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Abstract

Objective: To assess the efficacy and safety of bilateral uterine artery ligation and square sutures versus a novel combined suture to control bleeding from the placental bed in complete placenta previa at cesarean section.

Methods: One hundred and six women with postpartum hemorrhage during cesarean section for placenta previa centralis, were included and randomly assigned into two groups, the first (n=54) underwent bilateral uterine artery ligation and square sutures in each uterine wall without opposition, and the second (n=52) underwent a novel combined suture that traverses the right lateral wall making a square taking the uterine artery on the right side. Arrest of bleeding from the placental bed with no need for further surgical interventions, the rate of subsequent intrauterine synechiae and menstrual irregularity after three months was the main outcome measures. Data was collected and tabulated.

Results: The novel procedure was successful in 48 patients (92.3%) compared to 81.5% in the first group with shorter duration of the procedure (12.4±2.8 minutes) and shorter hospital stay (4.8±2.1 days). The rate of subsequent intrauterine synechiae was 9.6% and of menstrual irregularity was 7.8% after the novel procedure.

Conclusion: The novel combined suture is easily applicable and effectively controls bleeding from the placental bed after failure of conservative measures, with no appreciable increase in short term maternal morbidity. Larger multicenter trials are warranted to enforce or refute these findings.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Placenta previa becomes a major health problem secondary to significant increases in maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality with estimated prevalence between 0.2-0.3% of all pregnancies at the third trimester (1, 2).

Placenta previa and accreta may cause massive life-threatening intrapartum and postpartum hemorrhage which necessitates multidisciplinary management plans to achieve better obstetric outcome (3, 4).

The traditional surgical techniques to achieve hemostasis if severe bleeding ensues, is rapid resort to artery ligation or cesarean hysterectomy (1, 4). Several uterine compression techniques were introduced to conserve the uterus including B-Lynch suture (5), Cho multiple square sutures (6) and Hayman compression sutures (7) with variable success rates. Fewer studies in the literature address the use of uterine compression sutures to stop bleeding from the placental bed in placenta previa (8, 9).

The aim of this study was to assess the efficacy and safety of bilateral uterine artery ligation and square sutures versus a novel combined suture to control bleeding from the placental bed in complete placenta previa at cesarean section.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This randomized parallel group study was conducted at the Operating room at the...
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Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Menoufia University, Shbin El-kom city, Menoufia governorate, Egypt in the period between the middle of October 2016 and the end of May 2017.

The Ethical committee for medical research at Menoufia Faculty of Medicine reviewed and formally approved the study protocol with all participants signed an informed consent form prior to commencement of the study.

The sample size was actually calculated based on assumption of expected difference between both groups of 10% regarding the success rate in arresting uterine hemorrhage. This would be detectable using a sample size of 50 patients per group with 80% power and α level of 0.05.

The study was conducted on 113 healthy women who presented with the diagnosis of placenta previa centralis before delivery. (Figure 1: CONSORT flow diagram)

Figure 1. CONSORT Flow Diagram

Patients with known bleeding tendency, morbid obesity, heart disease, renal or hepatic diseases, any severe chronic disease, multiple pregnancy as well as patients with placenta accreta at cesarean section diagnosed on clinical basis (difficult removal of the placenta) were excluded from the study. Randomization in 1:1 ratio was carried out using computer-generated simple random tables with patients allocated into two groups:

Group 1 (n=54): underwent bilateral uterine artery ligation and square sutures. A bilateral uterine artery ligation followed by square sutures were taken in each uterine wall without opposition of the anterior and posterior walls to avoid closure of the cervical canal which is different from those previously described by Cho (6). (As illustrated in figure 2).

Figure 2. Bilateral uterine artery ligation and square sutures

Group 2 (n=52): combined suture placed in the lower uterine segment (LUS) to include the right uterine artery and part of the LUS.

After transverse incision, delivery of the fetus and complete removal of the placenta, the incised edge of the anterior wall of the LUS was grasped with an Allis clamp and pulled forward and upward. The combined suture was applied using no.1, vicryl 50-mm curved, round needle to place the first stitch entry through the anterior wall of the LUS one cm medial to its margin and about 6cm below the edge of uterine incision and just above the opening of the cervix. The needle penetrates the whole thickness of both anterior and posterior uterine walls (point A). Then from the insertion point of point A, the needle passes from posterior to anterior through a vascular window in the broad ligament lateral to uterine artery and the knot tied anteriorly at point A and not cut leaving long part of thread material for final knot.

