Identification of Genes Differentially Associated with Triple Negative Breast Cancers
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Abstract: Triple negative breast cancers (TNBC) are characterized as negative for the expression of estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 genes. TNBC are more aggressive than other breast cancers and patients have a poorer five year survival rate. A significant number of studies have been performed in attempts to understand the biology of the cancers and biomarkers have been identified, still as of this date, genes that function as therapeutic targets have not been identified. This is largely due to the complexity of the disease. Although TNBC are classified as a single subtype, gene expression analyses show that it is a genetically heterogeneous disease. This makes it difficult to identify a specific gene-directed target that is unique to most TNBC. Investigators can only overcome the challenges associated with TNBC by continuing to characterize the cancers. In this article we will review the description of TNBC and outline the experimental approach utilized in our laboratory with the aim of further characterizing genes that might define TNBC patient samples and cell lines. Data are clear in demonstrating that not all TNBC are the same, and better understanding of these differences can explain why patients respond differently to particular therapies. Considering this, we will outline our approach to identifying genes that might potentially contribute to the TNBC heterogeneity. The expectation is that knowledge gleaned from our studies will aid in better understanding the genomes of TNBC.
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1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Breast cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death in women. The precise mechanisms leading to breast cancers are unknown, however both environmental and genetic factors are known to be contributing factors. There are different types of breast cancers based on pathological and molecular characteristics. Experiments designed to characterize the molecular aspects of cancers have led to identification of therapeutic targets and a better understanding of the biology of the cancers.

The number of molecular designations for the breast cancer subtypes have increased recently, nonetheless the core groupings continue to be luminal A, luminal B, HER2+, Basal-like breast cancer (BLBC) and triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) (1, 2). Luminal A are characterized as positive for estrogen receptor gene (ER+) and progesterone receptor (PR+), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2negative (HER2-).
Luminal B are characterized as ER+, PR+/- and HER2+. HER2 are characterized as enriched in HER2+ gene expression. BLBC are characterized as having low to PR- and ER-/HER2+/- gene expression levels with increased expression of cytokeratin 5/6, 14 and 17 basal cell gene markers (3). TNBC are characterized as ER-/PR-/HER- with varying levels of androgen receptor (AR+/-) gene expression. Data suggest the breast cancers develop from different progenitor cell types, accounting for their diverse genotypes. The luminal cancers are thought to originate from cells lining the luminal ducts, while the basal-like and triple negative cancers from the basal myoepithelial cells of the breast tissues (4). Related to their frequencies, luminal cancers occur at a rate of 60%, compared to 25% for Her2 (+) and 15-20% for BLBC and TNBC. Both TNBC and BLBC are more prevalent in younger patients and patients of African descent and Hispanic ethnicity compared to the other subtypes (1). TNBCs are often classified with the basal-like sub type, however TNBC and BLBC are very different cancers. Approximately 20%-30% of clinical TNBCs are not basal-like (5). The two cancer types have different risk factors and molecular profiles. For a more detailed comparison between TNBC and BLBC see the following reference (6).

**Heterogeneity of TNBC**

It is critically important to characterize TNBC because unlike receptor-positive patients, genes available for targeted therapy have not been identified. Receptor positive patients can be treated with therapies that target one or the other of the receptor genes; but because TNBC patients are negative for ER/PR/HER2 genes, surgery, radiation and chemotherapy are their only treatment options (7, 8). Genes useful as therapeutic targets for TNBC can only be identified by continued analyses of the genomes and transcriptomes of the cancers.

To date, many of the genes identified as biomarkers for TNBC and other cancers come from transcriptome analyses using DNA microarray gene chips. DNA microarray gene chips are essentially high-throughput microchips with the complementary genomic sequences attached (9) (10). DNA microarrays allow (a) the investigator to interrogate genes that are being expressed in a particular sample, at a defined interval, (b) gene expression profiles for different samples to be generated and compared utilizing bioinformatics methods, (c) for investigators to identify genes that are differentially associated between patient samples and (d) for investigators to identify cell signaling pathways that differ between particular sample types. Utilizing DNA microarray and hierarchical clustering methods, Lehmann et al (11) and others (12) (13) convincingly establish that TNBC does not represent a single group of patients but instead is a heterogeneous subtype representing diverse types of patients. Lehmann et al found that TNBC patient samples can be subdivided into six subclasses, based on their relationships to particular cellular processes. The investigators identified two different basal-like groups designated as basal-like 1 (BL1) and basal-like 2 (BL2), mesenchymal-like (M), mesenchymal-stem like (MSL), immunomodulatory (IM) and a luminal androgen receptor (LAR) group. BL1 was defined by genes involved in cell cycle signaling and DNA division. BL2 was defined by genes related to growth factors signaling pathways, glycolysis and gluconeogenesis. IM subclass was defined by genes related to immune cell signaling processes including (but not limited to) cytokine, NFKB, TNF and JAK/STAT signaling pathways. M was defined by genes involved in cell motility and differentiation. MSL subclass shared genes with M subclass, but unique in that they included inositol phosphate metabolism, EGFR/PDGF, G-protein coupling, Erk1/2 signaling, ABC transporter and adipocytokine signaling pathway genes. The gene expression data associated with the particular subclass were utilized for selection of potential biomarkers, drug discovery and to identify potential therapeutic targets. Based on the signaling pathways found to be enriched in TNBC, the authors suggested targeting DNA damage and PI3K signaling pathways as therapeutic strategies.

