Impact of Extra-Nasal testing site on the Screening of Methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus and Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus Colonization among HIV-Positive Individuals
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Abstract

Background: Even though there are numerous studies regarding prevalence and risk factor of MSSA and MRSA colonization, local data related to the impact of additional testing site on the screening of colonized persons is limited. Bearing this in mind, we undertook this study in a hospital to determine the impact of additional anatomical site other than the anterior nares for the screening sensitivity among population group at risk of MSSA and MRSA colonization; HIV-infected persons attending the hospital for follow-ups.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional study in which impact of Extra-nasal testing body site on the sensitivity of detecting colonized persons was determined. A well structured data collection format was used to collect socio-demographic characteristics of HIV positive individuals. A total of 498 Nasal and throat swabs (two from each participant) were collected from 249 participants, transported and processed using standard bacteriological procedure

Results: MSSA was isolated from 81 (32.5 %) patients, with MRSA colonization rate of 6 (2.4 %). The inclusion of throat swabs increased the sensitivity of nasal screening of MSSA and MRSA by 34.6% and 33.3% respectively.

Conclusion: Our study finding indicates that the sensitivity of MSSA/MRSA colonization is better enhanced when multiple-site screening is implemented in colonized persons.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus, even though a commensal both on human skin and the mucosa, it is a frequent cause of serious infections with high morbidity, mortality, and health-care associated costs [1]. Since it was first discovered in Britain in the 1960s, Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) infections have become increasingly problematic in both health care and community settings, leading to a greater morbidity, mortality, longer hospital stays, prolonged antibiotic administrations and increased treatment costs [2-5].

The anterior nares are the most frequent carriage site, which serves as reservoir for the spread of pathogens [3,6,7,8]. S.aureus/MRSA can also colonize the axilla, inguinal region, throat, oropharynx, wounds and gastrointestinal tract [7,8,9,10].

Though S.aureus/MRSA can infect all patients, HIV infected patients are more susceptible to MRSA due to their compromised immune system, frequent exposure to health care facilities, frequent oral antibiotic usage and other behavioral risk factors [11,12,13]. Compared with the general population, HIV patients are six to 18-folds more susceptible to MRSA and it is the main cause of bacteremia and endocarditis in these patients [11,14,15,16,17].

Single-site swabbing for the screening of Methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus/
MRSA colonization has a low sensitivity despite being recommended in some guidelines [18]. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the impact of combination of body sites (anterior nares and the throat) for detection of MSSA/MRSA colonization in HIV positive individuals.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study Design, Study Period and Study Area

A cross-sectional study was conducted from September 2014 to February 2015 in Mekelle Hospital ART clinic, Mekelle, Northern Ethiopia. The hospital is serving for about 800,500 population and giving treatment and follow-up for 4035 HIV-positive people.

All HIV-positive individuals who attend the hospital ART clinic during the study period were asked to participate and written consent was obtained from all volunteer participants. This study was ethically approved by the Ethical Review Committee (Ref. No- ERC0453/2014), College of Health Science Mekelle University.

2.2. Data Sources and Data Collection

A well structured data collection format was used to collect socio-demographic characteristics of HIV positive individuals.

2.3. Specimen Collection, Transportation, Culturing and Bacterial Isolation

Trained sample collectors collected nasal and throat swabs using sterile cotton swabs pre-moistened with sterile normal saline using standard procedure [19,20,21]. A single sterile cotton swab was used by rotating 2-3 times inside the anterior nares to collect the nasal swab, and the throat swab was collected by another sterile cotton swab by swabbing the posterior pharynx and lateral walls of the pharynx (tonsillar area), without touching the buccal mucosa or tongue [22]. Stuart’s transport medium inoculated one with the nasal and a second from the throat swabs were used to transport the specimens to where the whole microbiological analyses were conducted within 3-4 hours of collection.

A selective medium Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA) (Oxiod, Hampshire, UK) were used to isolate S. aureus (MSSA and MRSA) and incubated at 37\(^\circ\)C aerobically for 24 hours. Typical colonies which are yellowish colonies from the MSA plate were sub-cultured onto Nutrient Agar (Oxiod, Hampshire, UK) for further biochemical characterization. Tube coagulase test was used to differentiate coagulase negatives from coagulase positive (S. aureus). MRSA isolates were identified using Cefoxitin disc by the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method [23].

2.4. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of MRSA to Other Antibiotics

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed using the modified Kirby- Bauer disk diffusion method according to the clinical laboratory standard institute (CLSI) guidelines [23].

2.5. Data Processing and Analysis

Demographic and laboratory data were entered into a computer and statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 20.0.