The needle passes upward above point A to reach (point B) one cm medial to the margin of the lower segment and about two cm below the edge of uterine incision then penetrates the whole thickness of both anterior and posterior uterine wall from anterior to posterior. Then from insertion point of point B the needle pass from posterior to anterior through a vascular window in the broad ligament lateral to uterine artery which not tied.

From the insertion point of point C the needle passes downward to reach (point D) which lies one cm medial and at the same level of point A.
to penetrate the whole thickness of the uterus from posterior to anterior (point D) to emerge anteriorly.

Finally the knots were tied anteriorly at level of point A to oppose the anterior and posterior walls in the lower segment of the uterine cavity (As illustrated in figure 3)

Both surgical procedures were started after initial conservative measures and administration of uterotonics have been failed.

**In Either Technique**

The patency of cervical canal was confirmed by Hegar dilator, artery clamp or Nilaton catheter before closure of the caesarean section incision. The uterine incision and abdominal wall layers were closed as usual.

Intravenous triple antibiotic therapy was administered for 72 hours in the form of Ampcillin 1 gm every 6 hours, Garamycin 80 mg every 8 hours and Metronidazole infusion bottle 500 mg every 12 hours.

On the third postoperative day and before discharge from hospital, trans-abdominal ultrasound was performed using Mindray DP-30 portable ultrasound machine (Mindray, China), to ensure absence of fluid or blood accumulating in the uterine cavity.

Follow up visits every 2-3 weeks was attained until 12 weeks postpartum to assess any adverse effects of the procedure. Office hysteroscopy and Saline infusion sonohystrography (SIS) using Mindray DP-30 portable ultrasound machine (Mindray, China), were done to all cases to exclude intra uterine synechia by different observers who were blinded to the surgical procedure performed at the time of delivery.

**3. Outcome Measures**

**3.1. Primary Outcome**

Efficacy of the procedure (defined as arrest of bleeding with no need for further interventions).
3.2. Secondary Outcome

Included duration of the procedure and short term adverse effects. Menstrual irregularity was defined as frequency of menstruation less than 21 days or more than 35 days in the preceding months.

4. Statistical Analysis

Data was analyzed with an IBM computer using the SPSS 22 statistical software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Student's t test was used for comparing means of two normally distributed continuous variables while Mann-Whitney test was used for non-parametric variables. Chi square and Fischer’s exact tests were used for comparison of categorical variables. P-value ≤ 0.05 was statistically significant and < 0.001 was highly significant.

5. Results

Table (1) depicts patients’ characteristics. There was no significant difference between both groups regarding age, parity, body mass index and past obstetric history (p>0.05).

Table 1. Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (n=54)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n=52)</th>
<th>Student t-test</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Odds ratio at 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>25.6±5.1</td>
<td>26.2±5.8</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>2.2±1.6</td>
<td>2.3±1.4</td>
<td>0.37*</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (Kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.4±4.1</td>
<td>24.7±3.9</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past obstetric history:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Previous sharp curettage</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- One CS</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Two CS</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mann Whitney test, CS=Caesarean section.

Table (2) reveals the operative data. There was no significant difference between both groups regarding success rate, type of anesthesia, type of skin incision, estimated blood loss and organ injury (p>0.05). The duration of the procedure was shorter in group 2 (p<0.001).

Table 2. Operative data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (n=54)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n=52)</th>
<th>Chi square</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Odds ratio at 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Success Failure:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>0.37(0.11-1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Internal iliac artery ligation</td>
<td>44 (81.5%)</td>
<td>48 (92.3%)</td>
<td>4 (6.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Supravaginal hysterectomy</td>
<td>10 (18.5%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of anesthesia:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>1.38(0.64-2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- General</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Regional</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of skin incision:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>0.92(0.43-1.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pfannenstiel</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Midline</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of the procedure (minutes)</td>
<td>18.6±4.8</td>
<td>12.4±2.8</td>
<td>8.08*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated blood loss (mL)</td>
<td>880.8±480.6</td>
<td>870.2±490.4</td>
<td>0.11*</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organ injury:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.29†</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>6.38(0.74-54.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Bladder</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001†</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>1.96(0.17-22.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ureter</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Student t-test, † Fischer’s exact test.