Studies by Lehmann and other (5,12,13) also support the contribution of immune-related genes in defining the heterogeneity of TNBC. In addition to their utility as biomarkers, immune-related genes have been shown to correlate with tumor progression in TNBC. Emphasizing the role of immune-related mechanisms in cancers in general, recent applications using immunotherapeutic approaches are transforming how cancers are treated. Perhaps the most momentous achievements in cancer over the past 20 years are the discoveries of the programmed cell death 1 (PD1) and PD-ligand 1 (PDL-1) proteins and the subsequent description
of their function in immunotherapy (14)(15). The PD1 protein is a receptor on T cells of the immune system and PDL-1 protein is overexpressed on some tumor cells. Drugs that target these proteins have proven to be an incredibly successful strategy in the fight against lung, bladder and skin cancers (16). Studies examining the potential role of PD1/PDL-1 genes in TNBC are ongoing. One such study in support of PDL-1 in TNBC was performed by Zhang et al (17). The researchers performed a meta-analyses of more than 2500 breast cancer patient samples. Data show PDL-1 protein expression correlations with TNBC, high histological grade and positive lymph node metastasis, confirming the role of immune-related genes and processes in cancers. Recognizing the importance of the immune-related genes in cancers, one aim of our studies is to characterize TNBC with a focus on identifying novel immune-related genes that are reliably differentially associated with the cancers.

2. EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH FOR ANALYSES OF TNBC HETEROGENEITY

Identification of distinct subpopulations of TNBC

2.1. Brief Summary of Experimental Approach Utilized in Investigators Laboratory

A primary focus of our laboratory is to further characterize TNBC with the overall goal of better understanding the cancers. One project is directed towards analyses of gene expression in TNBC cell lines and patient samples using bioinformatics-based methods. Similar to other investigators, we are attempting to define the heterogeneity of TNBC, however our experimental approach is different. An outline of our approach is given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Outline of the experimental approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obtain microarray datasets in which TNBC cell lines analyzed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate cell lines based on IL32-high vs IL32 low gene expression levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compare 2 groups using T-test and Wilcoxon statistical analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify genes differentially expressed in IL32-high vs IL32 low cell lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select using p Values &lt;0.05 with at least 2 fold difference in gene levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perform enrichment analyses followed by experimental (PCR) validation of genes differentially expressed in IL32-high vs IL32-low groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As summary, we previously retrieved TNBC DNA microarray datasets from Gene Expression Omnibus and found that IL32 cytokine was differentially expressed in some, not all TNBC cell lines and patient samples (18). Cytokines are immune-modulating proteins involved in both immune and epithelial cell signaling events. Recognizing the importance and role of immune-related genes as both biomarkers and in tumor progression, we chose to better define IL32 gene expression in TNBC. In an attempt to identify genes that might possibly be dysregulated with IL32, the samples were grouped according to ‘those that express high IL32 levels compared to those that express lower to negligible IL32 levels’. The IL32-high and IL32-low groups were then compared using unsupervised bioinformatics-analyses (19) and a list of differentially expressed genes were generated. Gene Ontology analyses show that the differentially expressed genes included an enrichment of genes related to immune/defense processes and epithelial to mesenchymal (EMT) processes (20). Although our studies were the first to show differential expression of IL32 in a subpopulation of TNBC, other studies reported differential expression of IL6 (21) and IL8 (22) cytokines in subpopulations of TNBC. IL6 and IL8 genes were observed as differentially expressed in our dataset as well; both genes were observed as over-expressed in samples demonstrating high IL32 gene expression levels.