2.6. Quality Control

Sample collection, transportation and processing steps were performed following Standard operating procedures (SOPs). American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) reference strain of S. aureus ATCC 25923 were used to test the quality of of the culture media and antimicrobial disks.

3. RESULT

Table 1. Nasal and throat colonization of MSSA and MRSA in HIV positive individuals attending HIV care service in Mekelle Hospital, Tigray, Northern Ethiopia, September 2014–February 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
<th>MSSA Colonization</th>
<th>MRSA Colonization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No. (%)</td>
<td>No. (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>10(4.0)</td>
<td>1(10)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19</td>
<td>19(7.6)</td>
<td>8(42.1)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>35(14.1)</td>
<td>10(28.6)</td>
<td>2(5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>103(41.4)</td>
<td>36(35.0)</td>
<td>3(2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>55(22.1)</td>
<td>18(32.7)</td>
<td>1(1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>17(6.8)</td>
<td>5(29.4)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>7(2.8)</td>
<td>1(14.3)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>3 (1.2)</td>
<td>2(66.7)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>174(69.9)</td>
<td>62(35.6)</td>
<td>6(3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>75(30.1)</td>
<td>19(25.3)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A total of 249 HIV positive individuals attending HIV care service were included in the study. Among the study participants, females account for 174 (69.9%), and 75 (31.1%) were
MSSA and MRSA colonization rate were 81(32.5%) and 36(2.4%). The age group 30-39 accounts the higher MSSA and MRSA colonization in which 36 of the total 81 MSSA colonization and 3 of the total MRSA colonization were found. Whereas the least MSSA colonization were in the age groups of 1-9 and 60-69, where only a single person from each group was found colonized. Both MSSA and MRSA colonization were higher in females, in which 62 of the total MSSA and all the 6 MRSA were found.

The detection of both MSSA and MRSA colonization was more pronounced when swabs from two different anatomical sites were used. From the total 81 MSSA colonized participants, the distribution by body site were, 41 (50.6%) in the nasal swabs, 28 (34.6%) in the throat swabs and 12 (14.8%) in both nasal and throat swabs. Were as among the 6 participants found colonized with MRSA, the distribution by nasal, throat and both sites were 3, 2 and 1 respectively (Table 1).

The detection of MSSA and MRSA colonization were higher when both nasal and throat swabs are collected, in which MSSA detection increases by 28(34.6%) than when used only nasal swabs and 41(50.6%) when used only throat swabs. MRSA detection also increased by 2(33.3%) than used only nasal swabs and by 3 (50%) when used only throat swabs.

The antimicrobial susceptibility testing for the MRSA isolates against the commonly used antimicrobials Ciprofloxacin (5 μg), Trimethoprim-Sulphamethaxazole (1.25/23.75 μg), Erythromycin (15 μg), Clindamycin (2 μg), and Amikacin (30 μg) were performed. Among the 6 MRSA isolates, 1 (16.7%) were found resistant to Ciprofloxacin, 2 (33.3%) to Clindamycin and Erythromycin. unlike to other antimicrobials, all the isolates were sensitive to Amikacin.

### 4. Discussion

Patients colonized with MSSA or/and MRSA are the main reservoir in health facilities and about 35%-84% of these colonized patients are missed during screening tests [23, 24]. These undetected but colonized patients aggravate the risk of cross transmission of MSSA and MRSA hospital acquired infections [25, 26]. To tackle this low sensitivity of single-site testing for the screening of MSSA/MRSA colonization, various countries have different policies on the number of sites for the screening of MSSA/MRSA colonization.

The sensitivity of MSSA/MRSA colonization is not uniform on different patients’ anatomical sites like the anterior nares, throat, axilla, groin, skin and other testing sites, in which the throat and nares show higher colonization detection [27,28,29]. In this study, we assessed the impact of extra nasal anatomical site for the screening of MSSA/MRSA colonization in a population at high risk. Two separate swabs from the nares and throat were collected from each participant to determine the sensitivity of detection.

In this study the sensitivity of MSSA colonization increases when combination of two separate swabs, one from the nares and one from the throat is used. The increment was 28(34.6%) than when used only nasal swabs, which was also indicated by other studies [30,31,32].

Similar to the MSSA, the sensitivity of MRSA colonization increases by 33.3% when the extra-nasal throat swab was added to screen the patients. This is also supported by other studies from different areas [27,28,29].

### 5. Conclusion

Our study shows the need for the extra-nasal anatomical testing site for the screening of MSSA/MRSA carriers which could be valuable for control of MSSA/MRSA cross transmission and hospital infections. This increase in the sensitivity of detection of colonized patients by the addition of extra-nasal testing sites is of immense value, particularly for susceptible population groups, such as HIV-infected persons, patients at hemodialysis units and other immune compromised groups.
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