

# Evaluation of Patients with Asymptomatic Nares Colonization with Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus at Hospital Admission

Mustafa Avcu<sup>1</sup>, Mehmet Metin<sup>1</sup>, Fikriye Milletli Sezgin<sup>2</sup>, Harun Soyalic<sup>1</sup>, Alper Dilci<sup>3</sup>, Vural Fidan<sup>3\*</sup>, Omer Binay<sup>4</sup>

> <sup>1</sup>Ahi Evran Univ ENT Dept Turkey <sup>2</sup>Ahi Evran Univ Microbiology Dept Turkey <sup>3</sup>Eskisehir Yunus Emre Gov Hosp ENT Dept Turkey <sup>4</sup>Corlu Gov Hosp ENT Dept Turkey

\*Corresponding Author: Vural Fidan MD, Eskisehir Yunus Emre Gov Hosp ENT Dept Turkey, Email: vuralf@mynet.com

**Abstract:** Asymptomatic colonisation with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is a risk factor for MRSA that is a significant nosocomial pathogen of nosocomial infection. We evaluated the effect of asymptomatic nares MRSA colonization on the improvement of MRSA infection.

**Methods:** Volunteer persons who admitted to the otorhinolaryngology department of Ahi Evran university hospital were included this study. Nares samples were obtained for culture at admission.

**Results:** Of the 658 patients who had cultures of nares samples performed at admission, 13.2% were colonized with S. Aureus. Methicillin resistance was detected in 11.4% of patients with S. Aureus colonization at admission.

**Conclusion:** MRSA colonization of nares improves the risk for MRSA infection. Detecting MRSA colonization before hospitalisation of patients could helpful to reduce the risk for subsequent MRSA infection.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Staphylococcus aureus and its resistant form of methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) are the most prevalent nasocomial pathogens that increase morbidity and mortality in long hospitalisation and cause increasing in hospital stay and costs (1,2). Staphylococci may be present as normal flora members in skin and mucous membranes, but may cause serious infections (3,4,5). About 20% of the population are continuously nasal S. aureus carriers, while about 20% never colonize and the remaining 60% become carriers intermittently. Factors such as chronic diseases and hospitalization increase the nasal S. aureus carriage rate. S. aureus is endemic in many hospitals in our country and in lots of other countries (6). Nosocomial infections due to S. aureus are becoming an increasingly important problem due to the increased resistance of methicillin and glycopeptide to this strain. Important feature of S. aureus is property of biofilm formation besides many disease-causing mechanisms. Biofilm formation begins with the attachment of

bacteria to the surface via the extracellular matrix slime layer and is an important feature in terms of infection development. The ability of multiplying of bacteria within the biofilm structure leads to resistance to both antimicrobials and host defense. They lead to serious health problems, especially in catheterized patients. Methicillin resistance can alter the biofilm phenotype and cause serious clinical problems (7,8,9). Carriers should be treated to control the MRSA epidemic and prevent recurrent infections, especially in health care facilities. For this purpose, administration of nasal mupirocin (psodomonic acid) three times a day for five days provides eradication (10,11). However, due to inappropriate use of mupirocin, mupirocin resistance has started to develop in S. aureus strains in recent years (12).

#### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A total of 658 nasal swab samples from volunteer patients who were admitted to the department of otolaryngology of Ahi Evran University Training and Research Hospital between June 2015 and December 2015 were included in this study. Informed consent were obtained from all subjects before admission to the study. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Clinical Investigations of Turgut Özal University in accordance with Helsinki Declaration and numbered 99950669 / 32-9.01.2015.

Nasal swab specimens were delivered to the laboratory within the appropriate conditions. Swab specimens were cultured on medium with mannitol, the selective medium for S. Aureus isolates. S. aureus was identified by coagulase test in yellow colonies. Methicillin resistance was tested according to the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) recommendations using cefoxitin ( $30 \mu g$ ) disc. MecA gene presence was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method in isolates that were positive for methicillin resistance (13).

## 3. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Age groups were compared with the Mann-Whitney U test because of the age variable did not show a normal distribution. Mean errors and standard errors for continuous variables were calculated and frequencies (in percent) for categorical variables were calculated. Univariate analyzes were performed using Chi-square test, Fisher exact probability test, or student t test. Multivariate analysis was performed using logistic regression to assess the relationship between affecting factors and S. aureus and MRSA nasal carriage. Statistical significance level was accepted as p <0,05. Data analysis was done with SPSS 17.0 statistical package program (SPSS, Version 17, Chicago IL, USA). The presence of S. aureus and datas of methicillin resistance has been expressed in descriptive statistics.

