

## Perceived Stigma among Parents of Children Diagnosed with Covid-19

Dr. Manasa Trinath<sup>\*1</sup>, Dr. Lavanya uriti<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Eva Sampayan Lobelle<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, MNR College of Nursing, India

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, MIMS College of Nursing, A.P, India

<sup>3</sup>Associate professor, King Khalid University, Abha, KSA

**\*Corresponding Author:** Dr. Manasa Trinath, Associate Professor, MNR College of Nursing, India

**Abstract:** This descriptive study assessed parent's stigma regarding Covid-19 of their children. Fifty subjects were selected by convenience sampling. The study revealed that 38% reported mild stigma, 34% reported moderate stigma, and 28% of parents reported severe stigma. And there was a statistically ( $p=0.05$ ) significant association between level of stigma and age of the child when at admission to in the hospital. There was no statistically significant association between perceived stigma and other demographic variables including age of, respondent, father education, mother education, monthly income, number of siblings, type of family, age of the mother when child was born, gender of the child when Covid-19 has diagnosed, or age of the child at admission to the hospital.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Stigma is a mark that distinguishes a person as being deviant, flawed, spoiled or generally undesirable. Stigma is a characteristic that marks a person as different from others. It is selected by a social group to imply that an individual is flawed in some way. Any personal characteristic can be a basis for stigmatization or the development of stigma.

According to Goffman, (1993) most stigmas belong to one of the three broad classes:

- Physical differences (e.g. size, shape, cloths, hair)
- Blemishes of character (e.g. homelessness, unemployment)
- Tribal stigma (e.g. race, nationality, religion).

Stigma has been identified as a likely key factor health care services access and utilization, particularly under- utilization of existing services by some segments of society, most notably minority racial/ethnic children.

In child communicable health services research, the role of stigma has not been well-conceptualized though it is presumed to be significant. Literature on caregiver strain and burden of care has explored processes and implications of coping with children's emotional and behavioral disorders.

Stigma has become a marker for adverse experiences.

- Shame
- Blame
- Secrecy
- The “black sheep of the family” role
- Isolation
- Social exclusion
- Stereotypes
- Discrimination

### 2. BACKGROUND

While fewer children have been sick with COVID-19 compared to adults, children can be infected with the virus that causes COVID-19, can get sick from COVID-19, and can spread the virus that causes COVID-19 to others. Children, like adults, who have COVID-19 but have no symptoms (“asymptomatic”) can still spread the virus to others.

Most children with COVID-19 have mild symptoms or have no symptoms at all. However, some children can get severely ill from COVID-19. They might require hospitalization, intensive care, or a ventilator to help them breathe. In rare cases, they might die.

Social stigma in the context of health is the negative association between a person or group

of people who share certain characteristics and a specific disease. In an outbreak, this may mean people are labeled, stereotyped, discriminated against, treated separately, and/or experience loss of status because of a perceived link with a disease. Such treatment can negatively affect those with the disease, as well as their caregivers, family, friends and communities. People who don't have the disease but share other characteristics with this group may also suffer from stigma. The current COVID-19 outbreak has provoked social stigma and discriminatory behaviors against people of certain ethnic backgrounds as well as anyone perceived to have been in contact with the virus.

Stigma leads others to avoid living, socializing, or working with, renting to or employing people with communicable disorders – Covid-19.

Before any research can be started whether it is a single study for an extended project, a literature review of previous studies and experiences related to the proposed investigations should be done.

One of the most satisfying aspect of the literature review is the contribution, it makes to the new knowledge, insight and general scholarship of the researches. Several textbooks, journal reports, articles circulations and website were referred to collect maximum information to lay foundation to study.