2.2. Analysis of the Differentially Expressed Gene

Following the outline given in Table 1, we identified what appears to be a list of genes that identify a subpopulation of TNBC, further characterizing the heterogeneity of the cancers. Our strongest gene candidates included IL32, MYBL1, ETS1, TMEM158, PTX3 and GATA3 genes. Detailed outline of the procedures, selection and validation of these genes were previously published (20). Gene Ontology analyses describe the genes as related to defense and immune responses (with p-value of 0.001 and a Bayes high confidence factor of 7) (23). IL32, MYBL1, ETS1, TMEM158, PTX3 and GATA3 genes were selected based on polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analyses and their performance following analyses of clinical patient samples. When our candidate genes are examined against >150 patient samples using hierarchical cluster and other bioinformatics methods, the genes appeared to stratify more than 60% of the TNBC patient samples.
Comparison to non-tumor, luminal A/B, HER2 cancers and the remaining TNBC. Otherwise stated IL32 along with the other candidate genes were differentially associated with ‘the 60% TNBC subpopulation’, possibly defining TNBC heterogeneity. IL32, MYBL1, ETS1, TMEM158, PTX3 appeared up-regulated in the TNBC subpopulation and GATA3 was down-regulated in the same samples. The list of 5 genes were selected based on both PCR validation and their performance in clinical patient samples, but other than Gene Ontology, it is unclear at this point if there are functional relationships between them. Previous data does however show that the ETS1 transcription factor is a subtype classifier for basal cells and the GATA3 transcription factor is a luminal subtype classifier(24). These data validate our observation of ETS1 over-expression and GATA3 down-regulation in our TNBC study. In another study, experiments demonstrate synergism between ETS1 and GATA3 in transcriptional regulation of IL5 gene (25). No such functional relationship has been proposed for TNBC.

BIRC3 gene also appeared as differentially expressed in the TNBC subpopulation expressing high levels of IL32 gene. BIRC3 gene is a pro-survival gene which inhibits the apoptotic signaling pathway (26). At this point, it is unclear as to how or even whether BIRC3 contributes to survival of these particular TNBC. We are continuing to analyze these data.

2.3. Continued Analysis of the Differentially Expressed Gene: Experimental Validation of EMT Related Genes

We also observed statistical enrichment of EMT-related genes in the TNBC subpopulation expressing high IL32 gene levels. EMT is a genotypic process resulting in loss of epithelial characteristics including cellular polarity and adhesive properties. Cells that have undergone these processes increase their ability to migrate and subsequently invade the surrounding areas. Genes directly and indirectly related to the processes are referred to as EMT involved genes (27), (www.sabiosciences.com/rt_pcr_product/HTML/PAHS-090Z.html).

TNBC are known to display EMT characteristics. Our study is not the first observation of the involvement of EMT in TNBC (28, 29). However, unlike previous studies, our observation is that TNBC that express IL32 also appear to be enriched in genes involved in EMT. These data suggest a correlation between EMT and IL32 gene expression in a subpopulation of TNBC. For the most part, the genes identified as differentially expressed in our analyses were not genes known to be transcriptional-drivers of the EMT process such as snail, twist, Zeb1/2, TGF β, Foxc1 or GSC. Except for ETS1 transcription factor, which is also an EMT gene, our datasets include genes that are both directly and indirectly associated with EMT processes. Demonstration of ETS1 expression in a subpopulation of TNBC is described in a previous publication (20). The EMT candidate gene list is summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Differentially expressed signature genes involved in EMT processes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENE</th>
<th>FUNCTION</th>
<th>Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fibronectin (FN1)</td>
<td>Glycoprotein found in extracellular matrix involved in cell migration</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metalloproteinase (TIMP1)</td>
<td>Promotes degradation of extracellular matrix, cell proliferation, apoptosis</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vimentin (VIM)</td>
<td>Maintains cell shape; cytoskeletal involved in migration and attachment</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 19 (KRT19)</td>
<td>Intermediate filament responsible for structural integrity of cell</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetraspanin 13 (Tspan13)</td>
<td>Cell surface, developmental, activation, mobility (STRING TM via ITGB1)</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The expression level refers to the gene expression in IL32-high TNBC as observed on original DNA microarray

The gene expression levels represent the levels observed in IL32-high expressing TNBC microarray datasets. All of the genes demonstrated high levels in IL32-high TNBC except Tspan13 which showed lower levels of the expression in the microarray datasets. For PCR experimental analyses, MDA MB231 cell line was chosen to represent IL32-high TNBC and HCC70 was chosen to represent IL32-low TNBC based on earlier observations (18). As a result the cell lines were utilized for validation of the EMT gene expression. Following experimental validation via PCR only 3 of the 5 genes validated, by demonstrating the same differential expression pattern as observed using the DNA microarray platform. FN1 and TIMP1 showed higher levels compared to Tspan13 which demonstrated lower levels in IL32 expressing cell lines.
3. CONCLUSION

It is clear that TNBC is a complex disease. Our aim is to continue analyses of both TNBC cell lines and patient samples in an effort to identify yet unknown biomarkers that can be studied for clinical relevance as potential therapeutic targets. We chose to use the DNA microarray mainly because the platform allows for interrogation of known genes and signaling pathways. We describe an experimental approach aimed at identifying genes that define TNBC. The experimental approach appears to be successful, in that novel genes related to the cancers have been identified. Analyses of the results are ongoing and should contribute to future discussions involving TNBC.
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