## 4. **RESULTS**

S. aureus were detected in 87 of the 658 nasal swab samples (13.2%). Methicillin resistance was detected in 10 isolates (11.4%) of 87 breeding isolates.

Characteri-	MRSA	MSSA	OR and 95%	Р
stic	(n =10)	(n =77)	CIs	value
Age	40.7	47.1	n/a	0.04
_	(24-60)	(18–71)		
Gender:	60.0%	53.2%	1.2(0.87,1.6)	0.49
female				
Allergy	30.0%	25.6%	1.3	0.67
			(0.82,2.1)	

Characteristics and demographics of patients with SA detection

# 5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

It is known that S. aureus carriers have a very important role in the epidemiology of staphylococcal infections. S. aureus carriage is usually in the form of nasal carriage. Staphylococci located in the nose are colonized by people through the hands of people and if there is a predisposition, it can lead to lifethreatening infections. The colonization of S. Aureus in the nose is problematic because it can lead to outbreaks, show multiple resistance, and the cost of treatment is high. Patients, doctors, nurses and other health care workers who stay in the hospital environment for a long time are more likely to be S. aureus carriers than the general population (3,5,8). This can be a source of serious hospital infections, especially for hospitalized patients who are exposed to invasive procedures, and sometimes can lead to severe epidemic episodes (3,14). In healthy adults, S. aureus carriage may range from 10% to 35%, while this rate may be up to 43.6%from 19% for health care workers. When our study was evaluated as a reflection of the population, S. aureus detection rate was found to be 13,2%, unlike other studies. In addition, it was found that 14.4% continuous carriage, 16.3% intermittent carriage, 52.9% rarely carriage and 16.3% never carry S. aureus in the community as a whole (15). MRSA was first discovered in 1961 and then spread widely to the world (16). In the United States, the rate of methicillin resistance in S. aureus strains has nearly reached 60% in 2003 with an average resistance rate of 50% between 1998 and 2002 (12). In Europe, the rate of methicillin resistance in S. aureus strains isolated from infected patients increased from 21% in 2002 to 23% in 2005 and then decreased to 19% in 2008 (17). MRSA carriage can be determined as 2.4% -22.5% in health care workers different from normal society (10,11,18,19,20). Among our study, MRSA detection rate was found to be 11.4%, unlike other studies. These ratios may vary considerably from the study population (20,21,22). As we have seen in our study, there was no significant difference in the distribution of nasal S.aureus carriage according to different

occupational groups like some studies (22,23). On the other hand, in another study, when nose and hand S. aureus carriage were examined in medical and non-medical health care workers, there was no difference in nasal carriage between the two groups, whereas antibiotic resistance was detected in S. aureus strains isolated from medical health care workers (24). In our study, no significant difference was found between the hospitalization, history of allergy and S. aureus carriage. It has been found that there is an association between virulence and slime production in the majority of epidemiologic studies. In most of the studies slime production being detected at a higher level in MRSA. It is also reported that the genetic control mechanisms in the gene regions forming the biofilm are different in strains that are resistant to methicillin (25,26,27). S. aureus continues to be an important cause of both community-acquired and hospital-acquired infections. In particularly, health care workers have an important role in the transmission of the agent. It is worrisome that antibiotic resistant S. aureus strains are increasing day by day.

#### REFERENCES

- Gastmeier P., F. Schwab, E. Meyer and C. Geffers. 2012. [Excess mortality and prolongation of stay due to bloodstream infections caused by multiresistant pathogens in Germany]. Dtsch. Med. Wochenschr. 137: 1689–1692.
- [2] Lodise T.P. and P.S. McKinnon. 2005. Clinical and economic impact of methicillin resistance in patients with Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 52: 113–122.
- [3] Olsen K, Sangvik M, Simonsen GS, Sollid JUE, Sundsfjord A, Thune I, et al. Prevalence and population structure of Staphylococcus aureus nasal carriage in healthcare workers in a general population. The Tromso Staph and Skin Study. Epidemiol Infect. 2013; 141:143-52.
- [4] Knox J., A.C. Uhlemann and F.D. Lowy. 2015. Staphylococcus aureus infections: transmission within households and the community. Trends Microbiol. 23: 437–444.
- [5] Samanta D., J.L. Batte, S.N. Brown, A.G. Crosby, L.A. Marcos and M.O. Elasri 2015. Molecular and phenotypic characterization of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates causing bacteremia at a major hospital in southern Mississippi. Am. J. Infect. Control. 43: 540–542.
- [6] Kardaş Ozdemir F, Şahin M. Kars ili hastane çalişanlarında nazal Staphylococcus aureus

taşiyiciliği ve metisilin direncinin araştirilmasi. Firat Universitesi Sağlik Bilimleri Tip Dergisi. 2009; 23(2):71-5.