### 2.1. Literature Related to Perceived Stigma

*Angela Parcesepe, MPH, MSW (2011)*, conducted a study regarding “stigma on children’s disorders” in the United States. They used probability U.S National samples of non-institutionalized adults or children and (2) reported findings relevant to stigma of respiratory among children. Two reviewers working independently coded studies aims, sampling techniques, measures, results, and implications. Results were known to be nine articles met selection criteria. All studies were cross-sectional and most used vignette methodology, seven studies asked adults about perceptions illness among children, two studies asked children about perception respiratory illness among children, and one study compared adult’s perceptions or respiratory illness among adults and children. Low levels of knowledge and reorganization of common disorders among children were reported. Finally she concluded that stigma and low levels of recognition and knowledge of children’s mental disorders were widespread.

These findings can inform interventions to reduce stigma by increasing the public’s knowledge of childhood disorders and enhancing positive social contact with people with respiratory illness.

*Sharac J, Mc Crone P, Clement S et al. (2010)*, conducted study on “open the economic impact of social stigma and discrimination”. Sample size is 130 between the age group of 25-40 years. Data was gathered through history collection and perception of respiratory illness. Results were shown that medical illness stigma was found to impact negatively on employment, income, public views about resource allocation and health care costs. They concluded that stigma and discrimination regarding health problems leads to adverse economic effects for people with these conditions. Interventions that reduced stigma may therefore also be economically beneficial.

*Elaine Brohan, Mike Slade, Sarah Clement et al. (2010)*, conducted study on “Experiences of respiratory illness stigma, prejudice and discrimination”. Sample was 432 adolescents of same age, gender, socio-economic status selected through random sampling technique. Seven of the located measures addressed aspects of perceived stigma, 10 aspects of experienced stigma and 5 aspects of self-stigma. Results revealed that 72% had perceived stigma, 18% had experienced stigma, and 10% had self-stigma.

*Abraham Mukolo, Craig Anne Hcflinger, Kenneth. A. Wallston et al., (2009)*, conducted study on the “Stigma of Childhood respiratory Disorders”. Sample consists of 120 between the age group of 25-40 years by systematic sampling technique. They found 3 dimensions of stigma, (negative stereotypes, devaluation and dissemination), two contents of stigma (self, general, public). Results revealed that stigma experiences that were casually linked to how parent /care giver cope with children recovering problems such as seeking professional help.

*Arthur H. Crisp, Michael G. Gelder, Susannah Rix, et al. (2004)* conducted study on (“Stigmatization of childhood illness”). Sample size is 1737 above the age group of 16 years, data collected by interview method. Results shown that negative opinions indiscriminately over emphasize social handicap that can accompany medical disorders. They contribute to social isolation, distress and difficulties in employment faced by suffers.

*American Psychiatric Association, Luckasson, Radford & Park (2003)*, conducted study on “Assessment of stigma in care giver burden”, a randomized controlled trials at America among 1214 care givers between age of 25-40 years was done by questionnaire with 7 items scale. Results revealed that 70% of care givers felt that most people devalued their children, while 29% felt that most people devalued them and 1% reflects presence of stigma.

*Shannon M. Couture & David L. Penn (2003)* conducted a study on “Interpersonal contact and the stigma of communicable illness). Sample size was 130, randomly selected between the age group of 20-40 years with SRS, TB. Data collected through both retrospective and prospective contact. Results revealed that their experience of stigmatization or responsible for their feeling of discouragement, hurt, angry and for lowering self-esteem.

*Micheal R. Phillips, MD, Veronica Pearson DPhil, Feifei LI, RN et al. (2002)* conducted a study on “Stigma and expressed emotion”. The sample consisted of 608 patient based on responses to 10 open-ended questions about stigma trained coders rated the effect of stigma on both patients and family members. Family members reported that stigma had a moderate to severe effect on the lives of patients over the previous 3 months in 60% of the interviews, and on the lives of other family members in 26% of the interviews.

## 2.2. Literature Related to Questionnaire

*Afia Ali, Andre Strydom, Angela Haniotis et al, (2008)* conducted a study on a “Measure of perceived stigma in people with communicable diseases”. Sample consists of 109 people. Items with limited variability in responses and kappa coefficients lower than 0.4 were dropped. Exploratory factor analysis revealed 2 factors – perceived discrimination (items and reaction to discrimination (4 items). Study concluded that this instrument further helps in understanding the impact of stigma in people with intellectual disabilities in clinical and research settings.

