Uterine Imaging in Infertility and Assisted Reproduction
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Abstract: Congenital uterine anomalies and acquired uterine lesions may affect uterine functions precluding successful pregnancy. Therefore, exclusion of any uterine pathology becomes an important step in infertility work-up. This review discusses the different techniques used for uterine imaging in infertility and assisted reproduction.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Despite advances in the field of assisted reproduction, only one-third of cycles started end in a pregnancy and one-fourth result in a live birth [1]. The uterus plays a major role in sperm migration, embryo implantation, and fetal development. Congenital uterine anomalies and acquired uterine lesions may affect such uterine functions precluding successful pregnancy.

Uterine pathologies are found to be present in 25% of infertile patients [2]. Structural abnormalities of the uterus, such as leiomyoma, endometrial polyps, intrauterine adhesions (IUAs), adenomyosis and müllerian anomalies, may affect the reproductive outcome adversely, by interfering with implantation or causing spontaneous abortion. Therefore, exclusion of any uterine pathology becomes an important step in infertility work-up.

2. DISCUSSION

Uterine abnormalities may be visualized using variety of imaging techniques [3-5]:

- Hysterosalpingography (HSG).
- Transvaginal sonography (TVS).
- Sonohysterography (SHG).
- 3D/4D ultrasonography.
- 5D ultrasonography.
- Doppler ultrasonography.
- Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).
- Endoscopic ultrasound.

2.1. Hysterosalpingography (HSG)

HSG is an indirect mean of showing the interior of the uterus. It has been the most commonly used technique in the evaluation of infertility. Endometrial polyps, fibroids, IUAs and müllerian anomalies are shown as filling defects or uterine cavity abnormalities.

These findings necessitate secondary investigation in the form of hysteroscopy to confirm and possibly treat the pathology. Compared with hysteroscopy, HSG is considered to have a relatively high false-positive and false-negative results [6-9].

2.2. Transvaginal Sonography (TVS)

It has been used as a screening test for the assessment of uterine cavity and it is an integral part of IVF treatment. TVS is more specific (96.3%) and sensitive (100%) than HSG. In comparison with hysteroscopy, TVS has 84.5% sensitivity and 98.7% specificity [10].

However, TVS may not distinguish between a hyperplasic endometrium and a large polyp, or differentiate between an arcuate and a septate uteri. Abnormal uterine findings on a baseline scan can be further evaluated with SHG.

2.3. Sonohysterography (SHG)

It improves the delineation of the uterine cavity and, therefore, could be an alternative to HSG.
and hysteroscopy as a screening test. Compared to hysteroscopy, SHG has 87.5% sensitivity, 100% specificity, 100% positive predictive value and 91.6% negative predictive value for the detection of uterine cavity abnormality[11].

2.4. 3D/4D Ultrasonography
The advent of transvaginal 3D/4D ultrasonography has enabled the accurate, noninvasive, outpatient diagnosis of congenital uterine anomalies. It has also enabled large-scale screening and morphological analysis of müllerian anomalies.

Some studies reported the value of 3D/4D-guided embryo transfer in localization of the maximal implantation potential (MIP) point compared to the traditional 2D ultrasound[12]. The MIP varies from patient to patient dependent upon the shape of the uterine cavity. Compared to hysteroscopy, 3D SHG reached a sensitivity of 94.2% and specificity of 98.5%, with a positive predictive value of 98.0% and a negative predictive value of 95.7%[13].

2.5. 5D Ultrasonography
The state of the art machine differs from the 3D/4D machines in making highly precise calculations automatically. A special feature of the machine is that the 3D information is digitalized in the form of ‘tissue-blocks’ which then can be stored and transferred.

It features the latest elastography that makes it easier for users to distinguish benign from malignant masses through acquiring the strain ratio between the target and reference area faster than the previous models. This means that it could identify the isoechoic lesions that were missed by 3D ultrasound.

2.6. Doppler Ultrasonography
In addition to the ability to detect some of the intruterine lesions as the feeding vessels of polyps and the depth of myoma, Doppler ultrasonography can be utilized to assess the endometrial receptivity. 3D power Doppler is used for determination of endometrial and subendometrial blood flow which affects embryo transfer and implantation[14, 15].

Ultrasonographic and Doppler criteria of good endometrial receptivity:

— Endometrial thickness > 7 mm.
— Hypoechogenic endometrium with 3 well delineated layers.
— Endometrial volume > 2 ml.
— Uterine PI < 3.
— Presence of subendometrial vascular flow.

— High VI, FI and VFI in endometrial and subendometrial zone.

2.7. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)
MRI is especially accurate in the diagnosis of congenital uterine anomalies; it is noninvasive and avoids the risk of irradiation. Its main disadvantages include high cost and limited availability; thus, its role in the evaluation of uterine cavity prior to IVF remains minor.

2.8. Endoscopic Ultrasound
Intraoperative ultrasound has gained an established role in many surgical procedures. It has been introduced mainly to overcome the two major drawbacks of endoscopy: the ability to show only the surface of the organs and the lack of manual palpation of the structures.

Hysteroscopic 5D ultrasound can be used during the resection of IUAs and uterine septa, hysteroscopic myomectomy, and for differentiation between septate and bicornuate uteri. Robotic 5D ultrasound is the latest version of intraoperative sonography. It can accurately identify and track the target tissue during the surgical procedures.

3. CONCLUSION
Structural abnormalities of the uterus, such as leiomyoma, endometrial polyps, IUAs, adenomyosis and müllerian anomalies, may affect the reproductive outcome adversely, by interfering with implantation or causing spontaneous abortion. Uterine abnormalities may be visualized using variety of imaging techniques including HSG, TVS, SHG, 3D/4D ultrasonography, Doppler ultrasonography, and MRI. Other potential techniques, such as 5D ultrasonography and endoscopic ultrasound, remain under trial.
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