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Abstract
Background: Anesthesiologists often experience work-related stress. No studies have used heart rate variability (HRV), an objective indicator of autonomic nervous system activity, to measure stress in anesthesiologists. Using the maximum entropy method, we measured HRV to assess the degree of stress in anesthesiologists while performing anesthetic induction.

Methods: Four anesthesiologists performed several anesthetic inductions while sitting and standing. Precordial electrocardiography was analyzed. The anesthesiologists’ heart rate, ultra-short-term entropy, low- and high-frequency components, and normalized unit of the low-frequency component (NU) were measured throughout and during different parts of anesthetic induction.

Results: Throughout anesthetic induction, the NU was only parameter that significantly differed between sitting and standing. Two-way repeated ANOVA showed a significant difference only in the average NU between the two groups (P = 0.018 for the average NU, P = 0.088 for the maximum NU). Comparison between the standing and sitting groups showed that the NU was significantly higher during endotracheal intubation in the standing position. During endotracheal intubation, the average NU in the standing group was 87.1% ± 4.7%, whereas that in the sitting group was 78.1% ± 8.8% (P = 0.0042). The heart rate was significantly higher during intubation than during preoxygenation while both sitting and standing.

Conclusions: Anesthesiologists exhibited significantly higher sympathetic tone as measured using HRV during tracheal intubation than during other parts of anesthetic induction. This sympathetic tone was higher while standing than sitting. Sitting during anesthetic procedures might reduce stress in anesthesiologists.

Keywords: heart rate variability, maximum entropy method, sympatho-parasympathetic balance, anesthesiologists.

Abbreviations: high-frequency (HF), heart rate variability (HRV), low-frequency (LF), normalized unit of the low-frequency component (NU), Two-way repeated measure of analysis of variance (ANOVA), ultra-short-term entropy (UsEn)

1. INTRODUCTION

Operative procedures vary widely and full concentration is needed for long periods of time. Anesthesiologists, including trainees and instructors, are therefore becoming increasingly stressed and exhausted. Stress is a physical and psychological response to environmental demands. Burnout of anesthesiologists is not rare [1-3]. Maintenance of anesthesiologists’ mental health has become a major concern that has been frequently reported and discussed throughout Japan [1-11].

Many studies have evaluated stress in anesthesiologists using self-reported questionnaires including the 20-item Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory and the 12-item General Health Questionnaire, which are used to assess work-related exhaustion and mental health, respectively; the work stress score; and other questionnaires [7-11]. Because stress results from the combination of the individual’s circumstances and psychological status, scientific evaluation of stress is difficult. Some studies have evaluated decreases in blood pressure and changes in the salivary cortisol concentration as markers of fatigue and sleep deprivation in staff anesthesiologists [12, 13], and other have used a flicker photometer and stabilometer[14] and Holter electrocardiography [15]. We believe that the most practical method with which to evaluate stress is evaluation of the autonomic nervous system. Heart rate variability (HRV) enables objective evaluation of autonomic function [16-18].
HRV analysis is a noninvasive technique used to provide information about the autonomic nervous system [19]. This technique provides important information about the autonomic and central nervous systems because variations in the heart rate are mediated by central and peripheral neural mechanisms [20]. Frequency analysis of an R-R interval time series using the maximum entropy method, fast Fourier transform, or an autoregressive model enables estimation of the spectral power in milliseconds squared [21]. The low-frequency (LF) component (0.05–0.15 Hz) is influenced by sympathetic and parasympathetic activities, and the high-frequency (HF) component (0.15–0.50 Hz) originates from parasympathetic activity. The LF component is thought to increase in response to sympathetic activity, and the HF component is thought to increase in response to vagal tone [22-25]. Generally, activation of the parasympathetic nervous system is evaluated as the HF component, and activation of the sympathetic nervous system is evaluated as the normalized unit of the LF component (NU) or the LF/HF ratio [22-26]. These are considered to be the most sensitive markers of the overall balance of sympathetic tone. The NU is calculated by multiplying the power of the LF component by 100 and then dividing this value by the sum of the power of the LF and HF spectra [27, 28].