*Micheal King, Societies Dinos et al in 2007*, conducted a study on “Development of standardized measure of stigma of childhood illness”. They used qualitative data from interviews with mental health service users to develop a pilot scale with 42 items. They recruited 193 service users in order to standardize the scale. The final 28 items stigma scale has a 3 factors structure. The first concern

discrimination, the second disclosure, and third potential aspect of mental illness. Stigma scales scores were negatively correlated with global self esteem. Here to conclude, this self report questionnaire which can be completed in 5-10 min, may help to understand about the rule of stigma psychiatric illness in research and clinical setting.

*Beown SA, conducted study (2007)* on factors and measurements of mental illness stigma. Sample size was 774 college students, age group between 18-26 years were randomly selected and exploratory factor analysis was conducted. 20 items from the AQ space provide reliable and valid measurement of 4 important aspects of stigmatization attitudes/beliefs towards the mentally ill. Accurate measure of these attitudes/beliefs will be critical to more fully understanding the stigma process and developing effective strategies of address stigmas.

## 3. METHODOLOGY

Using a convenience sampling data was collected from 50 parents with Covid-19 diagnosed children, at a hospital in India Parents of children diagnosed with Covid-19, who were willing to participate in the study and who could understand either Telugu and English languages were selected. Written consent was taken from the subjects and the purpose of the study was explained.

A stigma scale, which measures the perceived stigma of parents regarding COVID-19, was based on the questionnaire used to study stigma among nursing staff during the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) outbreak, and comprises 13 items, each of which is scored on a 5-point Likert scale. Stress among parents was assessed by the Perceived Stress Scale-10 (PSS-10) which contains 10 items, each of which is scored on a 5-point Likert scale. The total score ranges between 0 and 40, with a higher score indicating higher perceived stress.

The link to the questionnaire was sent through Whats App and other social media to the investigators' contacts, and the participants were encouraged to forward the survey to other staff members working in different dialysis units. The data collection was initiated on April 26, 2020 at 7:30 pm IST.

Options were strongly agree, agree, cannot say, disagree, strongly disagree, based on sum of the gained marks, students were categorized.

Numerical values were attached to answers and the resultant scores were categorized as follows:

Stigma
Mild stigma: 0– 26 (0-33%)
Moderate stigma: 27– 52(34-66%)

The pilot study was conducted and the findings of the study revealed that tool was reliable, and feasible for use in conduct the main study. The

reliability score 'r'= 0.978. Formula used to calculate the reliability is:

$$r = \frac{N \sum XY - (\sum X)(\sum Y)}{\sqrt{[N \sum X^2 - (\sum X)^2][N \sum Y^2 - (\sum Y)^2]}}$$

The data was analyzed by using descriptive statistics such as frequency and percentage

distribution and inferential statistics such as Chi-square test. Formula used for Chi-square is:

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$$


## 4. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

The results of the study are as follows:

reported mild stigma, 17 (34.0%) reported moderate stigma, 14 (28.0%) reported severe stigma.

- Among 50 selected parents, 19 (38.0%)

Level of Stigma					
Mild stigma (0-33%)		Moderate stigma (34-66%)			Severe stigma (67-100%)
f	%	F	%	F	%
19	38%	17	34%	14	28%