Table (3) shows postoperative data. There was no significant difference between both groups regarding blood transfusion, re-operation, Admission to ICU, early and late postoperative complications (p>0.05). The duration of the hospital stay was shorter in group 2 (p<0.001).
**Table 3. Post-operative data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (n=54)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n=52)</th>
<th>Fischer’s exact test</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Odd’s ratio at 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood transfusion:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Packed RBCs</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>2.35(0.68-8.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Fresh frozen plasma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Both</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Re-operation for organ injury</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>2(0.35-11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Admission to ICU</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>4.08(0.44-37.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hospital stay (days)</strong></td>
<td>8.6±5.1</td>
<td>4.8±2.1</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Early postoperative complications:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Fever</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>3.13(0.6-16.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-VTE</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>2(0.35-11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-SSI</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>3(0.3-29.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Late postoperative complications:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Menstrual irregularity</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>1.79(0.49-6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Intrauterine synechiae</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.27†</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td>1.63(0.5-5.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal mortality</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Student t-test, †Chi square test, VTE=Venous thromboembolism, SSI=Surgical site infection

6. **DISCUSSION**

The novel technique has the advantages of approximating both anterior and posterior parts of lower uterine segment creating a closed square which helps in closing bleeding sinuses and superficial tears in the lower uterine segment. This is in addition to occluding the uterine artery at two different levels making the uterine devascularization more effective. It takes few minutes to achieve hemostasis (12.4±2.8 minutes) with high success rate (92.3%) in avoiding hysterectomy with lower rate of developing subsequent intrauterine synechiae (9.6%).

Hwu’s et al (10) previously described a suture that it might avoid injuring the adjacent organs because the stitch does not penetrate through the whole posterior wall. However, this suture increases suturing difficulty because Hwu’s suture requires sewing inside the lower uterine cavity and inserting a needle directed vertically upward 3–4 cm within the posterior uterine wall, which may injure the thin friable lower uterine segment, especially when the entire cavity is filled with blood. Hence, full-thickness sutures may work better as it is simpler to do.

More recently in the study conducted by Guang et al (11) fifteen women received a longitudinal parallel compression suture to stop bleeding due to placenta previa with or without accreta during caesarean section after closure of suture line. The success rate of the procedure was 86.7% (13/15). The novel combined suture used in this study is more advantageous in terms of higher success rate (92.3%), more hypoperfusion achieved with uterine artery occlusion which is demonstrable before closure of the cesarean section incision.

Several adverse effects of uterine compression sutures have been reported as infections in the form of endometritis or pyometra (12,13), intrauterine synechiae and Asherman syndrome (14-16) leading some authors to recommend routine postoperative evaluation of the uterine cavity by imaging modality or hysteroscopy (17,18). Also, many case reports of uterine wall necrosis following combined B-Lynch and/or Cho square sutures (19-21), uterine rupture (22)
and uteroumbilical fistula with myometrial necrosis (23) have been published.

There were no cases with endometritis reported in this trial which may be linked to confirmation of cervical patency before closing the uterine incision, the use of triple antibiotic therapy for three days and the assurance of absence of any fluid or blood collected inside the uterine cavity before discharge from the hospital. Also, the rate of intrauterine synechiae with the use of the novel combined suture was 9.6% which is far away less than the rates previously described in earlier studies with compression sutures, which lies between 16-23% (14-16).

Secondary to complications of uterine compression sutures, some investigators addressed the use of removable uterine compression suture (24, 25), which is tried in small number of patients with no long-term follow up data available.

The use of two different types of anesthesia and skin incisions during cesarean sections may constitute unintended limitations of this study.

Future research should compare between the standard compression sutures and the novel procedure described in this study in a larger multicenter study.

7. **CONCLUSION**

The novel combined suture is easily applicable and effectively controls bleeding from the placental bed after failure of conservative measures, with no appreciable increase in short term maternal morbidity. Larger multicenter trials are warranted to enforce or refute these findings.
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