The overall activity of the autonomic nervous system is complicated because it is influenced by many factors. However, measurement of HRV allows us to make judgments about the balance between sympathetic and parasympathetic activity. We hypothesized that this technique can be used to evaluate stress in anesthesiologists.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study Protocol

This study was approved by the ethics committee of Osaka Medical College, Osaka, Japan (approval no. 1520 Eki-2). This study has been registered as a clinical study in the Japanese official Clinical Trial Registry (trial registration no. JMA-IIA00185). All study participants provided written informed consent before participation. Four healthy anesthesiologists with normal sinus rhythm were enrolled in the study. All were certified as qualified anesthesia instructors from one institution in Japan. None of the participants were using medications that affect the heart rate, and none had preexisting cardiac disease, including arrhythmias. The youngest participant was a 32-year-old woman with 6 years of experience in anesthesiology, and the second youngest was a 34-year-old man with 8 years of experience. The second oldest participant was a 37-year-old woman with 12 years of experience, and the oldest was a 42-year-old man with 17 years of experience. None of the participants had taken part in any vigorous activity within 30 min of the control recording, and they had not consumed caffeine on the day of the study. Each participant performed anesthetic induction three times in the standing position and three times in the sitting position, and data were obtained from 8:00 AM to 3:00 PM. In both the sitting and standing positions, the height of the operating table was adjusted so that the patient’s forehead was placed at the level of the anesthesiologist’s xiphoid process before induction of anesthesia. Each participant’s electrocardiogram was transferred from a small wireless transmitter attached to the left precordia. The data were received by and recorded in a computer with software that analyzes HRV in real time (MemCalc/Bonaly Light®; GMS, Tokyo, Japan). The transmitter weighed 15g and was 41mm high, 44mm long, and 9.7mm wide (Figure1). Precordial electrocardiography was analyzed for 30 s to calculate the HF and LF components, and the data were updated every 2 s. Data are expressed as the power spectral density of the LF and HF components and the NU.

![Wireless transmitter](Figure1)
were able to concentrate on managing the patient’s airway. After the patients had been oxygenated with the mask, the participants performed endotracheal intubation with a laryngoscope. An assistant recorded when each task started and ended. Each task was recorded as an event mark on the computer. For the anesthetic induction, propofol, remifentanil, and rocuronium were given intravenously and sevoflurane was simultaneously administered by inhalation. The process of anesthetic induction was divided into six sections (Table 1). In Section 0, a staff nurse provided oxygen through the face mask while the anesthesiologist stood near the operation table. This was the control recording. Section 1 was the period from anesthetic agent administration to the beginning of bag-mask ventilation. Section 2 was the period from the beginning of ventilation to administration of the neuromuscular blockade. Section 3 was the period from opening of the patient’s mouth for laryngoscopy to placement of the endotracheal tube. Section 4 was the period of endotracheal tube insertion. Finally, Section 5 comprised the first 60 s of manual ventilation after intubation. If the participants failed to perform adequate airway management including insertion of the endotracheal tube, the study was stopped and the case was excluded. A trial of intubation was counted as a failure of intubation if a second trial was needed for any reason.

Induction of anesthesia was performed on patients with no estimated airway management difficulty.

Table 1: Six sections of anesthetic induction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (control)</td>
<td>Oxygenation of patient through face mask provided by staff nurse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>From anesthetic agent administration to beginning of bag-mask ventilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>From beginning of ventilation to neuromuscular blockade administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>From opening of patient’s mouth for laryngoscopy to receiving the endotracheal tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Insertion of endotracheal tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>First 60 s of manual ventilation after intubation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Data Analysis