## 5. CONCLUSIONS

The present study aimed to assess the perceived stigma among the parents of children diagnosed with Covid-19 in a hospital in India, our finding that greater perceptions of stigma towards parents suggests that in addition to posing a barrier to the recovery of people with the illness, stigma erodes the morale of the family members who help care for them. The finding that social support and avoidance coping together largely explained the experience of stigma offers a plausible explanation for the severe stigmatized symptoms commonly reported by up to 28% of parents of children diagnosed with Covid-19: parents may retreat from social support and

adopt avoidance coping in order to fend off anticipated rejection and/or embarrassment. Because social support is a well-established buffer against recurrence of stigmatized symptoms, withdrawal from potential supporters as an adaptation to stigmatization illustrates the double jeopardy confronting parents of children diagnosed with Covid-19, the study findings supported by study conducted to assess the stigma among parents of children diagnosed with Covid-19 conducted by David E. Gray. Results revealed that Covid-19 has uniquely stigmatizing aspects because of the extremely disruptive nature of Covid-19 symptoms, the normal physical appearance of children, and the



lack of public knowledge and understanding regarding the nature of Covid-19. Most parents perceived themselves to be stigmatized by their child's illness.

The present study revealed,

- 19 (38%) had mild stigma,
- 17 (34%) had moderate stigma and
- 14 (28%) had severe stigma.

Recommendations or of the study:

- There are efforts to educate individuals about the non-stigmatizing facts and why they should not stigmatize.
- There are efforts to legislate against discrimination.
- There are efforts to mobilize the participation of community members in anti-stigma efforts, to maximize the likelihood that the anti-stigma messages have relevance and effectiveness, according to local contexts

## REFERENCES

- [1] Koh D, Lim MK, Chia SE, et al. Risk perception and impact of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) on work and personal lives of healthcare workers in Singapore: what can we learn? *Med Care*. 2005;43(7):676–682. doi: 10.1097/01.mlr.0000167181.36730.cc. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- [2] Hernandez SHA, Morgan BJ, Parshall MB. Resilience, stress, stigma, and barriers to mental healthcare in US Air Force nursing personnel. *Nurs Res*. 2016;65(6):481–486. doi: 10.1097/NNR.0000000000000182. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- [3] Bagcchi S. Stigma during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020;20(7):782. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30498-9. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- [4] Karkar A, Dammang ML, Bouhaha BM. Stress and burnout among hemodialysis nurses: a single-center, prospective survey study. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl*. 2015;26:12–18. doi: 10.4103/1319-2442.148712. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- [5] Ma Y, Diao B, Lv X, Zhu J, Liang W, Liu L, Bu W, Cheng H, Zhang S, Shi M, Ding G. 2019 novel coronavirus disease in hemodialysis (HD) patients: report from one HD center in Wuhan China. *medRxiv*. 2020 doi: 10.1101/2020.02.24.20027201. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- [6] Quintaliani G, Reboldi G, Di Napoli A, et al. Exposure to novel coronavirus in patients on renal replacement therapy during the exponential phase of COVID-19 pandemic: survey of the Italian Society of Nephrology. *J Nephrol*. 2020;33:725–736. doi: 10.1007/s40620-020-00794-1. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- [7] Park JS, Lee EH, Park NR, et al. Mental health of nurses working at a government-designated hospital during a MERS-CoV outbreak: a cross-sectional study. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs*. 2018;32(1):2–6. doi: 10.1016/j.apnu.2017.09.006. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- [8] Cohen S, Williamson G. Perceived stress in a probability sample of the United States. In: Spacapan S, Oskamp S, editors. *The social psychology of health: Claremont symposium on applied social psychology*. Newbury Park: Sage; 1988. pp. 31–67. [Google Scholar]
- [9] Uvais NA, Shihabudheen P, Bishurul Hafi NA. Perceived stress and stigma among doctors working in COVID-19-designated hospitals in India. *Prim Care Companion CNS Disord*. 2020;22(4):20br02724. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

**Citation:** Dr. Manasa Trinath, Dr. Lavanya uriti, Dr. Eva Sampayan Lobelle. *Perceived Stigma among Parents of Children Diagnosed with Covid-19*. *ARC Journal of Nursing and Healthcare*. 2020; 6(2):23-27. DOI: doi.org/ 10.20431/2455-4324.0602004.

**Copyright:** © 2020 Authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.