- [7] Elie-Turenne MC, Fernandez H, Mediavilla JR, Rosenthal M, Mathema B, Singh A, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of Staphylococcus aureus colonization among health care professionals in an urban teaching hospital. Infection Control Hospital Epidemiology. 2010; 31:574-80.
- [8] Kurtoğlu GM, Guzelant A, Kaya M, Keşli R, Baysal B. Sağlik calişanlarinda Staphylococcus aureus burun kolonizasyonu, antimikrobiyal duyarliliklari ve mupirosin etkisinin araştirilmasi. İnfeksiyon Dergisi. 2009; 23(3):127-31.
- [9] Omuse G, Kariuki S, Revathi G. Unexpected abcesence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus nasal carriage by healthcare workers in a tertiary hospital in Kenya. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2012; 80:71-3.
- [10] Coates T, Bax R, Coates A. Nasal decolonization of Staphylococcus aureus with mupirosin: strengths, weaknesses and future prospects. J Antimicrob Chemother 2009; 64: 9–15
- [11] Simor AE, Stuart TL, Louie L, et al. Mupirosin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains in Canadian hospitals. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007;51:3880-3886
- [12] National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS). 2004. System Report, data summary from January 1992 through June 2004, issued October 2004. Am. J. Infect. Control. 32: 470– 485.
- [13] Geha DJ, Uhl JR, Gustaferro CA, Persing DH (1994) Multiplex PCR for identification of methicillin-resistant staphylococci in the clinical laboratory. J Clin Microbiol 32:1768– 1772.
- [14] Renushri E, Saha A, Nagaraj, Krishnamurthy V. Inducible clindamycin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus isolated from nursing and pharmacy students. J Lab Physicians. 2011; 3(2):89-92.
- [15] Riewerts Eriksen NH, Espersen F, Thamdrup Rosdahl V, et al. Carriage of Staphylococcus aureus among 104 healty persons during a 19month period. Epidemiol Infect 1995;115:51-60
- [16] Chen C.C. and S.E. Pass. 2013. Risk factors for and impact of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus nasal colonization in patients in a medical intensive care unit. Am. J. Infect. Control. 41: 1100–1101.
- [17] de Kraker M.E., V. Jarlier, J.C. Monen, O. E. Heuer, van de N. Sande and H. Grundmann. 2013. The changing epidemiology of

bacteraemias in Europe: trends from the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 19: 860–868.

- [18] Gemmell C, Edwards DI, Fraise AP, et al. Guidelines for the prophylaxis and treatment of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) infections in the UK. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006; 57:589-608
- [19] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute: Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, Twentieth Informational Supplement, M100-S20, CLSI, Wayne, PA 2010.
- [20] Kluytmans J, van Belkum A, Verbrugh H. Nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus: epidemiology, underlying mechanisms, and associated risks. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1999;10: 505–520
- [21] Shopsin B, Mathema B, Martinez J, et al. Prevalence of methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus in the community. J Infect Dis 2000;359-362
- [22] Gul M, Ciragil P, Aral M. Kahramanmaras Sutcu İmam Universitesi Tip Fakultesi Hastane

personelinde burun ve el Staphylococcus aureus tasiyiciliği. ANKEM Derg. 2004;18:36-39.

- [23] Cortes-Penfield N, Oliver NT, Hunter A, et al. Daptomycin and combination daptomycinceftaroline as salvage therapy for persistent methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia. Infect Dis. 2018; 6:1-5
- [24] Cespedes C, Miller M. Quagliarello B, et al. Differences between Staphylococcus aureus isolates from medical and nonmedical hospital personnel. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:2594-2597
- [25] Pozzi C, Waters EM, Rudkin JK et al. Methicillin Resistance Alters the Biofilm Phenotype and Attenuates Virulence in Staphylococcus aureus Device-Associated Infections. PLoS Pathogens 2012; 8:1-15.
- [26] O'Neill E, Pozzi C, Houston P et al. Association between methicillin susceptibility and biofilm regulation in Staphylococcus aureus isolates from device-related infections. J Clin Microbiol 2007;45(5):1379-88.
- [27] Zhou S, Chao X, Fei M, Dai Y, Liu B. Analysis of S. Epidermidis icaA and icaD genes by polymerase chain reaction and slime production:a case control study. BMC Infectious Diseases 2013, 13:242.

**Citation:** Mustafa Avcu, Mehmet Metin, Fikriye Milletli Sezgin, Harun Soyalic, Alper Dilci, Vural Fidan et al. Evaluation of Patients with Asymptomatic Nares Colonization with Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus at Hospital Admission. ARC Journal of Immunology and Vaccines. 2(2): 7-10.

**Copyright:** © Authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.