Data were first tested for normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk's test. The Mann-Whitney U test was then used to analyze normally distributed parameters, and the unpaired Student’s t-test was used to analyze normally distributed parameters. Hence, the Student’s t-test was used to analyze differences between the two groups in minimum HR, mean HR, maximum HR, UsEn and NU, and the Mann-Whitney U test was used to analyze differences between the two groups in LF and HF. Two-way repeated measure of analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for the time course between the standing and sitting groups. Paired t-testing was done for each time point. Data are expressed as mean± standard deviation. All statistical analyses were performed by Graph Pad Prism 5 software (Graph Pad Software, La Jolla, CA). AP value of<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Eleven cases in the standing position and 12 cases in the sitting position were analyzed. One
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A case of standing was excluded from the study because of an error involving the transmitter. The present study was the first time for all four anesthesiologists to perform anesthetic induction while sitting. No cases were excluded because of airway management failure at the first trial.

Table 2 shows the results that were calculated as averages over the entire period of anesthetic induction. The only parameter that significantly differed between the two groups was the NU.

As shown in Figure 2, two-way repeated ANOVA showed significant differences in the average NU between the two groups ($P = 0.001$ for the average NU, $P = 0.088$ for the maximum NU). Furthermore, comparison between the standing and sitting groups showed that the NU was significantly higher in Section 4 in the standing position. In Section 4, the average NU in the standing group was 87.1% ±4.7%, whereas that in the sitting group was 78.1% ±8.8% ($P=0.0042$). Also in Section 4, the maximum NU in the standing group was 94.5% ±1.9%, whereas that in the sitting group was 86.4% ±8.2% ($P=0.0074$).

Comparison of the average and maximum heart rate in each section between the two groups is shown in Figure 3. Two-way repeated ANOVA showed no significant differences between the two groups ($P = 0.587$ for the average heart rate, $P = 0.483$ for the maximum heart rate). There were no significant differences in the average and maximum heart rate at each time point between the two groups.

Table 2: Comparison of the average values in the entire anesthetic time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Standing group</th>
<th>Sitting group</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min HR (bpm)</td>
<td>82.7 ± 7.0</td>
<td>85.0 ± 12.9</td>
<td>0.6041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HR (bpm)</td>
<td>85.7 ± 6.4</td>
<td>87.9 ± 12.2</td>
<td>0.5951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max HR (bpm)</td>
<td>89.0 ± 5.7</td>
<td>91.1 ± 11.4</td>
<td>0.5883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UsEn (%)</td>
<td>44.3 ± 7.6</td>
<td>45.4 ± 10.0</td>
<td>0.7656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF (ms²)</td>
<td>376.0 ± 271.3</td>
<td>303.4 ± 284.4</td>
<td>0.6891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF (ms²)</td>
<td>77.4 ± 66.3</td>
<td>99.5 ± 83.6</td>
<td>0.6444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NU (%)</td>
<td>80.3 ± 4.4</td>
<td>75.1 ± 6.7</td>
<td>0.0398*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. *$P < 0.05$ is statistically significant.

Figure 2. Results of normalized unit measurement. a: Average normalized unit in each section. b: Maximum normalized unit in each section. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. NU, normalized unit. Two-way repeated ANOVA showed significant differences in the average NU between the two groups ($P = 0.001$ for the average NU, $P = 0.088$ for the maximum NU). Furthermore, comparison between the standing and sitting groups showed that the NU was significantly higher in Section 4 in the standing position. In Section 4, the average NU in the standing group was 87.1% ±4.7%, whereas that in the sitting group was 78.1% ±8.8% ($P=0.0042$). Also in Section 4, the maximum NU in the standing group was 94.5% ±1.9%, whereas that in the sitting group was 86.4% ±8.2% ($P=0.0074$).

