Mild (0-2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation of pancreas or peri-pancreatic tissue</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>≤30%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Vascular complications</td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate (4-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic or peri-pancreatic fluid collection or peri-pancreatic fat necrosis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;30%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>GI involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td>Severe (8-10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.7. Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP)

There has been an ongoing debate for years on role of ERCP with sphincterotomy as early intervention in patients having biliary pancreatitis. However, pancreaticotography should be avoided as when ERCP is performed for gallstone-induced pancreatitis [17].

1.8. Management of patient with Biliary pancreatitis

Supportive care, including resuscitation with isotonic intravenous fluids (e.g., Ringer’s Lactate solution), pain control and mobilization should be the mainstay of treatment of patients with biliary pancreatitis. Prophylactic antibiotics are not recommended in patients with mild or severe acute pancreatitis. Antibiotics should be prescribed only in patients with infected necrosis confirmed by FNAC or if there is gas within a collection visualized on CT scan. Repeat CECT can be performed in case infection is suspected. Enteral nutrition should be started as soon as possible following admission preferably within 48 hrs of admission. A nasojejunal tube is not superior to a nasogastric feeding tube; thus commencement of feeds should not be delayed for the purpose of placing a nasojejunal feeding tube. Enteral feeding is recommended over parental nutrition [18].

2. Treatment of Biliary Stones in Gallstone-Induced Pancreatitis

2.1. Endoscopic Treatment

International Association of Pancreatology (IAP) Guidelines suggest that early ERCP is beneficial in patients with on-going cholestasis due to biliary obstruction. Early ERCP should be performed in gallstone induced acute pancreatitis when complications of cholangitis or prolonged passage disorder of the biliary tract is suspected [19]. Similarly various RCTs conducted by Zhou et al and Orisa et al have shown usefulness of ERCP in cases with severe gallstone-induced acute pancreatitis accompanied by a prolonged passage disorder of the bile duct[20,21].

2.2. Necessity and Timing of Cholecystectomy

After an episode of biliary pancreatitis, patients may have a recurrent episode of gall stone induced pancreatitis predicted to be as high as 32–31% or other biliary events, such as or biliary colics, acutecholecystitis, Obstructive jaundice due to CBD stones or cholangitis. In order to prevent these recurrentbiliary events, IAP guidelines advise performing cholecystectomy after biliary pancreatitis [22]. In case definitive treatment is not provided to the patient, there is a high possibility of fatal
risks of recurrent biliary diseases. The timing of cholecystectomy in patients with clinically severe pancreatitis, with local complications such as pancreatic necrosis and organ failure, is deliberately delayed until local complications have resolved, typically after some 6 weeks. In patients with mild pancreatitis, International guidelines advise cholecystectomy directly after recovery or in the first 2 to 4 weeks after discharge for mild biliary pancreatitis [22, 23].

2.3. Techniques of Cholecystectomy

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) has been introduced actively ingallstone-induced acute pancreatitis. Analysing the data of various retrospective studies, it was found that LC had a success rate of 94.5%, with the incidence of complications was 5.5% and the mortality rate was low of around 0.4% (0–2.5%), suggesting that LC is as successful as open surgery [24,25]. Most of the times while operating a patient with history of biliary pancreatitis the laparoscopic cholecystectomy is not as difficult as predicted by the surgeons. At present, the type of adequate procedures is decided as per the skills of the operating surgeon. Instead of ERCP, LC can be performed along with Intra Operative Cholangiography and in case the operating surgeon does not have the expertise of Laparoscopic CBD exploration then the procedure could be converted to open cholecystectomy otherwise laparoscopic CBD exploration is an equally efficient procedure [26]. There is a high possibility that LC will make remarkable progress and become a standardized procedure for managing gall stones in patients of biliary pancreatitis. Still, more studies and data is required concerning the safety, invasiveness, rate of successful execution and adequate selection of cases involved.

3. CONCLUSION

Gall stone induced pancreatitis is associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Supportive care is the initial mainstay in managing a patient with biliary pancreatitis. Several haematological, biochemical and radiological investigations are helpful in assessing the severity of pancreatitis and response to the treatment given to the patient. There is high possibility of recurrent episode of gall stone induced pancreatitis or other biliary problems which can be fatal at times. To avoid these complications, definitive treatment in form of cholecystectomy should be done in every patient. Timing of cholecystectomy depends on the severity of pancreatitis. With the advances in medicine laparoscopic cholecystectomy is to become the procedure of choice for biliary pancreatitis.
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