Comparison of the average and maximum heart rate in each section between the two groups is shown in Figure 3. Two-way repeated ANOVA showed no significant differences between the two groups ($P = 0.587$ for the average heart rate, $P = 0.483$ for the maximum heart rate). There were no significant differences in the average and maximum heart rate at each time point between the two groups.
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Figure 2. Results of normalized unit measurement. a: Average normalized unit in each section. b: Maximum normalized unit in each section. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. NU, normalized unit. Two-way repeated ANOVA showed significant differences in the average NU between the two groups ($P = 0.001$ for the average NU, $P = 0.088$ for the maximum NU). Furthermore, comparison between the standing and sitting groups showed that the NU was significantly higher in Section 4 in the standing position. In Section 4, the average NU in the standing group was 87.1% ±4.7%, whereas that in the sitting group was 78.1% ±8.8% ($P=0.0042$). Also in Section 4, the maximum NU in the standing group was 94.5% ±1.9%, whereas that in the sitting group was 86.4% ±8.2% ($P=0.0074$).

Comparison of the average and maximum heart rate in each section between the two groups is shown in Figure 3. Two-way repeated ANOVA showed no significant differences between the two groups ($P = 0.587$ for the average heart rate, $P = 0.483$ for the maximum heart rate). There were no significant differences in the average and maximum heart rate at each time point between the two groups.
way repeated ANOVA showed a significant difference only in the average NU between the two groups (P = 0.018 for the average NU, and P = 0.088 for the maximum NU). P values were obtained using the paired Student’s t-test at each time point. In section 4, the average and maximum NU in the standing group were higher than those in the sitting group.

![Figure 3. Results of heart rate measurement. a: Average heart rates in each section. b: Maximum heart rates in each section. Data are expressed as mean± standard deviation. Paired Student’s t-test results at each time point showed no significant differences between the two groups. Two-way repeated ANOVA showed no significant differences between the two groups (P = 0.587 for the average heart rate, P = 0.483 for the maximum heart rate).](image)

4. DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated a significant increase in sympathetic tone, measured using HRV, in experienced and certified anesthesiologists during endotracheal tube insertion at the time of anesthetic induction. Interestingly, at the time of endotracheal tube insertion, the sympathetic tone was higher in the standing than sitting position. Stress is routinely encountered as part of a clinician’s daily work. Importantly, stress has a direct impact on performance and decision-making; an appropriate level of stress improves performance, but an excessive level of stress leads to poorer performance and decision-making [32, 33]. Some reports have demonstrated the relationship between performance and stress in surgeons [17, 34-37]; however, no studies have used HRV to evaluate stress in anesthesiologists. Evidence of the effects of the occupational environment on mental strain experienced by anesthesiologists has been increasing in recent years, similar to previous studies that found an increase in sympathetic tone in surgeons as assessed using HRV [17, 34-36]. Jones et al. [34] demonstrated a significant increase in sympathetic tone by measurement of HRV in consultant surgeons during the performance of elective colorectal resection. They reported that the highest LF/HF ratio during the surgery occurred during mesorectal dissection. Some studies in the field of anesthesia have investigated autonomic function in patients using HRV analysis [26, 30, 39, 40]. However, this is the first study to evaluate the sympatho-parasympathetic balance in anesthesiologists and investigate the possibility of measuring stress in anesthesiologists by short-term fractal measurement of HRV.

Analysis of HRV in all stages of anesthetic induction in the present study revealed that the highest NU occurred during insertion of the
endotracheal tube in both the standing and sitting position. We also compared the difference in the NU during anesthetic induction between the sitting and standing positions and found that the average NU during insertion was significantly higher in the standing position. This may indicate that the sympathetic tone was lower in the sitting than standing position. Although tracheal tube insertion is a small part of anesthetic induction, anesthesiologists perform the insertion many times while working. Use of the sitting position during anesthetic procedures such as venous and arterial cannulation might reduce stress in anesthesiologists.

Spectral analysis of HRV is widely accepted as a noninvasive technique for assessment of the autonomic control of the heart [21]. Although there is some controversy in terms of interpretation, it is generally agreed that the NU represents the balance between the sympathetic and parasympathetic parts of the autonomic nervous system. The LF component reflects sympathetic and vagal nerve activity, and the HF component represents vagal nerve activity [26]. In this study, we used the maximum entropy method because our data covered a short period. With this method, we calculated the LF and HF components using 30 s of electrocardiogram data with updates every 2 s. We were therefore able to automatically eliminate irregular heartbeats. Another advantage of using the MemCalc/BonalyLight\textsuperscript{®} was the small wireless transmitter, which prevented movement limitations in the participants. This system enabled us to evaluate the sympatho-parasympathetic balance. However, two technical issues were not resolved during this study. First, each NU value might have contained the NU values from the former section because although the NU was calculated using data covering 30 s, each section of anesthetic induction covered only a short period of time. Second, respiratory arrhythmias and oscillations were influenced by the respiratory rate and tidal volume because the change in intrathoracic pressure caused by breathing causes variations in the heart rate via the parasympathetic nervous system [41].

In their clinical practice, all four anesthesiologists performed anesthetic induction while standing. The present study was the first time for all four anesthesiologists to perform anesthetic induction while sitting. In spite of this, the results indicate that anesthetic induction in the sitting position was less stressful for the anesthesiologists. This finding is particularly notable because it may indicate that anesthetic induction performed while seated may increase sympathetic activity (because it was the first time for the anesthesiologists).

There were several study limitations. First, as described above, the NU values might have included data from the former section. Second, the participants were of different ages and both sexes. HRV decreases with age [22, 42, 43] and is lower in males than females [26, 44]. This might have influenced the results, and further studies that control for age and sex are needed. We also did not include a control group of anesthesiologists who sat and stood for the same lengths of time but without managing a patient’s airway. Section 0, which was the period of patient oxygenation, was used as the control in this study; however, the period of time before the patients entered the operating room may have been a more effective control.

Spectral analysis of HRV is widely accepted as a noninvasive technique for assessment of the autonomic control of the heart [21]. Although there is some controversy in terms of interpretation, it is generally agreed that the NU represents the balance between the sympathetic and parasympathetic parts of the autonomic nervous system. The LF component reflects sympathetic and vagal nerve activity, and the HF component represents vagal nerve activity [26]. In this study, we used the maximum entropy method because our data covered a short period. With this method, we calculated the LF and HF components using 30 s of electrocardiogram data with updates every 2 s. We were therefore able to automatically eliminate irregular heartbeats. Another advantage of using the MemCalc/BonalyLight\textsuperscript{®} was the small wireless transmitter, which prevented movement limitations in the participants. This system enabled us to evaluate the sympatho-parasympathetic balance. However, two technical issues were not resolved during this study. First, each NU value might have contained the NU values from the former section because although the NU was calculated using data covering 30 s, each section of anesthetic induction covered only a short period of time. Second, respiratory arrhythmias and oscillations were influenced by the respiratory rate and tidal volume because the change in intrathoracic pressure caused by breathing causes variations in the heart rate via the parasympathetic nervous system [41].
In their clinical practice, all four anesthesiologists performed anesthetic induction while standing. The present study was the first time for all four anesthesiologists to perform anesthetic induction while sitting. In spite of this, the results indicate that anesthetic induction in the sitting position was less stressful for the anesthesiologists. This finding is particularly notable because it may indicate that anesthetic induction performed while seated may increase sympathetic activity (because it was the first time for the anesthesiologists).

There were several study limitations. First, as described above, the NU values might have included data from the former section. Second, the participants were of different ages and both sexes. HRV decreases with age [22, 42, 43] and is lower in males than females [26, 44]. This might have influenced the results, and further studies that control for age and sex are needed. We also did not include a control group of anesthesiologists who sat and stood for the same lengths of time but without managing a patient’s airway. Section 0, which was the period of patient oxygenation, was used as the control in this study; however, the period of time before the patients entered the operating room may have been a more effective control.

5. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated a significant increase in sympathetic tone as measured using HRV in experienced anesthesiologists during the tracheal intubation portion of anesthetic induction. Furthermore, the sympathetic tone was higher when the anesthesiologists were standing than when they were sitting. This study method may be used in future research to assess the impact of stress on anesthesiologists’ performance and approaches to training and anesthetic procedures.
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